

**RATIONALITY OF THE PROBABILISTIC ZETA FUNCTIONS
OF FINITELY GENERATED PROFINITE GROUPS**

DUONG HOANG DUNG

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Padova,
Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy
Mathematisch Instituut, Leiden Universiteit,
Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands*

ANDREA LUCCHINI

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Padova,
Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy.*

ABSTRACT. We prove that if the probabilistic zeta function $P_G(s)$ of a finitely generated profinite group G is rational and all but finitely many nonabelian composition factors of G are groups of Lie type in a fixed characteristic or sporadic simple groups, then G contains only finitely many maximal subgroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finitely generated profinite group. As G has only finitely many open subgroups of a given index, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we may define the integer $a_n(G)$ as $a_n(G) = \sum_H \mu_G(H)$, where the sum is over all open subgroups H of G with $|G : H| = n$. Here $\mu_G(H)$ denotes the Möbius function of the poset of open subgroups of G , which is defined by recursion as follows: $\mu_G(G) = 1$ and $\mu_G(H) = -\sum_{H < K} \mu_G(K)$ if $H < G$. Then we associate to G a formal Dirichlet series $P_G(s)$, defined as

$$P_G(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n(G)}{n^s}.$$

Hall in [9] showed that if G is a finite group and t is a positive integer, then $P_G(t)$ is equal to the probability that t random elements of G generate G or in other words

$$P_G(t) = \text{Prob}_G(t) := \frac{|\Omega_G(t)|}{|G^t|},$$

where $\Omega_G(t)$ is the set of generating t -tuples in G . In [13] Mann conjectured that $P_G(s)$ has a similar probabilistic meaning for a wide class of profinite groups. More precisely

E-mail addresses: dhdung1309@gmail.com, lucchini@math.unipd.it.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E18, 20D06, 20P05, 11M41.
Research partially supported by MIUR-Italy via PRIN Group theory and applications.

define $\text{Prob}_G(t) = \mu(\Omega_G(t))$, where μ is the normalised Haar measure uniquely defined on the profinite group G^t and $\Omega_G(t)$ is the set of generating t -tuples in G (in the topological sense) and say that G is positively finitely generated if there exists a positive integer t such that $\text{Prob}_G(t) > 0$. Mann conjectured that if G is positively finitely generated, then $P_G(s)$ converges in some right half-plane and $P_G(t) = \text{Prob}_G(t)$, when $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough. The second author proved in [12] that this conjecture is true if G is a profinite group with polynomial subgroup growth. But even when the convergence is not ensured, the formal Dirichlet series $P_G(s)$ encodes information about the lattice generated by the maximal subgroups of G and combinatorial properties of the probabilistic sequence $\{a_n(G)\}$ reflect aspects of the structure of G . For example in [4] it is proved that a finitely generated profinite group G is prosolvable if and only if the sequence $\{a_n(G)\}$ is multiplicative. Notice that if H is an open subgroup of G and $\mu_G(H) \neq 0$, then H is an intersection of maximal subgroups of G . This implies in particular that if G contains only finitely many maximal subgroups (i.e. if the Frattini subgroup $\text{Frat } G$ of G has finite index in G), then there are only finitely many open subgroups H of G with $\mu_G(H) \neq 0$ and consequently $a_n(G) = 0$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. $P_G(s)$ is a finite Dirichlet series). A natural question is whether the converse is true. An affirmative answer has been given in the case of prosolvable groups [6]. Really a stronger result holds: if G is a finitely generated prosolvable group, then $P_G(s)$ is rational (i.e. $P_G(s) = A(s)/B(s)$ with $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ finite Dirichlet series) if and only if $G/\text{Frat } G$ is a finite group. This has been generalized in [7] to the finitely generated profinite groups with the property that all but finitely many factors in a composition series are either abelian or alternating groups. In this paper we prove two other results of the same nature.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a finitely generated profinite group. Assume that there exist a prime p and a normal open subgroup N of G such that the nonabelian composition factors of N are simple groups of Lie type over fields of characteristic p . Then $P_G(s)$ is rational if and only if $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a finite group.*

Theorem 2. *Let G be a finitely generated profinite group. Assume that there exists a normal open subgroup N of G such that the nonabelian composition factors of N are sporadic simple groups. Then $P_G(s)$ is rational if and only if $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a finite group.*

In particular, if G contains a normal open subgroup N all of whose nonabelian composition factors are isomorphic, then we may apply the main theorem in [6] if N is prosolvable, the main theorem in [7] if N has a composition factor of alternating type, Theorem 1 if N has a composition factor of Lie type and Theorem 2 if a sporadic simple group appears as a composition factor of G and deduce the following corollary.

Corollary. *Let G be a finitely generated profinite group. Assume that there exists a normal open subgroup N of G such that the nonabelian composition factors of N are all isomorphic. Then $P_G(s)$ is rational if and only if $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is a finite group.*

The idea of the proof is the following. In [3, 5] it is proved that $P_G(s)$ can be written as formal product $P_G(s) = \prod_i P_i(s)$ of finite Dirichlet series associated with the non-Frattini factors in a chief series of G . On the other hand $G/\text{Frat}(G)$ is finite if and only if a chief series of G contains only finitely many non-Frattini factors. So the strategy is to prove that the product $\prod_i P_i(s)$ cannot be rational if it involves infinitely many nontrivial factors. A consequence of the Skolem-Mahler-Lech Theorem (see Proposition 2.2) can help us in this task. However Proposition 2.2 concerns infinite product of finite Dirichlet series involving only one nontrivial summand, but only the finite Dirichlet series associated

to the abelian chief factors of G have this property, while in general the finite series $P_i(s)$ are quite complicated. So we need to produce suitable “short” approximations $P_i^*(s)$ of the series $P_i(s)$, in such a way that the rationality of their product is preserved: the tool to achieve such approximation is a slight modification of a result already employed in [7] for a similar purpose (see Proposition 2.3). This requires a delicate analysis of the subgroup structure of the almost simple groups of Lie type, based in particular on the properties of the parabolic subgroups, and some information on the maximal subgroups of the sporadic simple groups.

2. INFINITE PRODUCTS OF FORMAL DIRICHLET SERIES

Let \mathcal{R} be the ring of formal Dirichlet series with integer coefficients. We will say that $F(s) \in \mathcal{R}$ is rational if there exist two finite Dirichlet series $A(s), B(s)$ with $F(s) = A(s)/B(s)$.

For every set π of prime numbers, we consider the ring endomorphism of \mathcal{R} defined by:

$$F(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \mapsto F^\pi(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n^*}{n^s}$$

where $a_n^* = 0$ if n is divisible by some prime $p \in \pi$, $a_n^* = a_n$ otherwise. We will use the following remark:

Remark 2.1. *For every set π of prime numbers, if $F(s)$ is rational then $F^\pi(s)$ is rational.*

The following result is a consequence of the Skolem-Mahler-Lech Theorem:

Proposition 2.2. [6, Proposition 3.2] *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and let q, r_i, c_i be positive integers for each $i \in I$. Assume that*

- (i) *for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{i \in I \mid r_i \text{ divides } n\}$ is finite;*
- (ii) *there exists a prime t such that t does not divide r_i for any $i \in I$.*

If the product

$$F(s) = \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 - \frac{c_i}{(q^{r_i})^s} \right)$$

is rational, then I is finite.

The following slight modification of Proposition 4.3 in [7] can be proved exactly in the same way and will play a significant role in our arguments.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F(s)$ be a product of finite Dirichlet series $F_i(s)$, indexed over a subset I of \mathbb{N} :*

$$F(s) = \prod_{i \in I} F_i(s), \text{ where } F_i(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_{i,n}}{n^s}$$

Let q be a prime and Λ the set of positive integers divisible by q . Assume that there exists a positive integer α and a set $\{r_i\}_{i \in I}$ of positive integers such that if $n \in \Lambda$ and $b_{i,n} \neq 0$ then n is an r_i -th power of some integer and $v_q(n) = \alpha r_i$ (where $v_q(n)$ is the q -adic valuation of n). Define

$$w = \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_q(x) = \alpha \text{ and } b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in I\}.$$

If $F(s)$ is rational, then the product

$$F^*(s) = \prod_{i \in I} \left(1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{(w^{r_i})^s} \right)$$

is also rational.

3. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

Let G be a finitely generated profinite group and let $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fixed countable descending series of open normal subgroups with the property that $G_0 = G$, $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i = 1$ and G_i/G_{i+1} is a chief factor of G/G_{i+1} for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a simple group S_i and a positive integer r_i such that $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S_i^{r_i}$. Moreover, as described in [5], for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, a finite Dirichlet series

$$(3.1) \quad P_i(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_{i,n}}{n^s}$$

is associated with the chief factor G_i/G_{i+1} and $P_G(s)$ can be written as an infinite formal product of the finite Dirichlet series $P_i(s)$:

$$(3.2) \quad P_G(s) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P_i(s).$$

Moreover, this factorization is independent of the choice of chief series (see [3, 5]) and $P_i(s) = 1$ unless G_i/G_{i+1} is a non-Frattini chief factor of G .

We recall some properties of the series $P_i(s)$. If S_i is cyclic of order p_i , then $P_i(s) = 1 - c_i/(p_i^{r_i})^s$, where c_i is the number of complements of G_i/G_{i+1} in G/G_{i+1} . It is more difficult to compute the series $P_i(s)$ when S_i is a non-abelian simple group. In that case an important role is played by the group $L_i = G/C_G(G_i/G_{i+1})$. This is a monolithic primitive group and its unique minimal normal subgroup is isomorphic to $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S_i^{r_i}$. If $n \neq |S_i|^{r_i}$, then the coefficient $b_{i,n}$ in (3.1) depends only on L_i ; more precisely we have

$$b_{i,n} = \sum_{\substack{|L_i:H|=n \\ L_i = \text{Hsoc}(L_i)}} \mu_{L_i}(H).$$

It is not easy to compute these coefficients $b_{i,n}$ even for $n \neq |S_i|^{r_i}$. Some help comes from the knowledge of the subgroup X_i of $\text{Aut} S_i$ induced by the conjugation action of the normalizer in L_i of a composition factor of the socle $S_i^{r_i}$ (note that X_i is an almost simple group with socle isomorphic to S_i). More precisely, given an almost simple group X with socle S , we can consider the following finite Dirichlet series:

$$(3.3) \quad P_{X,S}(s) = \sum_n \frac{c_n(X)}{n^s}, \quad \text{where } c_n(X) = \sum_{\substack{|X:H|=n \\ X=SH}} \mu_X(H).$$

Lemma 3.1. [10, Theorem 5]. *Let S_i be a nonabelian simple group and let π be a set of primes containing at least one divisor of $|S_i|$. If n is not divisible by $|S_i|$ and $b_{i,n} \neq 0$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n = m^{r_i}$ and $b_{i,n} = c_m(X_i) \cdot m^{r_i-1}$. This implies*

$$P_i^\pi(s) = P_{X_i, S_i}^\pi(r_i s - r_i + 1).$$

We will give now a description of the finite Dirichlet series $P_{X,S}^{\{p\}}(s)$ when S is a simple group of Lie type over a field of characteristic p and X is an almost simple group with socle S . We follow the notations from [1]. Recall that a simple group of Lie type S is the subgroup A^F of fixed points under a Frobenius map F of a connected reductive algebraic group A defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. In particular, S is defined over a field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic p . As explained in [1, 3.4] a Dynkin diagram can be associated to the simple group S and to the corresponding Lie algebra; moreover (see [1, 13.3]) to the map F , a symmetry ρ on the Dynkin diagram of A^F is associated (ρ is trivial in the untwisted case). Let $I := \{\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k\}$ be the set of the ρ -orbits on

the nodes of the Dynkin diagram. For every subset $J \subseteq I$, let $J^* := \cup_{i \in J} \mathcal{O}_i$ be a ρ -stable subset of the set of nodes of the Dynkin diagram and one may associate an F -stable parabolic subgroup P_J of S with J^* . As described in [1, Chapter 9], we may associate to J a polynomial $T_{W_J}(x)$ with the property that $T_{W_J}(q) = |P_J|$. More precisely, in the notations of [1, 9.4], $T_{W_J}(x) = \sum_{w \in W_J} x^{l(w)}$. We have that:

Theorem 3.2. [14, Theorem 17] *Let S be a simple group of Lie type defined over a field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic p and X an almost simple group with socle S . Then*

$$P_{X,S}^{\{p\}}(s) = (-1)^{|I|} \sum_{J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|} \left(\frac{T_W(q)}{T_{W_J}(q)} \right)^{1-s}.$$

In particular, if X does not contain non-trivial graph automorphisms, then

$$P_{X,S}^{\{p\}}(s) = P_S^{\{p\}}(s)$$

For later use we need to recall definitions and results concerning Zsigmondy primes.

Definition 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$. A prime number p is called a primitive prime divisor of $a^n - 1$ if it divides $a^n - 1$ but it does not divide $a^e - 1$ for any integer $1 \leq e \leq n - 1$.*

The following theorem is due to K. Zsigmondy [15]:

Theorem 3.4 (Zsigmondy's Theorem). *Let a and n be integers greater than 1. There exists a primitive prime divisor of $a^n - 1$ except exactly in the following cases:*

- (1) $n = 2$, $a = 2^s - 1$, where $s \geq 2$.
- (2) $n = 6$, $a = 2$.

Observe that there may be more than one primitive prime divisor of $a^n - 1$; we denote by $\langle a, n \rangle$ the set of these primes.

Let p be a prime, r a prime distinct from p and m an integer which is not a power of p . We define:

$$\zeta_p(r) = \min\{z \in \mathbb{N} \mid z \geq 1 \text{ and } p^z \equiv 1 \pmod{r}\},$$

$$\zeta_p(m) = \max\{\zeta_p(r) \mid r \text{ prime}, r \neq p, r \mid m\}.$$

The value of $\zeta_p(S) := \zeta_p(|S|)$ when S is a simple group of Lie type over \mathbb{F}_q and $q = p^f$ is given in in [11, Table 5.2.C].

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be an almost simple group with socle S , where S is a simple group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic p . Assume that $\zeta_p(S) > 1$ and $\zeta_p(S) > 6$ if $p = 2$. Let $\tau \in \langle p, \zeta_p(S) \rangle$. Consider the Dirichlet series*

$$P_{X,S}^{\{p\}}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}.$$

- (a) *If $a_n \neq 0$ then τ divides n . More precisely, $v_\tau(n) = v_\tau(p^{\zeta_p(S)} - 1)$.*
- (b) *If $m > \zeta_p(S)$ and a primitive prime divisor of $p^m - 1$ divides n , then $a_n = 0$.*
- (c) *If n is the smallest positive integer such that $n \neq 1$ and $a_n \neq 0$, then $a_n < 0$.*

Proof. The difficult part of this proposition is (a). We use Theorem 3.2 and the description of the polynomials $T_W(t)$ and $T_{W_J}(t)$ given in [1, Section 9.4, Section 14.2] and in [14, Section 3] (see in particular Table 1). It turns out that if $q = p^f$, then f divides $\zeta_p(S)$ and for each $J \subseteq I$, the polynomial $T_{W_J}(t)$ can be written as a product of suitable cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_u(t)$ with $u \leq \zeta_p(S)/f$. Moreover $\Phi_{\zeta_p(S)/f}(t)$ appears with multiplicity exactly 1 in the factorization of $T_W(t)$ and does not divide $T_{W_J}(t)$ if $J \neq I$. This

means that if $\tau \in \langle p, \zeta_p(S) \rangle$ and $J \neq I$, then $v_\tau(T_W(q)/T_{W_J}(q)) = v_\tau(\Phi_{\zeta_p(S)/f}(p^f)) = v_\tau(p^{\zeta_p(S)} - 1)$. Now (b) follows from the fact that if $m > \zeta_p(S)$ then no prime divisor of $p^m - 1$ divides $|S|$. Finally (c) follows from the fact that by the way in which a_n is defined, the minimality of n implies that the subgroups H involved in the definition of a_n are maximal, thus $\mu_X(H) = -1$ and $a_n < 0$. \square

Combining the previous proposition with Lemma 3.1, we obtain:

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S_i^{r_i}$ is a chief factors of G , where S_i is a simple group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic p . Assume that $\zeta_p(S_i) > 1$ and $\zeta_p(S_i) > 6$ if $p = 2$. If $\tau \in \langle p, \zeta_p(S_i) \rangle$, then we have:*

- (a) *If $b_{i,n} \neq 0$ and $(n, p) = 1$, then τ divides n . More precisely, $v_\tau(n) = r_i \cdot v_\tau(p^{\zeta_p(S_i)} - 1)$.*
- (b) *If $m > \zeta_p(S)$ and a primitive prime divisor of $p^m - 1$ divides n , then $b_{i,n} = 0$.*
- (c) *If n is the smallest positive integer > 1 such that $(n, p) = 1$ and $b_{i,n} \neq 0$, then $b_{i,n} < 0$.*

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND THEOREM 2

We start now the proofs of our main results. We assume that G is a finitely generated profinite group G with the property that $P_G(s) = \sum_n a_n/n^s$ is rational. As described in Section 3, $P_G(s)$ can be written as a formal infinite product of finite Dirichlet series $P_i(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_{i,n}/n^s$ corresponding to the factors G_i/G_{i+1} of a chief series of G . Let J be the set of indices i such that G_i/G_{i+1} is a non-Frattini chief factor. Since $P_i(s) = 1$ if $i \notin J$, we have

$$P_G(s) = \prod_{j \in J} P_j(s).$$

For $C(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n/n^s \in \mathcal{R}$, we define $\pi(C(s))$ to be the set of the primes q for which there exists at least one multiple n of q with $c_n \neq 0$. Notice that if $C(s)B(s) = A(s)$, then $\pi(C(s)) \subseteq \pi(A(s)) \cup \pi(B(s))$. In particular if $C(s)$ is rational then $\pi(C(s))$ is finite. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of the finite simple groups that are isomorphic to a composition factor of some non-Frattini chief factor of G . The first step in the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 is to show that \mathcal{S} is finite. The proof of this claim requires the following result.

Lemma 4.1 ([7, Lemma 3.1]). *Let G be a finitely generated profinite group and let q be a prime with $q \notin \pi(P_G(s))$. Then G has no maximal subgroup of index a power of q . In particular if q divides the order of a non-Frattini chief factor of G , then this factor is not a q -group.*

Let $\pi(G)$ be the set of the primes q with the properties that G contains at least an open subgroup H whose index is divisible by q . Obviously $\pi(P_G(s)) \subseteq \pi(G)$. By [7, Lemma 3.2] and the classification of the finite simple groups, \mathcal{S} is finite if and only if $\pi(G)$ is finite.

Lemma 4.2. *If G satisfies the hypotheses of either Theorem 1 or Theorem 2, then the sets \mathcal{S} and $\pi(G)$ are finite.*

Proof. Since $P_G(s)$ is rational, we have that $\pi(P_G(s))$ is finite. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that \mathcal{S} contains only finitely many abelian groups. If G satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2, then a non abelian group in \mathcal{S} is either one of the 26 sporadic simple groups or is isomorphic to a composition factor of the finite group G/N . In any case we have only finitely many possibilities. Consider now the case when G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and assume by contradiction that \mathcal{S} is infinite. This is possible only if the

subset \mathcal{S}^* of the simple groups in \mathcal{S} that are of Lie type over a field of characteristic p is infinite. In particular, the set $\Omega = \{\zeta_p(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$ is infinite (see [11, Table 5.2C]). Let

$$I := \{j \in J \mid S_j \in \mathcal{S}^*\}, \quad A(s) := \prod_{i \in I} P_i(s) \quad \text{and} \quad B(s) := \prod_{i \notin I} P_i(s).$$

Notice that $\pi(B(s)) \subseteq \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}^*} \pi(S)$ is a finite set. Since $P_G(s) = A(s)B(s)$ and $\pi(P_G(s))$ is finite, it follows that the set $\pi(A(s))$ is finite. According with Theorem 3.4, if m is large enough (for example if $m > 6$) then the set $\langle p, m \rangle$ is non empty. We can find a positive integer $m \in \Omega$ such that $\langle p, m \rangle \neq \emptyset$ but $\langle p, m \rangle \cap \pi(A(s)) = \emptyset$. Notice that if $m \neq u$ then $\langle p, m \rangle \cap \langle p, u \rangle = \emptyset$. Let Γ_m be the set of the positive integers n such that there exists $\tau \in \langle p, m \rangle$ dividing n but no prime in $\langle p, u \rangle$ divides n if $u > m$. Notice that if $b_{i,n} \neq 0$, then $\zeta_p(S_i) = m$ if and only if $n \in \Gamma_m$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} r &:= \min\{r_i \mid S_i \in \mathcal{S}^* \text{ and } \zeta_p(S_i) = m\}, \\ I^* &:= \{i \in I \mid r_i = r \text{ and } S_i \in \mathcal{S}\}, \\ \beta &:= \min\{n > 1 \mid n \in \Gamma_m \text{ and } b_{i,n} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in I^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.6, if $i \in I$ and $b_{i,\beta} \neq 0$, then $\zeta_p(S_i) = m$, $r_i = r$ and $b_{i,\beta} < 0$. Hence the coefficient c_β of $1/\beta^s$ in $A(s)$ is

$$c_\beta = \sum_{i \in I, r=r_i} b_{i,\beta} = \sum_{i \in I^*} b_{i,\beta} < 0.$$

On the other hand, again by Corollary 3.6, all the primes in $\langle p, m \rangle$ divide β . But then $\langle p, m \rangle \subseteq \pi(A(s))$, which is a contradiction. So we have proved that \mathcal{S} is finite. By [7, Lemma 3.2], it follows that $\pi(G)$ is also finite. \square

The previous result allows us to employ the following:

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a finitely generated profinite group and assume that $\pi(G)$ is finite. For each n , there are only finitely many non-Frattini factors in a chief series whose composition length is at most n . Moreover there exists a prime t such that no non-Frattini chief factor of G has composition length divisible by t .*

Proof. Since $\pi(G)$ is finite, the set \mathcal{S} of the composition factors of G is also finite and therefore there exists $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|S| \leq u$ for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Now assume by contradiction that a chief series of G contains infinitely many non-Frattini chief factors of composition length at most n . Let X/Y be one of them: since X/Y is non-Frattini there exists a proper supplement H/Y of X/Y in G/Y . Clearly $|G : H| \leq |X/Y| \leq u^n$. In this way we construct infinitely many subgroups of index at most u^n , which is not possible since a finitely generated profinite group contains only finitely many subgroups of a given index. The second part of the statement is [7, Corollary 5.2]. \square

For a simple group $S \in \mathcal{S}$, let $I_S = \{j \in J \mid S_j \cong S\}$. Our aim is to prove that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and 2, J is a finite set. We have already proved that \mathcal{S} is finite, so it suffices to prove that I_S is finite for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$. First we consider the case when S is abelian.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that G is a finitely generated profinite group such that $P_G(s)$ is rational and $\pi(G)$ is finite. Then for any prime q , if S has a subgroup with index a power of q , then I_S is finite. In particular if S is cyclic, then I_S is finite.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S}_q be the set of the non abelian simple groups in \mathcal{S} containing a proper subgroup of q -power index. A theorem proved by Guralnick [8] implies that if $T \in \mathcal{S}_q$ then there exists a unique positive integer $\alpha(T)$ with the property that T contains a subgroup of index $q^{\alpha(T)}$. Consider the set π of all the primes different from q . By Lemma 3.1, there exist positive integers c_i and nonnegative integers d_j such that

$$(4.1) \quad P_G^\pi(s) = \prod_{i \in I_S} \left(1 - \frac{c_i}{q^{r_i s}}\right) \prod_{T \in \mathcal{S}_q} \left(\prod_{j \in I_T} \left(1 - \frac{d_j}{q^{\alpha(T)r_j s}}\right) \right)$$

Since \mathcal{S} is finite, the set $\{\alpha(T) \mid T \in \mathcal{S}_q\}$ is finite. Moreover, by Proposition 4.3, there is a prime number t such that no element in

$$\{r_i \mid i \in I_S\} \cup \{\alpha(T)r_j \mid T \in \mathcal{S}_q \text{ and } j \in I_T\}$$

is divisible by t . Since $P_G(s)$ is rational, $P_G^\pi(s)$ is also rational. But then, by Proposition 2.2, the number of nontrivial factors in the product at the right side of equation (4.1) is finite. In particular, I_S is a finite set. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of the almost simple groups X such that there exist infinitely many $i \in J$ with $X_i \cong X$ and let $I = \{i \in J \mid X_i \in \mathcal{T}\}$. The hypotheses of Theorem 1 combined with Lemma 4.4 implies that $J \setminus I$ is finite. We have to prove that J is finite; this is equivalent to show that $I = \emptyset$. But then, in order to complete our proof, it suffices to prove the following claim.

(*) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_n = \{i \in I \mid \zeta_p(S_i) = n\} = \emptyset$.

Assume that the claim is false and let m be the smallest integer such that the set $I_m \neq \emptyset$. Since $J \setminus I$ is finite and $P_G(s) = \prod_{i \in J} P_i(s)$ is rational, also $\prod_{i \in I} P_i(s)$ is rational. In particular, the following series is rational:

$$Q(s) = \prod_{i \in I} P_i^{\{p\}}(s).$$

We distinguish three different cases:

- (1) $m = 1, p = 2^t - 1, t \geq 2$;
- (2) $m \leq 5, p = 2$;
- (3) all the other possibilities.

Assume that (1) occurs. By [11, Table 5.2.C] if $\zeta_p(S) = 1$, then $S \cong PSL_2(p)$. In particular S has a subgroup of index a power of 2 and I_S (and consequently I_1) is finite by Lemma 4.4.

In case (3), it follows by Theorem 3.4 that $\langle p, t \rangle \neq \emptyset$ for every $t > m$; we set $\pi = \bigcup_{t > m} \langle p, t \rangle$. In case (2), $\langle p, t \rangle \neq \emptyset$ whenever $t > 6$ and we set $\pi = \bigcup_{t > 6} \langle p, t \rangle$. The Dirichlet series $H(s) = Q^\pi(s)$ is rational. By Corollary 3.6, if $i \in I_t$ and $\tau \in \langle p, t \rangle$, then $P_i^{\{\tau, p\}}(s) = 1$; in particular $P_i^\pi(s) = 1$ whenever $\langle p, t \rangle \subseteq \pi$. This implies

$$H(s) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i \in I_m} P_i^{\{p\}}(s) & \text{in case (3)}, \\ \prod_{\substack{i \in I_u \\ m \leq u \leq 5}} P_i^{\{2\}}(s) & \text{in case (2)}. \end{cases}$$

Assume that case (3) occurs and let $\tau \in \langle p, m \rangle$. By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.6, if $i \in I_m$, $(p, y) = 1$ and $b_{i,y} \neq 0$, then $y = x^{r_i}$ and $v_\tau(x) = v_\tau(p^m - 1)$. Let

$$w = \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_\tau(x) = v_\tau(p^m - 1) \text{ and } b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in I_m\}.$$

By Corollary 3.6, for each $i \in I_m$, if $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0$ then $b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0$. Moreover if $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0$ and $X_j \cong X_i$, then $b_{j,w^{r_j}} \neq 0$, so the set $\Sigma_m = \{i \in I_m \mid b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0\}$ is infinite. Applying Proposition 2.3, we obtain a rational product

$$H^*(s) = \prod_{i \in \Sigma_m} \left(1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{w^{r_i s}} \right), \text{ where } b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0 \text{ for all } i \in \Sigma_m.$$

By Propositions 2.2 and 4.3, $H^*(s)$ is a finite product, i.e. Σ_m is finite, which is a contradiction.

Finally assume that case (2) occurs. If $\zeta_p(S) \leq 5$, then S is one of the following groups: $PSL_6(2), U_4(2), PSp_6(2), P\Omega_8^+(2), PSL_3(4), SL_5(2), PSL_4(2), PSL_3(2)$. The explicit description of the Dirichlet series $P_{X,S}^{\{2\}}(s)$ when $S \leq X \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ and S is one of the simple groups in the previous list is included in the Appendix. Notice in particular that if $i \in \Lambda = \bigcup_{m \leq 5} I_m$ then $\pi(P_i^{\{2\}}(s)) \subseteq \{3, 7, 5, 31\}$. First consider

$$\Lambda_{31} = \{i \in \Lambda \mid 31 \in \pi(P_i^{\{2\}}(s))\}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \text{ is odd, } v_{31}(x) = 1 \text{ and } b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \text{ is odd, } v_{31}(x) = 1 \text{ and } b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \Lambda_{31}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $i \in \Lambda_{31}$ and n is minimal with the properties that n is odd, $b_{i,n^{r_i}} \neq 0$ and $v_{31}(n) = 1$, then $b_{i,n^{r_i}} < 0$ (see Appendix). So if $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0$ then $b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0$; moreover, by applying Proposition 2.3, we obtain a rational product

$$H^*(s) = \prod_{i \in \Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{w^{r_i s}} \right) = \prod_{i \in \Lambda_{31}} \left(1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{w^{r_i s}} \right), \text{ where } b_{i,w^{r_i}} \leq 0 \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda_{31}.$$

By Propositions 2.2 and 4.3, the set $\Lambda_{31}^* = \{i \in \Lambda_{31} \mid b_{i,w^{r_i}} \neq 0\}$ is finite, but this implies that $\Lambda_{31} = \emptyset$. Indeed if $\Lambda_{31} \neq \emptyset$ then there exists at least one index i with $i \in \Lambda_{31}^*$, moreover by assumption there are infinitely many j with $X_j \cong X_i$ and all of them belong to Λ_{31}^* . Since $\Lambda_{31} = \emptyset$, if $i \in \Lambda$, then S_i is isomorphic to one of the following: $U_4(2), PSp_6(2), P\Omega_8^+(2), PSL_3(4), PSL_4(2), PSL_3(2)$. It follows from Appendix, that if $i \in \Lambda$, x is odd and $b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0$, then $v_7(x) \leq 1$. But then, we may repeat the same argument as above and consider $\Lambda_7 = \{i \in \Lambda \mid 7 \in \pi(P_i^{\{2\}}(s))\}$ and

$$w := \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \text{ is odd, } v_7(x) = 1 \text{ and } b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \Lambda_7\}.$$

Arguing as before we deduce that $\Lambda_7 = \emptyset$. We can see from Appendix that this implies $S_i \cong U_4(2)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$ and

$$H^{\{5\}}(s) = \prod_{i \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{3^{3r_i}}{3^{3r_i s}} \right).$$

Again, by Propositions 2.2 and 4.3, Λ is finite and consequently $\Lambda = \emptyset$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of the almost simple groups X such that $\text{soc}X$ is a sporadic simple groups and there exist infinitely many $i \in J$ with $X_i \cong X$ and let $I = \{i \in J \mid X_i \in \mathcal{T}\}$. As in the case of Theorem 1, we have to prove that $I = \emptyset$. For an almost simple group X , let $\Omega(X)$ be the set of the odd integers $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- X contains at least one subgroup Y such that $X = Y \text{soc}X$ and $|X : Y| = m$;
- if $X = Y \text{soc}X$ and $|X : Y| = m$, then Y is a maximal subgroup if X .

Note that if $m \in \Omega(X)$, $X = Y \text{ soc} X$ and $|X : Y| = m$, then $\mu_X(Y) = -1$: in particular $c_m(X) < 0$. Combined with Lemma 3.1, this implies that if $m \in \Omega(X_i)$ then $b_{i,m^{r_i}} < 0$. Certainly $\Omega(X)$ is not empty and its smallest element is the smallest index $m(X)$ of a supplement of $\text{soc} X$ in X containing a Sylow 2-subgroup of X . When $S = \text{soc} X$ is a sporadic simple group, the value of $m(X)$ can be read from [2], where, for each of these groups, the list of the maximal subgroups and their indices are given; the precise values are given in Table 1. In few cases we need to know another integer $n(X)$ in $\Omega(X)$, given in Table 2. For a fixed prime p , let $\Lambda_p = \{i \in I \mid p \in \pi(P_i^{\{2\}}(s))\}$.

If $i \in \Lambda_{31}$, then 31 divide $|S_i|$ and $S_i \in \{J_4, \text{Ly}, \text{O}'\text{N}, \text{BM}, \text{M}, \text{Th}\}$. Moreover 31^2 does not divide $|S_i|$ so if n is odd, divisible by 31 and $b_{i,n} \neq 0$ then $n = x^{r_i}$ and $v_{31}(x) = 1$. Let $m_i = n(S_i)$ if $S_i \cong \text{Th}$, $m_i = m(S_i)$ otherwise. Since m_i is the smallest odd number divisible by 31 and equal to the index in X_i of a supplement of S_i we get:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \text{ is odd, } v_{31}(x) = 1 \text{ and } b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in I\} \\ &= \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \text{ is odd, } v_{31}(x) = 1 \text{ and } b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \Lambda_{31}\} \\ &= \min\{m_i \mid i \in \Lambda_{31}\}. \end{aligned}$$

But then by Proposition 2.3, the following Dirichlet series is rational:

$$\prod_{i \in \Lambda_{31}} \left(1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{(w^{r_i})^s} \right).$$

We have $b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0$ if $m_i = w$, $b_{i,w^{r_i}} = 0$ otherwise. By applying Propositions 2.2 and 4.3, we get that $\{i \in \Lambda_{31} \mid m_i = w\}$ is a finite set, and this implies $\Lambda_{31} = \emptyset$.

Now consider Λ_{23} . Since $\Lambda_{31} = \emptyset$, if $i \in \Lambda_{23}$ then $S_i \in \{\text{M}_{23}, \text{M}_{24}, \text{Co}_1, \text{Co}_2, \text{Co}_3, \text{Fi}_{23}, \text{Fi}_{24}'\}$. We can repeat the argument used to prove that $\Lambda_{31} = \emptyset$. Let $m_i = n(S_i)$ if $S_i \cong \text{Co}_1$, $m_i = m(X_i)$ otherwise and let $w = \min\{m_i \mid i \in \Lambda_{23}\}$. By applying Propositions 2.2 and 4.3, we get that $\{i \in \Lambda_{23} \mid m_i = w\}$ is a finite set, and this implies $\Lambda_{23} = \emptyset$.

Now we consider Λ_{11} . Since $\Lambda_{31} \cup \Lambda_{23} = \emptyset$, if $i \in \Lambda_{11}$, then $S_i \in \{\text{M}_{11}, \text{M}_{12}, \text{M}_{22}, \text{J}_1, \text{HS}, \text{Suz}, \text{McL}, \text{HN}, \text{Fi}_{22}\}$. Let $m_i = n(X_i)$ if $S_i \cong \text{Fi}_{22}$ or $S_i \cong \text{Fi}_{24}'$, $m_i = m(X_i)$ otherwise and let $w = \min\{m_i \mid i \in \Lambda_{11}\}$. As before, by applying Propositions 2.2 and 4.3, we get that $\{i \in \Lambda_{23} \mid m_i = w\}$ is a finite set, and this implies $\Lambda_{11} = \emptyset$. Continuing our procedure, we consider Λ_{17} : if $i \in \Lambda_{17}$, then $S_i \in \{\text{J}_3, \text{He}\}$ and we can take $w = \min\{m(X_i) \mid i \in \Lambda_{17}\}$ and deduce that $\Lambda_{17} = \emptyset$. Next we take $w = m(\text{Ru})$ to prove $\Lambda_{29} = \emptyset$ and finally we take $w = m(\text{J}_2)$ to prove $\Lambda_7 = \emptyset$. \square

APPENDIX: EXCEPTIONAL CASES

In this section, we give explicit formulae for $P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s)$ when X is an almost simple group whose socle S is of Lie type over a field of characteristic 2 and $\zeta_2(S) \leq 5$. We use for this purpose Theorem 3.2 and the description of the polynomials $T_W(t)$ and $T_{W_J}(t)$ given in [1, Section 9.4, Section 14.2] and in [14, Section 3] (see in particular Table 1). Just to see an example, consider the case $S = \text{PSL}_4(2)$. $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is the set of the nodes of the Dynkin diagram. According to [14, Table 1] $T_W(2) = (2^4 - 1)(2^3 - 1)(2^2 - 1) = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Moreover $T_{W_J}(2) = (2^3 - 1)(2^2 - 1) = 3 \cdot 7$ if $J \in \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, $T_{W_J}(2) = (2^2 - 1)(2^2 - 1) = 3^2$ if $J = \{1, 3\}$, $T_{W_J}(2) = (2^2 - 1) = 3$ if J is one of the three subsets of I of cardinality 1, $T_{W_\emptyset}(2) = 1$. Hence $P_S^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2(3 \cdot 5)^{(1-s)} - (5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + 3(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}$.

(i) $S = \text{PSL}_6(2)$. If X contains a graph automorphism then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - (3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + \\ + 2(3^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + (3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - (3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)}.$$

If X does not contain graph automorphisms, then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2(3^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - 2(3 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + \\ + 3(3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + 6(3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - \\ - 4(3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - 6(3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + \\ + 5(3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - (3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)}.$$

(ii) $S = \text{PSL}_5(2)$. If X contains a graph automorphism then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - (3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)}.$$

If X does not contain graph automorphisms, then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2(31)^{(1-s)} - 2(5 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + 3(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + \\ + 3(5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} - 4(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)} + (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31)^{(1-s)}.$$

(iii) $S = \text{PSL}_4(2)$. If X contains a graph automorphism then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

If X does not contain graph automorphisms, then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2(3 \cdot 5)^{(1-s)} - (5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + 3(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

(iv) $S = \text{PSL}_3(2)$. If X contains a graph automorphism then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

If X does not contain graph automorphisms, then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2(7)^{(1-s)} + (3 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

(v) $S = \text{PSL}_3(4)$. If X contains a graph automorphism then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

If X does not contain graph automorphisms then

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2(3 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + (3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

(vi) $S = \text{PSp}_6(2)$. We have

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - (3^3 \cdot 5)^{(1-s)} - (3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + 3(3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - (3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

(vii) $S = \text{U}_4(2)$. We have

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - (3^3)^{(1-s)} - (3^2 \cdot 5)^{(1-s)} + (3^3 \cdot 5)^{(1-s)}.$$

(viii) $S = \text{P}\Omega_8^+(2)$. We have

$$P_{X,S}^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 3(3^2 \cdot 5)^{(1-s)} - (3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + 3(3^3 \cdot 5^2)^{(1-s)} + \\ + 3(3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} - 4(3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)} + (3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7)^{(1-s)}.$$

Table 1: Sporadic simple groups

X	$ X $	$m(X)$
M_{11}	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	11
M_{12}	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	$3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$
$\text{Aut}(M_{12})$	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	$3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$
M_{22}	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$7 \cdot 11$
$\text{Aut}(M_{22})$	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$7 \cdot 11$
M_{23}	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$	23
M_{24}	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$	$3 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$
J_1	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$	$5 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$
J_2	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$	$3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
$\text{Aut}(J_2)$	$2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$	$3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
J_3	$2^7 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$	$3^4 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$
$\text{Aut}(J_3)$	$2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$	$3^4 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$
J_4	$2^{21} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43$	$11^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43$
HS	$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11$
$\text{Aut}(\text{HS})$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11$
Suz	$2^{13} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$	$3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
$\text{Aut}(\text{Suz})$	$2^{14} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$	$3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
McL	$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$5^2 \cdot 11$
$\text{Aut}(\text{McL})$	$2^8 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$5^2 \cdot 11$
Ru	$2^{14} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 29$	$3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 29$
He	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17$	$5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17$
$\text{Aut}(\text{He})$	$2^{11} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17$	$3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17$
Ly	$2^8 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 67$	$5^3 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 67$
O'N	$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$	$3^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$
$\text{Aut}(\text{O'N})$	$2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$	$3^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$
Co_1	$2^{21} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23$	$3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$
Co_2	$2^{18} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$	$3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 23$
Co_3	$2^{10} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$	$3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$
Fi_{22}	$2^{17} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$	$3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$
$\text{Aut}(\text{Fi}_{22})$	$2^{18} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$	$3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$
Fi_{23}	$2^{18} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23$	$3^4 \cdot 17 \cdot 23$
Fi'_{24}	$2^{21} \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23 \cdot 29$	$3^{13} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29$
$\text{Aut}(\text{Fi}'_{24})$	$2^{22} \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29$	$3^{13} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29$
HN	$2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$	$3^4 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$
$\text{Aut}(\text{HN})$	$2^{15} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$	$3^4 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$
Th	$2^{15} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$	$3^8 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$
BM	$2^{41} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 47$	$3^7 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 31 \cdot 47$
M	$2^{46} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 71$	$3^{11} \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 71$

Table 2: $n(X)$

X	$n(X)$
-----	--------

Co_1	$3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23$
Fi_{22}	$3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
Fi'_{24}	$3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23 \cdot 29$
$\text{Aut}(\text{Fi}'_{24})$	$3^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23 \cdot 29$
Th	$3^8 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$

REFERENCES

1. Roger W. Carter, *Simple groups of Lie type*, John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1972, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 28.
2. J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985, Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.
3. Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Crowns and factorization of the probabilistic zeta function of a finite group*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), no. 2, 651–668.
4. Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Profinite groups with multiplicative probabilistic zeta function*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **70** (2004), no. 1, 165–181.
5. Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Crowns in profinite groups and applications*, Noncommutative algebra and geometry, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 243, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 47–62.
6. Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Profinite groups with a rational probabilistic zeta function*, J. Group Theory, 9(2) (2006) 203–217.
7. Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Non-prosoluble profinite groups with a rational probabilistic zeta function*, J. Group Theory **10** (2007), no. 4, 453–466.
8. Robert Guralnick, *Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group*, J. Algebra **81** (1983), 304–311.
9. Philip Hall, *The eulerian functions of a group*, Quart. J. Math. (1936), no. 7, 134–151.
10. Paz Jiménez-Seral, *Coefficients of the probabilistic function of a monolithic group*, Glasg. Math. J. **50** (2008), no. 1, 75–81.
11. Peter Kleidman and Martin Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
12. Andrea Lucchini, *Profinite groups with nonabelian crowns of bounded rank and their probabilistic zeta function*, Israel J. Math. **181** (2011), 53–64.
13. Avinoam Mann, *Positively finitely generated groups*, Forum Math. **8** (1996), no. 4, 429–459.
14. Massimiliano Patassini, *On the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomial of a simple group of Lie type*, Israel J. Math. **185** (2011), 477–507.
15. K. Zsigmondy, *Zur Theorie der Potenzreste*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. **3** (1892), no. 1, 265–284.