ON LOWER BOUNDS FOR COHOMOLOGY GROWTH IN p-ADIC ANALYTIC TOWERS

STEFFEN KIONKE

ABSTRACT. Let p and ℓ be two distinct prime numbers and let Γ be a group. We study the asymptotic behaviour of the mod- ℓ Betti numbers in p -adic analytic towers of finite index subgroups. If Θ is a finite ℓ -group of automorphisms of Γ, our main theorem allows to lift lower bounds for the mod- ℓ cohomology growth in the fixed point group Γ^{Θ} to lower bounds for the growth in Γ. We give applications to S-arithmetic groups and we also obtain a similar result for cohomology with rational coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of (co)homology growth of a group Γ is concerned with the question how the (co)homology and associated invariants change in towers of finite index (normal) subgroups. In recent years (co)homology growth has become a rather active topic with contributions by Lück $[21, 22]$ $[21, 22]$, Lackenby $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ and Calegari-Emerton [\[11,](#page-13-4) [12\]](#page-13-5). In particular, Calegari and Emerton proved upper bound results for mod- p cohomology growth in p -adic analytic towers (see also Bergeron, Linnell, Lück and Sauer [\[3\]](#page-13-6) for a different perspective).

Throughout the article p and ℓ denote two distinct prime numbers. The main result in this article provides a simple way to prove asymptotic lower bounds for the mod- ℓ Betti number growth in p -adic analytic towers of subgroups.

1.1. The main result. Let Γ be a group of type (VFP), by definition this means that every torsion-free finite index subgroup is of type (FP). Recall that a group Γ ′ is of type (FP) if the trivial group module Z admits a finite length resolution by finitely generated projective Z[Γ′]-modules (see [\[10,](#page-13-7) p.199]). In particular, every torsion-free finite index subgroup of Γ has finite mod- ℓ cohomology. Assume there is an injective group homomorphism $\iota : \Gamma \to G$, where G is a compact p-adic analytic group. We will assume further that the image of ι is dense in G. We fix a finite ℓ -group Θ of continuous automorphisms of G and we assume that the image $\iota(\Gamma)$ is stable under Θ . Thus Θ also acts by automorphisms on the group Γ . From now on we identify Γ with its image under ι . We fix an open, normal, Θ -stable, uniform pro-p subgroup $U \triangleleft G$ (see Lemma [3](#page-8-0) below) and we consider the associated lower p -series of U defined by

$$
P_1(U) := U
$$
 and $P_{i+1}(U) := \Phi(P_i(U)).$

Date: October 29, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20J06; Secondary 11F75, 20E15, 57M07.

Key words and phrases. Betti numbers, cohomology, arithmetic groups.

The author would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for their hospitality and support.

Here Φ to denotes the Frattini subgroup. For example, if $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (with p odd), then the principal congruence subgroup U of level one is uniform and $P_i(U)$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level i. For every $i \geq 1$ we define

$$
\Gamma_i := \Gamma \cap P_i(U).
$$

We study the mod- ℓ cohomology growth for the tower of torsion-free, normal, finite index subgroups $(\Gamma_i)_i$ of Γ . Inspired by [\[3\]](#page-13-6) we shall call this a *p*-adic analytic tower. Note that for every *i* the group Γ_i is Θ -stable since the subgroups $P_i(U)$ are characteristic in U.

Theorem 1. Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Suppose there are positive real numbers $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $\lambda \in [0, \dim(G^{\Theta})]$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^i(\Gamma^\Theta_n, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \gg \left(\frac{[\Gamma^\Theta:\Gamma_n^\Theta]}{p^{(n-1)\lambda}}\right)^{\alpha},
$$

as n tends to infinity, then

$$
\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^i(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \gg [\Gamma : \Gamma_n]^{d\alpha}
$$

where $d = \frac{\dim(G^{\Theta}) - \lambda}{\dim(G)}$ $\frac{\text{Im}(G^{\circ})-\lambda}{\text{dim}(G)}$ as n tends to infinity^{[1](#page-1-0)}. In particular, the conclusion applies with $r = 0$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ whenever Γ^{Θ} is a finite group.

To obtain strong bounds out of this result we would like to choose α large and λ small. Afterwards we always try to choose r as large as possible to obtain more information on the degrees in which the Betti numbers grow.

The proof is based on Smith theory and its group theoretic interpretation by Adem. We sketch the argument – a full proof is given in Section [4.](#page-8-1) Choose a suitable $K(\Gamma_n, 1)$ space X_n which is equipped with an action of the semidirect product $\Gamma_n \rtimes \Theta$. The basic inequality of Smith-Floyd [\[14\]](#page-13-8) implies

$$
\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim H^{i}(X_{n}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \geq \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim H^{i}(X_{n}^{\Theta}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}).
$$

Adem gave a group theoretic interpretation of the space of fixed points (cf. [\[1\]](#page-12-0)), which yields

$$
\dim H^i(X_n^{\Theta}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) = \sum_{[c] \in H^1(\Theta, \Gamma_n)} \dim H^i(\Gamma_n^{\Theta|c}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}),
$$

where the sum runs over the first non-abelian Galois cohomology set of Θ with values in Γ_n . Here $\Gamma_n^{\Theta|c}$ denotes the subgroup fixed by the c-twisted action of Θ (see Section [4\)](#page-8-1). We do not assume that the index of the fixed point groups actually grows as n tends to infinity. Instead, we show that the cardinality of the cohomology set $H^1(\Theta, \Gamma_n)$ grows sufficiently fast. The underlying results are obtained in Section [3](#page-6-0) using pro-p methods. Here we use the assumption that the tower is p-adic analytic.

In Section [2](#page-3-0) we give two applications of Theorem [1](#page-1-1) concerning the cohomology of S-arithmetic groups. In the first application (Theorem [2\)](#page-4-0) we lift cohomology growth of principal congruence subgroups over base change of Galois extensions of order 2^m . In the second application (Theorem [3\)](#page-5-0) we give a uniform lower bound

¹Here " \gg " means the inequality " \geq " holds for large *n* up to a positive constant.

for the mod- ℓ cohomology growth of S-arithmetic subgroups of split semi-simple groups. In Section [5](#page-9-0) we investigate the question whether the cohomology growth obtained via Theorem [1](#page-1-1) is already attained for the growth of cohomology with rational coefficients. In fact, under stronger hypotheses we obtain a similar result (Theorem [4\)](#page-10-0) concerning the rational cohomology growth of arithmetic groups.

1.2. Smith theory and non-constant coefficients. Since there is a Smith theory for sheaf cohomology (cf. Thm. 19.7 in [\[9\]](#page-13-9)), it is tempting to ask for a generalization of Smith theory (and Theorem [1\)](#page-1-1) for group cohomology with non-constant coefficients. Clearly, given a finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_{ℓ} vector space M with an action of Γ, there is a finite index normal subgroup of Γ which acts trivially. Passing to this subgroup (and possibly shrinking to make it Θ-stable) we can simply apply Theorem [1.](#page-1-1) However, if we do not allow passing to a finite index subgroup first, then we cannot apply Smith theory, since necessary conditions are in general not fulfilled by sheaves induced by a non-constant group module on the Eilenberg-MacLane space.

Consider the following counter-example. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\Theta = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be acting on Γ by inversion, in particular $\Gamma^{\Theta} = \{0\}$. The group Γ acts by cyclic permutations on the \mathbb{F}_2 vector space

$$
M = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}_2 \mid x + y + z = 0 \}.
$$

It is easy to check that $H^*(\mathbb{Z},M) = 0$. However, $H^0(\Gamma^{\Theta},M) = M$ and so the basic inequality of Smith theory dim $H^*(\mathbb{Z}, M) \ge \dim H^*(\mathbb{Z}^{\Theta}, M)$ fails. This gives a counter-example to the extremal case $d = 0$ of Theorem [1](#page-1-1) for non-constant coefficients.

1.3. Further comments. Whereas strong upper bound results have been obtained recently (cf. [\[11\]](#page-13-4), [\[3\]](#page-13-6)), lower bounds for cohomology growth seem to be a more complicated issue. The best results are known for the homology of low dimensional manifolds. Lackenby $[20]$ studied the growth of the first mod- p Betti number and obtained strong asymptotic lower bounds under assumptions on the group Γ which are inspired by 3- and 4-manifold topology. Calegari and Emerton obtained precise growth results for the first mod-p homology of compact 3-manifolds (cf. [\[12\]](#page-13-5)). For higher dimensional cases it seems that there are no general results (F. Calegari pointed out that their basic technique should yield results for cocompact arithmetic lattices in general). There are some cases for arithmetic groups where the computation of Lefschetz numbers implies certain lower bounds (eg. [\[18\]](#page-13-10)). Such applications can be obtained directly from our Theorem [4](#page-10-0) without computation of Lefschetz numbers. Moreover, for arithmetic groups there is also a fruitful connection to the theory of limit multiplicities and automorphic representations (see Sarnak-Xue [\[26\]](#page-13-11) for a conjecture on the asymptotic behaviour of multiplicities). In this framework there are some lower bound results due to Rajan and Venkataramana [\[23,](#page-13-12) Thm.6].

1.4. Notation and Conventions. Throughout p and ℓ denote two distinct prime numbers. For convenience we make the following definitions.

- (1) A cofinal p-tower in a group Γ is a decreasing sequence of finite index subgroups $\Gamma_i \subseteq \Gamma$ such that the following two conditions hold:
	- (a) $\bigcap_i \Gamma_i = \{1\}$ and
	- (b) for all n the group Γ_n is normal in Γ_1 and Γ_n/Γ_{n+1} is an elementary abelian p-group.

4 S. KIONKE

(2) Let G be a real semi-simple Lie group with a finite number of connected components and let K be a maximal compact subgroup. We say that G has discrete series – for simplicity just DS – if the ranks of the complexified Lie algebras of G and K agree.

2. Applications to arithmetic groups

The groups of type (VFP) of particular interest in this article are S-arithmetic subgroups of semi-simple algebraic groups. We demonstrate how Theorem [1](#page-1-1) can be used in this situation. In the first application we stick to arithmetic groups, in the second application (Thm. [3\)](#page-5-0) we treat S-arithmetic groups for sufficiently small sets S.

Let F be an algebraic number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F and let G be a connected semi-simple linear algebraic F -group. We fix an F -rational embedding $\phi: G \to \mathrm{GL}_N$. We define the real Lie group $G_{\infty} = G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ and we fix a maximal compact subgroup $K_{\infty} \subset G_{\infty}$. Recall that we say G_{∞} has discrete series (short "DS") if the complex ranks rank $\mathbb{C}(K_{\infty})$ and rank $\mathbb{C}(G_{\infty})$ agree. As usual we put $q = 1/2 \cdot \dim(G_{\infty}/K_{\infty}).$

For any proper ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ we define the associated principal congruence subgroups $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}) \subset G(F)$ by

$$
\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}) = \phi^{-1} \big(\ker(\operatorname{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_F) \to \operatorname{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{a})) \big).
$$

Recall the following important result: For every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ one has

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{H^i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k), \mathbb{C})}{[\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) : \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k)]} = \begin{cases} (-1)^q \chi(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})) & \text{if } G_{\infty} \text{ has DS and } i = q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Note further that in this case the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}))$ is non-zero. The result follows from the theory of limit multiplicites of de George-Wallach, Rohlfs-Speh and Savin. More precisely, one might use Thm. 3.4 in [\[11\]](#page-13-4) to obtain the case where the limit is zero. Finally, one only needs to use the fact that the Euler characteristic grows linearly with the index (cf. $[28]$). In fact, by Lück's approximation theorem [\[21\]](#page-13-0) this result describes the L^2 Betti numbers of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})$.

Hence, if G_{∞} has DS, we have asymptotic lower bounds

(1)
$$
H^q(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k), \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \gg [\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) : \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^k)]
$$

for every prime number ℓ . Theorem [1](#page-1-1) makes it possible to lift this cohomology growth to other groups.

In order to apply Theorem [1](#page-1-1) we need to know whether the closure of an arithmetic group at some finite place is actually an open compact subgroup. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ we denote the completion of F w.r.t. \mathfrak{p} by $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma 1. Let G be a connected semi-simple linear algebraic group over F . Let $\Gamma \subseteq G(F)$ be an arithmetic group. If the simply connected covering G of G has strong approximation, then the closure of Γ in $G(F_p)$ is an open compact subgroup.

Proof. Let $\pi : \widetilde{G} \to G$ be the *F*-rational covering morphism. The derivative of $\pi_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}: G(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the identity, therefore $\pi_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a submersion. In particular, it is an open map. Due to the commensurability of arithmetic groups it suffices to prove the claim for some arithmetic group Γ_0 . Let $\Delta \subseteq \widetilde{G}(F)$ be an arithmetic group, by Cor. 7.13 in [\[4\]](#page-13-14) there is an arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subset G(F)$ such that $\pi(\Delta) \subset \Gamma_0$. Since \widetilde{G} has strong approximation, the closure $\overline{\Delta} \subseteq \widetilde{G}(F_p)$ is open and compact. We obtain

$$
\pi(\overline{\Delta}) = \overline{\pi(\Delta)} \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_0} \subset G(F_{\mathfrak{p}}),
$$

and the claim follows from the fact that $\pi_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is open.

2.1. Application 1: Base change. Let E/F be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group Θ . We consider the semi-simple algebraic F-group $H = \text{Res}_{E/F}(G \times_F E)$ obtained by restriction of scalars and we study congruence subgroups of H. Note that Θ acts on H by F-rational automorphisms. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ be a prime ideal, the associated principal congruence subgroups

$$
\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k) = \phi^{-1}\Big(\mathrm{ker}\big(\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_E) \to \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}^k\mathcal{O}_E)\big)\Big) \subset H(F) = G(E)
$$

are stable under Θ. Further, we define $\Gamma = H(\mathcal{O}_F) = G(\mathcal{O}_E)$ via the embedding ϕ .

Theorem 2. Assume that $[E : F] = 2^m$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ be a prime ideal which does not divide 2. If G_{∞} has DS and the simply connected covering of H has strong approximation, then

$$
\sum_{i=q}^{\infty} \dim H^{i}(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k), \mathbb{F}_2) \gg [\Gamma : \Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k)]^{1/[E:F]}
$$

as k tends to infinity, where $q = \frac{1}{2} \dim(G_{\infty}/K_{\infty})$.

Proof. Let p be the prime number such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. We denote the completion of F w.r.t. the ideal \mathfrak{p} by $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, moreover we denote the valuation ring in $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The closure of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k)$ in the *p*-adic analytic group $H(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is an open compact subgroup by Lemma [1.](#page-3-1) We define the open compact subgroup

$$
K_{\mathfrak{p}}(k) := \ker \bigl(H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \bigr)
$$

(always with respect to the embedding $\phi : G \to GL_N$). These groups form a neighbourhood basis of open subgroups in $H(F_p)$ and therefore

$$
\overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k)}=K_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)
$$

for all sufficiently large k. Note that, if H has strong approximation, then this holds for all k. Further, for all sufficiently large k the p-adic analytic group $K_p(k)$ is a uniform $pro-p$ group and we have the identity

$$
\Phi(K_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) = K_{\mathfrak{p}}(k+e),
$$

where e is largest integer such that \mathfrak{p}^e divides the ideal $p\mathcal{O}_F$. Note that $H^{\Theta} = G$. Henceforth we may apply Theorem [1](#page-1-1) to the groups $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k)$, at least for sufficiently large k. Here we choose $r = q$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, which is possible due to equation [\(1\)](#page-3-2) (using that G_{∞} has DS). Clearly, the fixed point group $H(F_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\Theta}$ is just $G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and moreover we observe

$$
\dim(K_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)) = \dim(H(F_{\mathfrak{p}})) = [E : F] \dim(G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})). \square
$$

The same proof works for mod- ℓ cohomology if $[E : F] = \ell^m$ for an odd prime ℓ . However, in this case H has discrete series and the claim is clear. For instance, this theorem can be applied to symplectic groups. Of course it is possible to use a lower bound produced by Theorem [2](#page-4-0) and to lift it (via Thm. [1\)](#page-1-1) yet to another group. We make this more precise with the following example.

Example 1. Let F be a totally real number field and let E/F be a Galois extension of degree $[E : F] = 2^m$. Consider the special linear group SL_{2n}/E . Let p be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F which does not divide 2. We study $SL_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ and the associated principal congruence subgroups

$$
\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k) := \ker \bigl(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E) \to \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}^k \mathcal{O}_E) \bigr).
$$

In this situation one obtains

$$
\sum_{i=[F:\mathbb{Q}] \frac{n^2+n}{2}}^{\mathrm{vcd}(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E))} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H^i(\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k),\mathbb{F}_2) \gg [\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E):\Gamma(\mathfrak{p},k)]^{\frac{n(2n+1)}{2^m(4n^2-1)}}
$$

as k tends to infinity. Here $\text{vcd}(\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E))$ denotes the virtual cohomological dimension of $SL_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. It follows from the work of Borel-Serre [\[5,](#page-13-15) 11.4.4] that the virtual cohomological dimension is given by

$$
\text{vcd}(\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E)) = 2^{m+1}[F:\mathbb{Q}]n^2 + (s-2)n - (s+t-1).
$$

Here $[E:\mathbb{Q}]=s+2t$ where s denotes the number of real places and t the number of complex places of E. To obtain this result, one simply applies Theorem [1](#page-1-1) for the finite group Θ generated by the standard symplectic involution and uses the lower bounds obtained from Theorem [2](#page-4-0) for the symplectic group.

2.2. Application 2: Split groups. Let F be an algebraic number field. Let s denote the number of real places of F and t the number of complex places of F, then $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = s + 2t$. We fix a finite set of places S of F which contains the set of all archimedean places. Theorem [1](#page-1-1) enables us to prove a general result on cohomology growth for S-arithmetic subgroups in split F -groups. For certain groups (for instance if S is the set of all archimedean places and G_{∞} has DS) this bound is too weak, however the bound is uniformly valid for all split semi-simple groups.

Theorem 3. Let G be a semi-simple split F -group of rank r and assume that the finite set of places S satisfies $|S| \leq [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. Suppose F contains all ℓ -th roots of unity for some prime ℓ and choose any prime number $p \neq \ell$ which is prime to all finite places in S. Given any S-arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(F)$ and a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}[\Gamma]$ -module V, there is a cofinal p-tower $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (cf. [1.4\)](#page-2-0) such that

$$
\sum_{i=r(|S|-1)}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^i(\Gamma_n, V) \gg [\Gamma : \Gamma_n]^{\alpha}
$$

with $\alpha = \frac{r([F:\mathbb{Q}]-|S|+1)}{\dim(G)[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$ $\frac{d\Gamma(\mathbf{F}:\mathbb{Q})-|\mathbf{S}|+1)}{\dim(G)[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$ as n tends to infinity.

Proof. Passing to a finite index subgroup we can assume that G is connected and that Γ acts trivially on V. Let \tilde{G} denote the universal covering of G. Since G is Fsplit, we see that \tilde{G} is split as well, hence it has strong approximation. Consider the associated adjoint group $\text{Ad}(G) = G/C(G)$ with the projection $f: G \to \text{Ad}(G)$. In fact, $\text{Ad}(G)$ is also a split semi-simple F-group. Choose some maximal F-split torus $T \subseteq \mathrm{Ad}(G)$. By assumption F contains the ℓ -th roots of unity and since $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$ over F, the elements of order ℓ in $T(F)$ form a finite group Θ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^r$. This group acts by F-rational inner automorphisms on G . Since $Ad(G)$ is adjoint, the roots of T acting on the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G)$ span the group of characters $X(T)$ of T (cf. $[6, (2.23)]$). Any root can be considered a simple root for some system of simple roots (cf. [\[16,](#page-13-17) 10.3]) and thus cannot be in $\ell X(T)$. We see that no root vanishes entirely on Θ and we deduce that $Lie(G)^{\Theta} = Lie(T)$. This implies that the identity component $(G^{\Theta})^0$ is a maximal F-split torus T' isogenous to T via the projection f. Hence G^{Θ} is an extension of a finite group by T' .

Take Γ_0 to be a finite index Θ -stable subgroup of Γ such that $\Gamma_0 \cap G^{\Theta} \subset T'$. This is possible since T' is of finite index in G^{Θ} (embed $G \to GL_N$ and apply a suitable congruence condition away from S). The closure U_0 of Γ_0 in $G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is open and compact, since \tilde{G} has strong approximation (cf. Lemma [1\)](#page-3-1). By Lemma [3](#page-8-0) there is an open, compact, normal, Θ-stable, uniform pro-p subgroup $U \subseteq U_0$. We define $\Gamma_n := P_n(U) \cap \Gamma_0$. The fixed point groups Γ_n^{Θ} are torsion-free arithmetic subgroups of the split torus T' and therefore are free abelian of rank $r(|S|-1)$ by Dirichlet's S-unit theorem. Note that the free abelian group Γ_n^{Θ} of rank $r(|S|-1)$ has non-vanishing cohomology in degree $r(|S|-1)$. Moreover, since Γ_n/Γ_{n+1} is an elementary abelian p -group for every n , we conclude that

$$
[\Gamma_1^{\Theta} : \Gamma_n^{\Theta}] \le p^{(n-1)r(|S|-1)}.
$$

We define $\lambda = r(|S|-1)$ and $\alpha = 1$ $\alpha = 1$. Theorem 1 implies the claim since the dimension of $G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ as p-adic analytic group is $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ dim(G) and the dimension of the fixed point group is $r[F : \mathbb{Q}] = r(s + 2t)$.

Remark 1. If F is totally real, then the assumption $|S| \leq [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ restricts the theorem to arithmetic groups. Moreover, a totally real field only contains the roots of unity ± 1 , so we can only speak about \mathbb{F}_2 cohomology.

Note further that in the situation of Theorem [3](#page-5-0) the real rank of the Lie group G_{∞} is $r(s+t)$. If S is the set of archimeden places and Γ is an irreducible arithmetic group, it is known that the cohomology with \mathbb{C} -coefficients of Γ below the degree $r(s+t)$ comes only from the cohomology of the compact dual symmetric space (cf. [\[7,](#page-13-18) XIV, 2.2]). However, it seems that a similar result is not known for cohomology with mod- ℓ coefficients (and probably does not hold).

3. Uniform pro-p groups and finite order automorphisms

In this section we prove some auxiliary results concerning pro-p groups, finite order automorphisms and non-abelian cohomology. Throughout G denotes a pro- p group. The lower p-series of G will be denoted by $P_i(G)$ (a general definition can be found in 1.15 in [\[13\]](#page-13-19)). We shall be mainly concerned with the case where G is a uniform pro-p group (see [\[13\]](#page-13-19)). In this case the lower p-series is defined as

$$
P_1(G) := G
$$
 and $P_{i+1}(G) := \Phi(P_i(G)).$

Here we use Φ to denote the Frattini subgroup.

Let Θ denote a finite group which acts on G by continuous automorphisms. We denote the action by upper left exponents. Consider the first non-abelian cohomology set $H^1(\Theta, G)$. Recall that a 1-cocycle for Θ with values in G is a function $a: \Theta \to G$ (with notation $a(s) = a_s$) such that $a_{st} = a_s a_t$ for all $s, t \in \Theta$. Two cocycles a and b are *cohomologous*, if there is some element $g \in G$ such that $a_s = g^{-1}b_s s g$ for all $s \in \Theta$.

Lemma 2. If the order of Θ is prime to p, then $H^1(\Theta, G) = \{1\}$.

Proof. The claim is obvious if G is a finite elementary abelian p-group. If G is a finite p-group, the claim can be proven by induction on the order of G. Suppose now that G is a general pro-p group and let a be some 1-cocycle with values in G . For every open normal Θ -stable subgroup $N \subseteq G$ we have $H^1(\Theta, G/N) = \{1\}.$ Therefore the set

$$
K(N) = \{ g \in G \mid \forall s \in \Theta \quad ga_s \, ^s g^{-1} \in N \}
$$

is a non-empty open compact subset of G . Since G is compact the intersection of all the sets $K(N)$ is non-empty, where N runs through the collection of open normal Θ -stable subgroups. Hence there is some element $g \in G$ such that $ga_s^{\ s}g^{-1} \in N$ for all such N, and we deduce $ga_s{}^s g^{-1} = 1$.

We will frequently need this lemma, in particular it is the main tool in the proof of the following fundamental proposition.

Proposition 1. Let G be a uniform pro-p group. If p does not divide the order of Θ , then the fixed point group G^{Θ} is a uniform pro-p group. Moreover we have $P_i(G^{\Theta}) = P_i(G)^{\Theta}$ for all $i \geq 1$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (G/\Phi(G))^{\Theta} = \dim(G^{\Theta})$.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of groups

 $1 \longrightarrow \Phi(G) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/\Phi(G) \longrightarrow 1.$

Since $p \nmid |\Theta|$, we have $H^1(\Theta, \Phi(G)) = \{1\}$ and thus the sequence

(2)
$$
1 \longrightarrow \Phi(G)^{\Theta} \longrightarrow G^{\Theta} \longrightarrow (G/\Phi(G))^{\Theta} \longrightarrow 1
$$

is exact. Note that $G/\Phi(G)$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p[\Theta]$ -module which decomposes into isotypic components. By [\(2\)](#page-7-0) we can find elements $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in G^{\Theta}$ which form an \mathbb{F}_p -basis of the 1-isotypic component in $G/\Phi(G)$. We choose elements u_1, \ldots, u_n in G which form a basis of the other isotypic components, in particular

$$
g_1,\ldots,g_r,u_1,\ldots,u_n
$$

is an \mathbb{F}_p -basis of $G/\Phi(G)$.

Claim: $G^{\Theta} = \overline{\langle g_1 \rangle} \cdots \overline{\langle g_r \rangle}$.

In particular, this means that G^{Θ} is topologically generated by g_1, \ldots, g_r . To prove the claim, we take some $g \in G^{\Theta}$. By 3.7 in [\[13\]](#page-13-19) we can write

$$
g = g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_r^{\lambda_r} u_1^{\mu_1} \cdots u_n^{\mu_n}
$$

for certain p-adic integers $\lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $g\Phi(G)$ is a fixed point in $G/\Phi(G)$, we see that the μ_j are all divisible by p. Further, all g_i are fixed under Θ , hence the element $g' := u_1^{\mu_1} \cdots u_n^{\mu_n}$ is also in G^{Θ} . Suppose there is some j with $\mu_j \neq 0$. Then we can find an integer k such that $g' \in P_k(G) \setminus P_{k+1}(G)$. However, the map $x \mapsto x^{p^{k-1}}$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[\Theta]$ -modules

$$
G/\Phi(G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} P_k(G)/P_{k+1}(G)
$$

(here we use that G is a uniform pro-p group). So, by construction, g' does not lie in the 1-isotypic component since it is an element of the span of $u_1^{p^{k-1}}$ $y_1^{p^{k-1}}, \ldots, y_n^{p^{k-1}}.$ We deduce that $g' = 0$ and thus $G^{\Theta} = \overline{\langle g_1, \ldots, g_r \rangle} = \overline{\langle g_1 \rangle} \cdots \overline{\langle g_r \rangle}$.

Given a pro-p group H we denote the subgroup generated by the p^k -th powers in H by H^{p^k} . As a next step we show that $(G^{\Theta})^{p^k} = (G^{p^k})^{\Theta}$ for all $k \geq 1$. This implies, in particular, that $(G^{\Theta})^{p^k}$ is closed. The inclusion $(G^{\Theta})^{p^k} \subseteq (G^{p^k})^{\Theta}$ is obvious. Conversely, let $g \in (G^{p^k})^{\Theta}$. By the Claim, applied to the group $P_{k+1}(G)$ = G^{p^k} , we have $(G^{p^k})^{\Theta} = \langle g_1^{p^k} \rangle \cdots \langle g_r^{p^k} \rangle \subseteq (G^{\Theta})^{p^k}$.

Finally we can show that G^{Θ} is a uniform pro-p group. By the Claim the group G^{Θ} is topologically finitely generated. We show that G^{Θ} is powerful. To show this we assume $p \neq 2$, since the argument for $p = 2$ is analogous. Since $\Phi(G) = G^p$, the exact sequence [\(2\)](#page-7-0), implies that $G^{\Theta}/(G^{\Theta})^p$ is abelian. Now we know that G^{Θ} is powerful, thus we have $P_k(G)^\Theta = P_k(G^\Theta)$. Moreover, the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow P_{k+1}(G) \longrightarrow P_k(G) \longrightarrow G/\Phi(G) \longrightarrow 1
$$

yields the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow P_{k+1}(G^{\Theta}) \longrightarrow P_k(G^{\Theta}) \longrightarrow (G/\Phi(G))^{\Theta} \longrightarrow 1
$$

by Lemma [2.](#page-6-1) Consequently, G^{Θ} is uniform and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (G/\Phi(G))^{\Theta}$ is the dimension of G^{Θ} .

4. Proof of the main result

In this section we prove the main result. Let p and ℓ be two distinct prime numbers and let Γ be a group of type (VFP). Recall that Γ is assumed to be a dense subgroup of a compact p-adic analytic group G. Let Θ be a finite ℓ -group of continuous automorphisms of G and assume that Γ is Θ -stable. For reasons of completeness we prove the following basic lemma.

Lemma 3. The p-adic analytic group G has an open, normal, Θ-stable, uniform pro-p subgroup U such that $U \cap \Gamma$ is torsion-free.

Proof. The compact p-adic analytic group $G \rtimes \Theta$ contains an open, normal, uniform pro-p subgroup U_0 (see 9.36 in [\[13\]](#page-13-19)). Define $U = U_0 \cap G$. The quotient U_0/U is a finite p-group which maps injectively into the finite ℓ -group Θ . We conclude that $U/U_0 = \{1\}$. Thus $U = U_0$ is an open, normal, Θ -stable, uniform pro-p subgroup of G. Finally, U is torsion-free (by 4.8 in [\[13\]](#page-13-19)), in particular $\Gamma \cap U$ is torsion-free. \Box

We fix a subgroup $U \leq G$ as in Lemma [3](#page-8-0) and we consider the associated p-adic analytic tower

$$
\Gamma_i := \Gamma \cap P_i(U).
$$

We shall now prove Theorem [1](#page-1-1) stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-1-1) The groups Γ_n are torsion-free and so, since Γ was assumed to be of type (VFP), we find that Γ_n is of type (FP). In particular the sums in the statement of the theorem are finite and well-defined. Given a 1-cocycle c of Θ with values in Γ_n , we can twist the action of Θ on Γ_n by c. More precisely, $s \in \Theta$ acts by $s^{|c|} \gamma := c_s s_{\gamma} c_s^{-1}$. The fixed points of the c-twisted action will be denoted by $\Gamma_n^{\Theta|c}$. Note that for cohomologous cocycles a and b the fixed point groups of the corresponding twisted actions are isomorphic. The Smith theory argument in the introduction yields a slight variation of a theorem of Adem:

(3)
$$
\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^{i}(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \geq \sum_{[c] \in H^{1}(\Theta, \Gamma_{n})} \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^{i}(\Gamma_{n}^{\Theta|c}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}).
$$

This is essentially Adem's Thm. 3.3 in [\[1\]](#page-12-0). However, Adem only proves the case $r = 0$, the statement for $r > 0$ is obtained via a modification in his Thm. 1.5. One simply has to replace the Smith theory applied by Adem with the finer Theorem 4.4 of Floyd [\[14\]](#page-13-8) (or Thm. 4.1 in [\[8,](#page-13-20) Ch.III]).

Let $c \in Z^1(\Theta, \Gamma_n)$ be a cocycle whose cohomology class [c] lies in the kernel of the natural map $h_n: H^1(\Theta, \Gamma_n) \to H^1(\Theta, \Gamma_1)$. This means there is an element $a\in \Gamma_1$ such that

$$
c_s = a^{-1} s a \quad \text{ for all } s \in \Theta.
$$

The inner automorphism $int(a) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ stabilizes the normal subgroups Γ_i and satisfies

$$
int(a)(s|c\gamma) = ac_s s\gamma c_s^{-1}a^{-1} = sint(a)(\gamma)
$$

for all $s \in \Theta$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Consequently, $\Gamma_n^{\Theta|c} \cong \Gamma_n^{\Theta}$. From Adem's inequality [\(3\)](#page-8-2) we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^{i}(\Gamma_{n}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \ge \sum_{[c] \in H^{1}(\Theta, \Gamma_{n})} \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^{i}(\Gamma_{n}^{\Theta|c}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \ge |\ker(h_{n})| \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^{i}(\Gamma_{n}^{\Theta}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}).
$$

As a next step we determine the cardinality of $|\ker(h_n)|$. Since Γ_1/Γ_n is isomorphic to $U/P_n(U)$, we obtain an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_n^{\Theta} \longrightarrow \Gamma_1^{\Theta} \longrightarrow (U/P_n(U))^{\Theta} \longrightarrow \ker(h_n) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

By Proposition [1](#page-7-1) and Lemma [2](#page-6-1) we obtain $(U/P_n(U))^{\Theta} \cong U^{\Theta}/P_n(U^{\Theta})$ and we deduce

$$
|\ker(h_n)| = \left[\Gamma_1^{\Theta} : \Gamma_n^{\Theta}\right]^{-1} \left| \left(U/P_n(U)\right)^{\Theta} \right| = \left[\Gamma_1^{\Theta} : \Gamma_n^{\Theta}\right]^{-1} p^{(n-1) \dim(G^{\Theta})}.
$$

Finally we conclude

$$
\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} H^{i}(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \gg [\Gamma_1^{\Theta} : \Gamma_n^{\Theta}]^{\alpha-1} p^{(n-1)(\dim(G^{\Theta}) - \alpha\lambda)}
$$

$$
\gg p^{(n-1)\alpha(\dim(G^{\Theta}) - \lambda)} = [\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_n]^{d\alpha}.
$$

Here we use $\alpha \leq 1$ and the inequality $[\Gamma_1^{\Theta} : \Gamma_n^{\Theta}] \leq |(U/P_n(U))^{\Theta}|$

.

5. Cohomology with rational coefficients

Let Γ be a torsion-free arithmetic group and consider the rational cohomology groups $H^*(\Gamma,\mathbb{Q})$. In fact, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(\Gamma,\mathbb{Q}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} H^i(\Gamma,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for every prime number ℓ . It is therefore a natural question to ask whether the cohomology growth predicted by Theorem [1](#page-1-1) (and the given applications) is already valid for cohomology with rational coefficients. If this does not hold, then one could deduce that the cohomology groups $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ contain many torsion elements of order ℓ . However, we expect that for arithmetic groups the growth rates should already be valid for the cohomology with rational coefficients. In this section we prove a result in this direction under certain conditions. In particular, Θ needs to be a finite cyclic group. The underlying powerful tool is Rohlfs' method for the computation of Lefschetz numbers (cf. [\[24\]](#page-13-21)).

It is convenient to make the following definition.

Definition 1. Let H be a reductive linear algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group. We say that H has the non-vanishing property if for some (and hence for all) torsion-free arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq H(\mathbb{Q})$ the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Gamma)$ is non-zero.

For instance H has the non-vanishing property if one of the following holds

- (1) $H(\mathbb{R})$ is semi-simple and has DS.
- (2) $H(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.
- (3) H is a \mathbb{Q} -split torus.

The non-vanishing property is stable under isogenies, since isogenies map torsionfree arithmetic groups isomorphically to arithmetic groups (see Thm. 8.9 in [\[4\]](#page-13-14)). Moreover, it is preserved under direct products. For example this shows that GL_2 / $\mathbb Q$ has the non-vanishing property.

Let G be a semi-simple connected linear algebraic Q-group and let τ be a Qrational automorphism of G of finite order.

Theorem 4. Let p be a prime number which does not divide the order of τ . Suppose that the simply connected covering of G has strong approximation and assume that the fixed point group G^{τ} has the non-vanishing property. Then every arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$ contains a cofinal p-tower $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim H^i(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{Q}) \gg [\Gamma : \Gamma_n]^d
$$

with $d = \frac{\dim G^{\tau}}{\dim G}$ as n tends to infinity.

Proof. Recall that a τ -stable arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma' \subseteq G(\mathbb{Q})$ is *small enough* in the sense of Rohlfs [\[24,](#page-13-21) Def. 2.7] if it is torsion-free, contained in $G(\mathbb{R})^0$, and the image of $H^1(\tau,\Gamma')$ is trivial in $H^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{Q}_q))$ for every prime number q.

Choose a subgroup $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma$ such that the following conditions hold

- (1) Γ_1 is τ -stable,
- (2) Γ_1 is *small enough*, and
- (3) the closure of Γ_1 in $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an open compact uniform pro-p subgroup.

It is easy to see that such a group Γ_1 exists: Take Γ_0 to be small enough and τ -stable, which is possible due to Prop. 2.8 in [\[24\]](#page-13-21), then consider the closure of Γ_0 in $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and use Lemma [3.](#page-8-0) We denote the closure of Γ_1 in $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by U. Further we set $\Gamma_i = P_i(U) \cap \Gamma_1$. Consider the Lefschetz number of τ on Γ_n defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}(\tau, \Gamma_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \operatorname{Tr}(\tau | H^i(\Gamma_n, \mathbb{Q})).
$$

For every cocycle $c \in Z^1(\tau, \Gamma_n)$ we define the c-twisted τ -action on Γ_n by $\tau^{\vert c}\gamma :=$ c_{τ} ^{τ} γc_{τ}^{-1} (just as in the proof of Theorem [1\)](#page-1-1). The fixed points under the c-twisted action will be denoted by $\Gamma_n^{\tau|c}$. By Rohlfs' method we know that the Lefschetz number is given by a sum of Euler characteristics, namely

$$
\mathcal{L}(\tau, \Gamma_n) = \sum_{[c] \in H^1(\tau, \Gamma_n)} \chi(\Gamma_n^{\tau|c})
$$

(see [\[24\]](#page-13-21) or [\[25\]](#page-13-22)). In general the occuring Euler characteristics can have different signs, however, it is a result due to Rohlfs-Speh that this does not happen when Γ_n is small enough in their sense (cf. Lem. 2.5 in [\[25\]](#page-13-22)). Since we need a slight generalization of their result, we include it as Lemma [4](#page-11-0) below.

Let $h_n: H^1(\tau, \Gamma_n) \to H^1(\tau, \Gamma_1)$ be the canonical map. We can proceed just like in the proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-1) to obtain:

$$
|\mathcal{L}(\tau, \Gamma_n)| \geq \sum_{[c] \in \ker(h_n)} \left| \chi(\Gamma_n^{\tau | c}) \right|.
$$

Note that $G^{\tau} \cong G^{\tau|c}$ and $\Gamma_n^{\tau} \cong \Gamma_n^{\tau|c}$ for every class $c \in \text{ker}(h_n)$. Since G^{τ} has the non-vanishing property, we know that the Euler characteristics are non-zero and they grow linearly with the index $[\Gamma_1^{\tau} : \Gamma_n^{\tau}]$. The claim follows as in Theorem [1.](#page-1-1) \Box

Remark 2. Using Theorem [4](#page-10-0) one can deduce an analogue of Theorem [2](#page-4-0) for rational cohomology and cyclic base change. One can use this to give a short proof of the main theorem of [\[18\]](#page-13-10). Note however, that the precise Lefschetz number given in [\[18\]](#page-13-10) contains much more information.

Note that in Theorem [4](#page-10-0) there is no parameter r as in Theorem [1.](#page-1-1) This makes it difficult to locate the cohomology growth in a specific degree. Moreover, Theorem [4](#page-10-0) only works for actions of finite cyclic groups and it involves stronger restrictions on the fixed point groups. This makes it impossible to use Theorem [4](#page-10-0) recursively, as we did in Example [1.](#page-5-1)

Example 2. Let $G = SL_3 / \mathbb{Q}$ and let τ denote the inner automorphism defined by conjugation with

$$
I_{2,1} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1).
$$

The fixed point group G^{τ} is isomorphic to GL_2/\mathbb{Q} . Hence it has the non-vanishing property. We find $d = \frac{1}{2}$ since $\dim G = 8$ and $\dim G^{\tau} = 4$. So every arithmetic subgroup of SL_3 contains a cofinal p-tower (for every odd prime p) with some Betti number growing faster than the square root of the index.

In the remaining part we state and prove the main lemma needed in the proof of Theorem [4.](#page-10-0) The result is essentially due to Rohlfs-Speh (cf. Lem. 2.5 in [\[25\]](#page-13-22)). We include a proof since it was only obtained for Cartan-like involutions in [\[25\]](#page-13-22). Rohlfs indicated [\[24,](#page-13-21) (2.9)] that the result holds in general.

The sign of the Euler characteristic of a torsion-free arithmetic subgroup of a reductive algebraic \mathbb{Q} -group H depends only on H. Recall how to determine the sign. We can ignore the centre of H since a finite rank free abelian group has Euler characteristic 0 or 1. Let H_s denote the derived group of H^0 and take any maximal compact subgroup K_H^s of $H_s(\mathbb{R})$. We define $q_H := \dim H_s(\mathbb{R})/K_H^s$. The following is an easy consequence of Harder's Gauß-Bonnet Theorem: If $\chi(\Gamma_H) \neq 0$ for some torsion-free arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma_H \subset H(\mathbb{Q})$, then q_H is even and $\chi(\Gamma_H)$ has sign $(-1)^{q_H/2}$ for all Γ_H (see [\[15\]](#page-13-23)).

As before G denotes a semi-simple connected linear algebraic $\mathbb Q$ -group and τ is a Q-rational finite order automorphism of G.

Lemma 4 (Rohlfs-Speh). Let $b \in Z^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{Q}))$ be a cocycle such that b defines the trivial class in $H^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ for every prime number p. Assume that G^{τ} has the non-vanishing property and let δ denote the sign of the Euler characteristic of arithmetic subgroups of G^{τ} . Every torsion-free arithmetic subgroup Γ of the twisted $\emph{fixed point group } G^{\tau|b}$ satisfies

$$
\delta \cdot \chi(\Gamma) \ge 0.
$$

Proof. Note that the fixed point groups G^{τ} and $G^{\tau|b}$ are reductive (cf. [\[17\]](#page-13-24)). For any cocycle c in $Z^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{R}))$ let $q_{\tau|c}$ denote the number $q_{G^{\tau|c}}$ for the reductive

group $G^{\tau|c}$ as defined above. We show that $q_{\tau} \equiv q_{\tau|b} \mod 4$. (We do not exclude the possibility that arithmetic subgroups of $G^{\tau|b}$ have Euler characteristic zero.)

We start with a useful observation. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the Lie algebra of G over $\mathbb Q$ and let B denote the Killing form on $\mathfrak g$. We shall always write B for the restriction of B to some subspace. Let F/\mathbb{Q} be any field extension and let $c \in Z^1(\tau, G(F))$ be a cocycle. We write $\mathfrak{g}_F := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ and we put $\sigma = \tau | c$. The fixed point space \mathfrak{g}_F^{σ} is a reductive subalgebra and the restriction of B to this space is nondegenerate. This follows from the fact that the action of σ preserves the Killing form. Moreover, if we decompose $\mathfrak{g}_F^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{z}_{F,\sigma} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'_{F,\sigma}$ into the centre $\mathfrak{z}_{F,\sigma}$ and the semisimple part $\mathfrak{g}'_{F,\sigma} = [\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}_{F}, \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}_{F}]$, then these spaces are orthogonal with respect to B. Finally, suppose $d \in Z^1(\tau, G(F))$ is a cocycle in the class of c, then there is $g \in G(F)$ such that $d = g^{-1}c^{\tau}g$ and $\text{Ad}(g)$ defines an isometry between the quadratic spaces $(\mathfrak{g}'_{F,\tau|d},B)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}'_{F,\tau|c},B)$. We conclude that there is a well-defined map

$$
\rho_F: H^1(\tau, G(F)) \to W(F)
$$

defined by $[c] \mapsto (\mathfrak{g}'_{F,\tau|c}, B)$ into the Witt ring $W(F)$ of F.

The cocycle b is by assumption in the trivial class in $H^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ for every prime number p. Hence the quadratic Q-spaces $(\mathfrak{g}'_{\tau}, B)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}'_{\tau|b}, B)$ are isometric over all p-adic fields. It follows from Weil's product formula (see Ch. 5. Cor.8.2 in [\[27\]](#page-13-25)) that the signatures of the quadratic R-spaces $(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R},\tau},B)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R},\tau|b},B)$ are congruent modulo 8. Here the signature of a quadratic R-space is the difference of the dimensions of a maximal positive definite and a maximal negative definite subspace. For $c \in Z^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{R}))$ we denote the signature of $(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}, \tau|c}, B)$ by $sign(\tau|c)$.

Let $K \subset G(\mathbb{R})$ be a τ -stable maximal compact subgroup and let c be a cocycle in $Z^1(\tau, G(\mathbb{R}))$. We study the number $q_{\tau|c}$ associated with $G^{\tau|c}$ or, more precisely, with its derived group $G_s^{\tau|c}$. Since the map $H^1(\tau,K) \to H^1(\tau,G(\mathbb{R}))$ is bijective (cf. [\[2\]](#page-12-1)), we assume $c \in Z^1(\tau, K)$. In particular, K is stable under $\tau | c$. Consider the Cartan decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}=\mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{p}_0
$$

where $\mathfrak k$ denotes the Lie algebra of K. The semi-simple part $\mathfrak g_{\mathbb R,\tau\vert c}^{\prime}$ of the fixed point algebra inherits this decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}_{\mathbb{R},\tau|c}=(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}_{\mathbb{R},\tau|c}\cap \mathfrak{k})\ \oplus\ (\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}_{\mathbb{R},\tau|c}\cap \mathfrak{p}_0).
$$

The Killing form B is negative definite on \mathfrak{k} and positive definite on \mathfrak{p}_0 . Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R},\tau|c} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of the maximal compact subgroup $G_s^{\tau|c}(\mathbb{R}) \cap K$ of $G_s^{\tau|c}(\mathbb{R})$. We deduce that

$$
2q_{\tau|c} = 2\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R},\tau|c}' \cap \mathfrak{p}_0) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R},\tau|c}') + \text{sign}(\tau|c).
$$

The terms on the right hand side only depend on the class of c. Further, the dimensions $\dim(\mathfrak{g}'_{\tau})$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}'_{\tau}|_b)$ agree, since b is in the trivial class over all p-adic fields. Thus we have proven $2q_{\tau} \equiv 2q_{\tau}$ mod 8.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem, Automorphisms and Cohomology of Discrete Groups, J. Algebra 182 (1996), 721– 737.
- [2] J. An and Z. Wang, Nonabelian cohomology with coefficients in Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 6, 3019–3040.

14 S. KIONKE

- [3] N. Bergeron, P. Linnell, W. Lück, and R. Sauer, On the growth of Betti numbers in p-adic analytic towers, to appear in Groups Geom. Dyn.
- [4] A. Borel, Introduction aux groupes arithmetiques, Act. sci. ind. (1341), Hermann, Paris, 1969.
- [5] A. Borel and J-P. Serre, *Corners and Arithmetic Groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 436–491.
- [6] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Compléments à l'article: "Groupes réductifs"*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 41 (1972), 253–276.
- [7] A. Borel and N. Wallach, Continuous Cohomology, Discrete Subgroups, and Representations of Reductive Groups (2nd ed.), Math. Surveys and Mono. (67), American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [8] G. E. Bredon, Introduction to compact transformations groups, Pure and Appl. Math. (46), Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1972.
- [9] G. E. Bredon, Sheaf Theory. 2nd Ed., Grad. Texts Math. 170, Springer-Verlag New York, 1997.
- [10] K. S. Brown, Cohomology of Groups, Grad. Texts Math. (87), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [11] F. Calegari and M. Emerton, Bounds for multiplicities of unitary representations of cohomological type in spaces of cusp forms, Ann. of Math. (2) 170 (2009), 1437–1446.
- [12] ____, Mod-p cohomology growth in p-adic analytic towers of 3-manifolds, Groups Geom. Dyn. 5 (2011), 355–366.
- [13] J.D. Dixon, M.P.F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal, Analytic pro-p Groups, LMS Lect. Notes Series (157), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [14] E. E. Floyd, On periodic maps and the Euler characteristics of associated spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 138–147.
- [15] G. Harder, A Gauss-Bonnet formula for discrete arithmetically defined groups, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 4 (1971), no. 3, 409–455.
- [16] J. E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, Grad. Texts Math. (9), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [17] N. Jacobson, A note on automorphisms of Lie algebras, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 303–315.
- [18] S. Kionke and J. Schwermer, On the growth of the first Betti number of arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds, to appear in Groups Geom. Dyn.
- [19] M. Lackenby, Large groups, property (τ) and the homology growth of subgroups, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 146 (2009), no. 3, 625–648.
- [20] , New lower bounds on subgroup growth and homology growth, Proc. London math. soc. 98 (2009), 271–297.
- $[21]$ W. Lück, *Approximating L*²-invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues, Geom. Funct. Anal. 4 (1994), 455–481.
- [22] $_____$, Approximating L^2 -invariants and homology growth, Geom. Funct. Anal. 23 (2013), 622–663.
- [23] C. S. Rajan and T. N. Venkataramana, On the first cohomology of arithmetic groups, Manuscripta math. 105 (2001), 537–552.
- [24] J. Rohlfs, Lefschetz numbers for arithmetic groups, Cohomology of Arithmetic Groups and Automorphic Forms, Proc., Lect. Notes Math. (1447), Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 303–313.
- [25] J. Rohlfs and B. Speh, Automorphic representations and Lefschetz numbers, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér. (4) **22** (1989), no. 3, 473–499.
- [26] P. Sarnak and X. Xue, Bounds for multiplicities of automorphic representations, Duke Math. J. 64 (1991), 207–227.
- [27] W. Scharlau, Quadratic and Hermitian Forms, Grundlehren der math. Wiss. (270), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [28] J-P. Serre, Cohomologie des groupes discrets, Prospects Math., Ann. Math. Stud. 70 (1971), 77–169.

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany. E-mail address: skionke@mpim-bonn.mpg.de