

# ON 2-STAR-PERMUTABILITY IN REGULAR MULTI-POINTED CATEGORIES

MARINO GRAN AND DIANA RODELO

ABSTRACT. 2-star-permutable categories were introduced in a joint work with Z. Janelidze and A. Ursini as a common generalisation of regular Mal'tsev categories and of normal subtractive categories. In the present article we first characterise these categories in terms of what we call star-regular pushouts. We then show that the  $3 \times 3$  Lemma characterising normal subtractive categories and the Cuboid Lemma characterising regular Mal'tsev categories are special instances of a more general homological lemma for star-exact sequences. We prove that 2-star-permutability is equivalent to the validity of this lemma for a star-regular category.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 18C05, 08C05, 18B10, 18E10

## INTRODUCTION

The theory of *Mal'tsev categories* in the sense of A. Carboni, J. Lambek and M.C. Pedicchio [6] provides a beautiful example of the way how categorical algebra leads to a structural understanding of algebraic varieties (in the sense of universal algebra). Among regular categories, Mal'tsev categories are characterised by the property of 2-permutability of equivalence relations: given two equivalence relations  $R$  and  $S$  on the same object  $A$ , the two relational composites  $RS$  and  $SR$  are equal:

$$RS = SR.$$

In the case of a variety of universal algebras this property is actually equivalent to the existence of a ternary term  $p(x, y, z)$  satisfying the identities  $p(x, y, y) = x$  and  $p(x, x, y) = y$  [20]. In the pointed context, that is when the category has a zero object, there is also a suitable notion of 2-permutability, called “2-permutability at 0” [21]. In a variety this property can be expressed by requiring that, whenever for a given element  $x$  in an algebra  $A$  there is an element  $y$  with  $xRyS0$  (here 0 is the unique constant in  $A$ ), then there is also an element  $z$  in  $A$  with  $xSzR0$ . The validity of this property is equivalent to the existence of a binary term  $s(x, y)$  such that the identities  $s(x, 0) = x$  and  $s(x, x) = 0$  hold true [21]. Among regular categories, the ones where the property of 2-permutability at 0 holds true are precisely the *subtractive categories* introduced in [16].

The aim of this paper is to look at regular Mal'tsev and at subtractive categories as special instances of the general notion of 2-star-permutable categories introduced in collaboration with Z. Janelidze and A. Ursini in [9]. This generalisation is achieved by working in the context of a *regular multi-pointed category*, i.e. a regular category equipped with an ideal  $\mathcal{N}$  of distinguished morphisms [7]. When

---

*Key words and phrases.* Regular multi-pointed category; star relation; Mal'tsev category; subtractive category; varieties of algebras; homological diagram lemma.

$\mathcal{N}$  is the class of all morphisms, a situation which we refer to as the *total context*, regular multi-pointed categories are just regular categories, and 2-star-permutable categories are precisely the regular Mal'tsev categories. When  $\mathcal{N}$  is the class of all zero morphisms in a pointed category, we call this the *pointed context*, regular multi-pointed categories are regular pointed categories, and 2-star-permutable categories are the regular subtractive categories.

This paper follows the same line of research as in [9] which was mainly focused on the property of 3-star-permutability, a generalised notion which captures Goursat categories in the total context and, again, subtractive categories in the pointed context.

In this work we study two remarkable aspects of the property of 2-star-permutability. First we provide a characterisation of 2-star-permutable categories in terms of a special kind of pushouts (Proposition 2.4), that we call *star-regular pushouts* (Definition 2.2). Then we examine a homological diagram lemma of star-exact sequences, which can be seen as a generalisation of the  $3 \times 3$  Lemma, whose validity is equivalent to 2-star-permutability. We call this lemma the Star-Upper Cuboid Lemma (Theorem 3.3). The validity of this lemma turns out to give at once a characterisation of regular Mal'tsev categories (extending a result in [11]) and, in the pointed context, a characterisation of those normal categories which are subtractive (this was first discovered in [17]).

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to Zurab Janelidze for some useful conversations on the subject of the paper.

## 1. STAR-REGULAR CATEGORIES

**1.1. Regular categories and relations.** A finitely complete category  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *regular* category [1] when any kernel pair has a coequaliser and, moreover, regular epimorphisms are stable under pullbacks. In a regular category any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has a factorisation  $f = m \cdot p$ , where  $p$  is a regular epimorphism and  $m$  is a monomorphism. The corresponding (regular epimorphism, monomorphism) factorisation system is then stable under pullbacks.

A relation  $\varrho$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a subobject  $\langle \varrho_1, \varrho_2 \rangle: R \rightarrow X \times Y$ . The opposite relation, denoted  $\varrho^\circ$ , is given by the subobject  $\langle \varrho_2, \varrho_1 \rangle: R \rightarrow Y \times X$ . We identify a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with the relation  $\langle 1_X, f \rangle: X \rightarrow X \times Y$  and write  $f^\circ$  for the opposite relation. Given another relation  $\sigma$  from  $Y$  to  $Z$ , the composite relation of  $\varrho$  and  $\sigma$  is a relation  $\sigma\varrho$  from  $X$  to  $Z$ . With this notation, we can write the above relation as  $\varrho = \varrho_2\varrho_1^\circ$ . The following properties are well known (see [5], for instance); we collect them in a lemma for future references.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be any morphism in a regular category  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then:*

- (a)  $ff^\circ f = f$  and  $f^\circ ff^\circ = f^\circ$ ;
- (b)  $ff^\circ = 1_Y$  if and only if  $f$  is a regular epimorphism.

A kernel pair of a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , denoted by

$$(\pi_1, \pi_2): \text{Eq}(f) \rightrightarrows X,$$

is called an *effective equivalence relation*; we write it either as  $\text{Eq}(f) = f^\circ f$ , or as  $\text{Eq}(f) = \pi_2\pi_1^\circ$ , as mentioned above. When  $f$  is a regular epimorphism, then  $f$  is

the coequaliser of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  and the diagram

$$\text{Eq}(f) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

is called an *exact fork*. In a regular category any effective equivalence relation is the kernel pair of a regular epimorphism.

**1.2. Star relations.** We now recall some notions introduced in [10], which are useful to develop a unified treatment of pointed and non-pointed categorical algebra. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  denote a category with finite limits, and  $\mathcal{N}$  a distinguished class of morphisms that forms an *ideal*, i.e. for any composable pair of morphisms  $g, f$ , if either  $g$  or  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ , then the composite  $g \cdot f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ . An  $\mathcal{N}$ -*kernel* of a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is defined as a morphism  $n_f : N_f \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \cdot n_f \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $n_f$  is universal with this property (note that such  $n_f$  is automatically a monomorphism). A pair of parallel morphisms, denoted by  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : S \rightrightarrows X$  with  $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ , is called a *star*; it is called a monic star, or a *star relation*, when the pair  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is jointly monomorphic.

Given a relation  $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \varrho_2) : R \rightrightarrows X$  on an object  $X$ , we denote by  $\varrho^* : R^* \rightrightarrows X$  the biggest subrelation of  $\varrho$  which is a (monic) star. When  $\mathbb{C}$  has  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernels, it can be constructed by setting  $\varrho^* = (\varrho_1 \cdot n_{\rho_1}, \varrho_2 \cdot n_{\rho_1})$ , where  $n_{\rho_1}$  is the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernel of  $\varrho_1$ . In particular, if we denote the discrete equivalence relation on an object  $X$  by  $\Delta_X = (1_X, 1_X) : X \rightrightarrows X$ , then  $\Delta_X^* = (n_{1_X}, n_{1_X})$ , where  $n_{1_X}$  is the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernel of  $1_X$ .

The *star-kernel* of a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a universal star  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : S \rightrightarrows X$  with the property  $f \cdot \sigma_1 = f \cdot \sigma_2$ ; it is easy to see that the star-kernel of  $f$  coincides with  $\text{Eq}(f)^* \rightrightarrows X$  whenever  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernels exist.

A category  $\mathbb{C}$  equipped with an ideal  $\mathcal{N}$  of morphisms is called a *multi-pointed category* [10]. If, moreover, every morphism admits an  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernel, then  $\mathbb{C}$  will be called a *multi-pointed category with kernels*.

**Definition 1.2.** [10] A regular multi-pointed category  $\mathbb{C}$  with kernels is called a *star-regular category* when every regular epimorphism in  $\mathbb{C}$  is a coequaliser of a star.

In the total context stars are pairs of parallel morphisms,  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernels are isomorphisms, star-kernels are kernel pairs and a star-regular category is precisely a regular category. In the pointed context, the first morphism  $\sigma_1$  in a star  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : S \rightrightarrows X$  is the unique null morphism  $S \rightarrow X$  and hence a star  $\sigma$  can be identified with a morphism (its second component  $\sigma_2$ ). Then,  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernels and star-kernels become the usual kernels, and a star-regular category is the same as a normal category [18], i.e. a pointed regular category in which any regular epimorphism is a normal epimorphism.

**1.3. Calculus of star relations.** The calculus of star relations [9] can be seen as an extension of the usual calculus of relations (in a regular category) to the regular multi-pointed context. First of all note that for any relation  $\varrho : R \rightrightarrows X$  we have

$$\varrho^* = \varrho \Delta_X^*.$$

Inspired by this formula, for any relation  $\varrho$  from  $X$  to an object  $Y$ , we define

$$\varrho^* = \varrho \Delta_X^* \quad \text{and} \quad {}^* \varrho = \Delta_Y^* \varrho.$$

Note that associativity of composition yields

$$*(\varrho^*) = (*\varrho)^*$$

and so we can write  $*\varrho^*$  for the above.

For any relation  $\sigma$  (from some object  $Y$  to  $Z$ ), the associativity of composition also gives

$$(\sigma^*)\varrho = \sigma(*\varrho),$$

and

$$(\sigma\varrho)^* = \sigma\varrho^*.$$

It is easy to verify that for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  we have

$$f^* = *f^* \quad \text{and} \quad *f^\circ = *f^{\circ*}.$$

## 2. 2-STAR-PERMUTABILITY AND STAR-REGULAR PUSHOUTS

Recall that a finitely complete category  $\mathbb{C}$  is called a *Mal'tsev category* when any reflexive relation in  $\mathbb{C}$  is an equivalence relation [6, 5]. We recall the following well known characterisation of the regular categories which are Mal'tsev categories:

**Proposition 2.1.** *A regular category  $\mathbb{C}$  is a Mal'tsev category if and only if the composition of effective equivalence relations in  $\mathbb{C}$  is commutative:*

$$\text{Eq}(f)\text{Eq}(g) = \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(f)$$

for any pair of regular epimorphisms  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with the same domain.

There are many known characterisations of regular Mal'tsev categories (see Section 2.5 in [2], for instance, and references therein). The one that will play a central role in the present work is expressed in terms of commutative diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{c} & A \\ g \downarrow \uparrow t & & f \downarrow \uparrow s \\ D & \xrightarrow{d} & B, \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are split epimorphisms ( $f \cdot s = 1_B$ ,  $g \cdot t = 1_D$ ),  $f \cdot c = d \cdot g$ ,  $s \cdot d = c \cdot t$ , and  $c$  and  $d$  are regular epimorphisms. A diagram of type (1) is always a pushout; it is called a *regular pushout* [4] (alternatively, a *double extension* [15, 13]) when, moreover, the canonical morphism  $\langle g, c \rangle : C \rightarrow D \times_B A$  to the pullback  $D \times_B A$  of  $d$  and  $f$  is a regular epimorphism. Among regular categories, Mal'tsev categories can be characterized as those ones where any square (1) is a regular pushout: this easily follows from the results in [4], and a simple proof of this fact is given in [12].

Observe that a commutative diagram of type (1) is a regular pushout if and only if  $cg^\circ = f^\circ d$  or, equivalently,  $gc^\circ = d^\circ f$ . This suggests to introduce the following notion:

**Definition 2.2.** A commutative diagram (1) is a *star-regular pushout* if it satisfies the identity  $cg^{\circ*} = f^\circ d^*$  (or, equivalently,  $gc^{\circ*} = d^\circ f^*$ ).

Diagrammatically, the property of being a star-regular pushout can be expressed as follows. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & N_g & & \\
 & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & N_a & \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & N_x \\
 & & \downarrow n_a & & \downarrow n_x \\
 C & \xrightarrow{c} & A & & \\
 \uparrow p & \searrow b & \downarrow & \nearrow y & \\
 M & \xrightarrow{m} & D \times_B A & & \\
 \uparrow a & \searrow x & \downarrow & & \\
 D & \xrightarrow{d} & B & & \\
 \uparrow g & & \uparrow f & & \\
 & & & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where  $(D \times_B A, x, y)$  is the pullback of  $(f, d)$ ,  $m \cdot p$  is the (regular epimorphism, monomorphism) factorisation of the induced morphism  $\langle g, c \rangle: C \rightarrow D \times_B A$ . Then the identity  $cg^\circ = ba^\circ$  allows one to identify  $cg^{\circ*}$  with the relation  $(a \cdot n_a, b \cdot n_a)$ , while  $f^\circ d = yx^\circ$  says that  $f^\circ d^*$  can be identified with the relation  $(x \cdot n_x, y \cdot n_x)$ . Accordingly, diagram (1) is a star-regular pushout precisely when the dotted arrow from  $N_a$  to  $N_x$  is an isomorphism. Notice that in the total context the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernels are isomorphisms, so that  $m$  is an isomorphism if and only if (1) is a regular pushout, as expected.

The “star-version” of the notion of Mal’tsev category can be defined as follows:

**Definition 2.3.** [9] A regular multi-pointed category with kernels  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be a *2-star-permutable category* if

$$\text{Eq}(f)\text{Eq}(g)^* = \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(f)^*$$

for any pair of regular epimorphisms  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with the same domain.

One can check that the equality  $\text{Eq}(f)\text{Eq}(g)^* = \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(f)^*$  in the definition above can be actually replaced by  $\text{Eq}(f)\text{Eq}(g)^* \leq \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(f)^*$ .

In the total context the property of 2-star-permutability characterises the regular categories which are Mal’tsev. In the pointed context this same property characterises the regular categories which are subtractive [16] (this follows from the characterisation of subtractivity given in Theorem 6.9 in [17]).

The next result gives a useful characterisation of 2-star-permutable categories. Given a commutative diagram of type (1), we write  $g\langle \text{Eq}(c) \rangle$  and  $g\langle \text{Eq}(c)^* \rangle$  for the direct images of the relations  $\text{Eq}(c)$  and  $\text{Eq}(c)^*$  along the split epimorphism  $g$ . The vertical split epimorphisms are such that both the equalities  $g\langle \text{Eq}(c) \rangle = \text{Eq}(d)$  and  $g\langle \text{Eq}(c)^* \rangle = \text{Eq}(d)^*$  hold true in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *For a regular multi-pointed category with kernels  $\mathbb{C}$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathbb{C}$  is a 2-star-permutable category;
- (b) any commutative diagram of the form (1) is a star-regular pushout.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Given a pushout (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f^\circ d^* &= cc^\circ f^\circ d^* && \text{(Lemma 1.1(2))} \\
&= cg^\circ d^\circ d^* && (f \cdot c = d \cdot g) \\
&= cg^\circ gc^\circ c^* g^\circ && (\text{Eq}(d)^* = g\langle \text{Eq}(c)^* \rangle) \\
&= cc^\circ cg^\circ g^* g^\circ && (\text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(c)^* = \text{Eq}(c)\text{Eq}(g)^* \text{ by Definition 2.3}) \\
&\leq cc^\circ cg^\circ gg^\circ && (g^* \leq g) \\
&= cg^\circ. && \text{(Lemma 1.1(1))}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $cg^{\circ*}$  is the largest star contained in  $cg^\circ$ , it follows that  $f^\circ d^* \leq cg^{\circ*}$ . The inclusion  $cg^{\circ*} \leq f^\circ d^*$  always holds, so that  $cg^{\circ*} = f^\circ d^*$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Let us consider regular epimorphisms  $f: X \twoheadrightarrow Y$  and  $g: X \twoheadrightarrow Z$ . We want to prove that  $\text{Eq}(f)\text{Eq}(g)^* = \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(f)^*$ . For this we build the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Eq}(f) & \xrightarrow{c} & g\langle \text{Eq}(f) \rangle \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & \pi_2 & \rho_1 \downarrow \rho_2 \\
X & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\
f \downarrow & & \\
Y & & 
\end{array}$$

that represents the regular image of  $\text{Eq}(f)$  along  $g$ . The relation  $g\langle \text{Eq}(f) \rangle = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$  is reflexive and, consequently,  $\rho_1$  is a split epimorphism. By assumption, we then know that the equality

$$(A) \quad \rho_1^\circ g^* = c\pi_1^{\circ*}$$

holds true. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Eq}(f)\text{Eq}(g)^* &= \pi_2\pi_1^\circ g^\circ g^* \\
&= \pi_2 c^\circ \rho_1^\circ g^* && (g \cdot \pi_1 = \rho_1 \cdot c) \\
&= \pi_2 c^\circ c\pi_1^{\circ*} && (A) \\
&\leq \pi_2 c^\circ c\pi_2^\circ \pi_2\pi_1^{\circ*} && (\Delta_{\text{Eq}(f)} \leq \pi_2^\circ \pi_2) \\
&= \text{Eq}(g)\pi_2\pi_1^{\circ*} && (\pi_2\langle \text{Eq}(c) \rangle = \text{Eq}(g)) \\
&= \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(f)^*,
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality  $\pi_2\langle \text{Eq}(c) \rangle = \text{Eq}(g)$  follows from the fact that the split epimorphisms  $\pi_2$  and  $\rho_2$  induce a split epimorphism from  $\text{Eq}(c)$  to  $\text{Eq}(g)$ .  $\square$

In the total context, Proposition 2.4 gives the characterisation of regular Mal'tsev categories through regular pushouts (see [4] and Proposition 3.4 of [12]), as expected. In the pointed context, condition (b) of Proposition 2.4 translates into the pointed version of the *right saturation* property [9] for any commutative diagram of type (1): the induced morphism  $\bar{c}: \text{Ker}(g) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)$ , from the kernel of  $g$  to the kernel of  $f$  is also a regular epimorphism. This can be seen by looking at diagram (2), where the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernels now represent actual kernels, so that  $\text{Ker}(a) = \text{Ker}(x) = \text{Ker}(f)$ .

**2.1. The star of a pullback relation.** Consider the pullback relation  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$  of a pair  $(g, \delta)$  of morphisms as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times_D C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & C \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ W & \xrightarrow{\delta} & D. \end{array}$$

The *star of the pullback relation*  $\pi$  is defined as  $\pi^* = \pi \Delta_W^*$ . It can be described as the universal relation  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$  from  $W$  to  $C$  such that  $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\delta \cdot \nu_1 = g \cdot \nu_2$  as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (W \times_D C)^* & \xrightarrow{\nu_2} & C \\ \downarrow n_{\pi_1} & \searrow & \downarrow g \\ W \times_D C & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & C \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ W & \xrightarrow{\delta} & D, \end{array}$$

$\nu_1$  (curved arrow from  $(W \times_D C)^*$  to  $W$ )

where  $n_{\pi_1}$  is the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernel of  $\pi_1$ ,  $\nu_1 = \pi_1 \cdot n_{\pi_1}$  and  $\nu_2 = \pi_2 \cdot n_{\pi_1}$ .

By using the composition of relations one has the equalities  $\pi = \pi_2 \pi_1^\circ = g^\circ \delta$ , so that

$$\pi^* = \pi_2 \pi_1^{\circ*} = g^\circ \delta^*.$$

In the total context, the star of a pullback relation is precisely that pullback relation. In the pointed context, the star of the pullback (relation) of  $(g, \delta)$  is given by  $\pi^* = (0, \ker(g))$ .

A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in a multi-pointed category with kernels is said to be *saturating* [9] when the induced dotted morphism from the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernel of  $1_X$  to the  $\mathcal{N}$ -kernel of  $1_Y$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{1_X} & \dashrightarrow & N_{1_Y} \\ n_{1_X} \downarrow & & \downarrow n_{1_Y} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

commute is a regular epimorphism. All morphisms are saturating in the pointed context. This is also the case for any *quasi-pointed category* [3], namely a finitely complete category with an initial object  $0$  and a terminal object  $1$  such that the arrow  $0 \rightarrow 1$  is a monomorphism. As in the pointed case, it suffices to choose for  $\mathcal{N}$  the class of morphisms which factor through the initial object  $0$ . In this case we shall speak of the *quasi-pointed context*. In the total context, any regular epimorphism is saturating. The proof of the following result is straightforward:

**Lemma 2.5.** [9] *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a regular multi-pointed category with kernels. For a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is saturating;
- (b)  $\Delta_Y^* = f^* f^\circ$ .

The next result gives a characterisation of 2-star-permutable categories which will be useful in the following section.

**Proposition 2.6.** *For a regular multi-pointed category  $\mathbb{C}$  with kernels and saturating regular epimorphisms the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathbb{C}$  is a 2-star-permutable category;  
(b) for any commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(W \times_D C)^* & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (Y \times_B A)^* \\
\downarrow \nu_1 & \searrow \nu_2 & \downarrow \chi_1 \\
& C & \xrightarrow{c} A \\
& \uparrow g & \uparrow t \\
W & \xrightarrow{w} & Y \\
\downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \beta \\
& D & \xrightarrow{d} B
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c}
\downarrow \chi_2 \\
A \\
\downarrow f \\
B \\
\downarrow s
\end{array}
\quad (3)$$

where the front square is of the form (1),  $\beta \cdot w = d \cdot \delta$ ,  $w$  is a regular epimorphism,  $((W \times_D C)^*, \nu_1, \nu_2)$  and  $((Y \times_B A)^*, \chi_1, \chi_2)$  are stars of the corresponding pullback relations, then the comparison morphism  $\lambda: (W \times_D C)^* \rightarrow (Y \times_B A)^*$  is also a regular epimorphism.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) To prove that the arrow  $\lambda$  in the cube above is a regular epimorphism, we must show that  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle \lambda$  in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(W \times_D C)^* & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (Y \times_B A)^* \\
\downarrow \langle \nu_1, \nu_2 \rangle & & \downarrow \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle \\
W \times C & \xrightarrow{w \times c} & Y \times A
\end{array}$$

is the (regular epimorphism, monomorphism) factorisation of the morphism  $\langle w \cdot \nu_1, c \cdot \nu_2 \rangle: (W \times_D C)^* \rightarrow Y \times A$ . That is, we must have  $cv_2\nu_1^\circ w^\circ = \chi_2\chi_1^\circ$  or, equivalently,  $cg^\circ\delta^*w^\circ = f^\circ\beta^*$ , since  $\nu_2\nu_1^\circ = \nu^* = g^\circ\delta^*$  and  $\chi_2\chi_1^\circ = \chi^* = f^\circ\beta^*$  (see Section 2.1).

The front square of diagram (3) is a star-regular pushout by Proposition 2.4, which means that the equality

$$(B) \quad cg^\circ = f^\circ d^*$$

holds true. Now, we always have

$$\begin{aligned}
cg^\circ\delta^*w^\circ &\leq f^\circ d\delta^*w^\circ && \text{(commutativity of the front face of (3))} \\
&= f^\circ\beta w^*w^\circ && (d \cdot \delta = \beta \cdot w) \\
&= f^\circ\beta\Delta_Y^* && \text{(Lemma 2.5)} \\
&= f^\circ\beta^*.
\end{aligned}$$

The other inequality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
cg^\circ\delta^*w^\circ &\geq cg^{\circ*}\delta^*w^\circ && (g^\circ \geq g^{\circ*}) \\
&= f^\circ d^*\delta^*w^\circ && (B) \\
&= f^\circ d\delta^*w^\circ && (*\delta^* = \delta^*; \text{Section 1.3}) \\
&= f^\circ\beta^*. && \text{(as in the inequality above)}
\end{aligned}$$



(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) A commutative diagram of type (1) induces a commutative cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N_g & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (D \times_B A)^* & & \\
 \downarrow g \cdot n_g & \searrow n_g & \downarrow \chi_1 & \searrow \chi_2 & \\
 D & \xrightarrow{c} & C & \xrightarrow{c} & A \\
 \downarrow g & \downarrow t & \downarrow d & \downarrow f & \downarrow s \\
 D & \xrightarrow{d} & D & \xrightarrow{d} & B
 \end{array}$$

where  $\nu = (g \cdot n_g, n_g)$  is the star of the pullback (relation) of  $(g, 1_D)$ . By assumption,  $\lambda$  is a regular epimorphism which translates into the equality  $cg^\circ 1_D^* 1_D = f^\circ d^*$ , as observed in the first part of the proof. We get the equality  $cg^{\circ*} = f^\circ d^*$ , and this proves that diagram (1) is a star-regular pushout and, consequently, that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a 2-star-permutable category by Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

In the total context, Proposition 2.6 is the “star version” of Proposition 3.6 in [12] (see also Proposition 4.1 in [4]). In the pointed context condition (b) of Proposition 2.6 also reduces to the pointed version of the right saturation property (in the sense of [9]). Indeed, in this context that condition says that, in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Ker}(g) & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & \text{Ker}(f) & & \\
 \downarrow 0 & \searrow \ker(g) & \downarrow 0 & \searrow \ker(f) & \\
 W & \xrightarrow{c} & C & \xrightarrow{c} & A \\
 \downarrow \delta & \downarrow t & \downarrow d & \downarrow f & \downarrow s \\
 D & \xrightarrow{d} & D & \xrightarrow{d} & B
 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

the induced arrow  $\bar{c}: \text{Ker}(g) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)$  is a regular epimorphism.

We conclude this section with the pointed version of Propositions 2.4 and 2.6:

**Corollary 2.7.** (see Theorem 2.12 in [9]) *For a pointed regular category  $\mathbb{C}$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathbb{C}$  is a subtractive category;
- (b) any commutative diagram of the form (1) is right saturated, i.e. the comparison morphism  $\bar{c}: \text{Ker}(g) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)$  is a regular epimorphism.

### 3. THE STAR-CUBOID LEMMA

In [12] it was shown that regular Mal'tsev categories can be characterised through the validity of a homological lemma called the Upper Cuboid Lemma, a strong form of the denormalised  $3 \times 3$  Lemma [4, 19, 11]. We are now going to extend this result to the star-regular context. We shall then observe that, in the pointed

context, it gives back the classical Upper  $3 \times 3$  Lemma characterising subtractive normal categories.

**3.1.  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects.** An object  $X$  in a multi-pointed category is said to be  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial when  $1_X \in \mathcal{N}$ . If a composite  $f \cdot g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $g$  is a strong epimorphism, then also  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects are closed under strong quotients. One says that a multi-pointed category  $\mathbb{C}$  has enough trivial objects [8] when  $\mathcal{N}$  is a closed ideal [14], i.e. any morphism in  $\mathcal{N}$  factors through an  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial object and, moreover, the class of  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects is closed under subobjects and squares, where the latter property means that, for any  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial object  $X$ , the object  $X^2 = X \times X$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial. An equivalent way of expressing the existence of enough trivial objects is recalled in the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** [8] *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a regular multi-pointed category with kernels. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *if  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : S \rightrightarrows X$  is a relation on  $X$  such that  $\sigma_1 \cdot n \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\sigma_2 \cdot n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ ;*
- (b)  *$\mathbb{C}$  has enough trivial objects.*

In the following we shall also assume that  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects are closed under binary products. Remark that in the total and in the (quasi-)pointed contexts there are enough trivial objects, and  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects are closed under binary products.

Under the presence of enough trivial objects the assumption that  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects are closed under binary products is equivalent to the following condition:

- (a') *if  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : S \rightrightarrows X \times Y$  is a relation from  $X$  to  $Y$  such that  $\sigma_1 \cdot n \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\sigma_2 \cdot n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ .*

Whenever the category has enough trivial objects, condition (a') implies that star-kernels “commute” with stars of pullback relations:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a multi-pointed category with kernels, enough trivial objects, and assume that  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects are closed under binary products. Given a commutative cube*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (W \times_D C)^* & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (Y \times_B A)^* \\
 \downarrow \nu_1 & \searrow \nu_2 & \downarrow \chi_1 \\
 & C & \xrightarrow{c} & A \\
 & \downarrow g & \downarrow \chi_2 & \downarrow f \\
 W & \dashrightarrow w & Y & \dashrightarrow \beta & B \\
 \downarrow \delta & & & & \downarrow \\
 & D & \xrightarrow{d} & B
 \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbb{C}$ , consider the star-kernels of  $c$ ,  $d$  and  $w$ , and the induced morphisms  $\bar{\delta} : \text{Eq}(w)^* \rightarrow \text{Eq}(d)^*$  and  $\bar{g} : \text{Eq}(c)^* \rightarrow \text{Eq}(d)^*$ . Then the following constructions are equivalent (up to isomorphism):

- taking the horizontal star-kernel of  $\lambda$  and then the induced morphisms  $\text{Eq}(\lambda)^* \rightarrow \text{Eq}(w)^*$  and  $\text{Eq}(\lambda)^* \rightarrow \text{Eq}(c)^*$ ;

- taking the star of the pullback (relation) of  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  and then the induced morphisms  $(\text{Eq}(w)^* \times_{\text{Eq}(d)^*} \text{Eq}(c)^*)^* \rightrightarrows (W \times_D C)^*$ .

*Proof.* This follows easily by the usual commutation of kernel pairs with pullbacks and condition (a').  $\square$

In a star-regular category, a (short) *star-exact sequence* is a diagram

$$\text{Eq}(f)^* \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_1} \\ \xrightarrow{f_2} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

where  $\text{Eq}(f)^*$  is a star-kernel of  $f$  and  $f$  is a coequaliser of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  (which, by star-regularity, is the same as to say that  $f$  is a regular epimorphism). In the total context, a star-exact sequence is just an exact fork, while in the (quasi-)pointed context it is a short exact sequence in the usual sense.

### The Star-Upper Cuboid Lemma

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a star-regular category. Consider a commutative diagram of morphisms and stars in  $\mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (W \times_D C)^* & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (Y \times_B A)^* \\
 \tau_1 \swarrow & & \nu_1 \swarrow & & \chi_1 \swarrow \\
 \text{Eq}(w)^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W & \xrightarrow{w} & Y \\
 \bar{\delta} \swarrow & & \delta \swarrow & & \beta \swarrow \\
 \text{Eq}(c)^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C & \xrightarrow{c} & A \\
 \bar{g} \swarrow & & g \swarrow & & f \swarrow \\
 S & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & D & \xrightarrow{d} & B
 \end{array} \quad (5)$$

where the three diamonds are stars of pullback (relations) of regular epimorphisms along arbitrary morphisms (so that  $P = (\text{Eq}(w)^* \times_S \text{Eq}(c)^*)^*$ ) and the two middle rows are star-exact sequences. Then *the upper row is a star-exact sequence whenever the lower row is*.

Note that, in the diagram (5) above,  $d$  is necessarily a regular epimorphism,  $d \cdot \sigma_1 = d \cdot \sigma_2$  since  $\bar{g}$  is an epimorphism, and  $\lambda \cdot \pi_1 = \lambda \cdot \pi_2$ , because the pair of morphisms  $(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  is jointly monomorphic.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a star-regular category with saturating regular epimorphisms, enough trivial objects, and assume that  $\mathcal{N}$ -trivial objects are closed under binary products. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- $\mathbb{C}$  is a 2-star-permutable category;
- the Star-Upper Cuboid Lemma holds true in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Suppose that the lower row is a star-exact sequence. The fact that  $\pi = \text{Eq}(\lambda)^*$  follows from Lemma 3.2. As explained in Proposition 2.6,  $\lambda$  is a

regular epimorphism if and only if  $cg^\circ\delta^*w^\circ \geq f^\circ\beta^*$ . In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}
cg^\circ\delta^*w^\circ &= cc^\circ cg^\circ gg^\circ\delta^*w^\circ && \text{(Lemma 1.1(1))} \\
&\geq cc^\circ cg^\circ g^*g^\circ\delta^*w^\circ && \text{(Eq}(g) \geq \text{Eq}(g)^*) \\
&= cg^\circ gc^\circ c^*g^\circ\delta^*w^\circ && \text{(Eq}(c)\text{Eq}(g)^* = \text{Eq}(g)\text{Eq}(c)^*; \text{Definition 2.3})} \\
&= cg^\circ d^\circ d^*\delta^*w^\circ && \text{(g(Eq}(c)^*) = \text{Eq}(d)^* \text{ by assumption)} \\
&= cg^\circ d^\circ d\delta^*w^\circ && \text{(*}\delta^* = \delta^*; \text{Section 1.3)} \\
&= cc^\circ f^\circ\beta w^*w^\circ && \text{(d} \cdot \text{g} = \text{f} \cdot \text{c, d} \cdot \delta = \beta \cdot \text{w)} \\
&= f^\circ\beta w^*w^\circ && \text{(Lemma 1.1(2))} \\
&= f^\circ\beta\Delta_Y^* && \text{(Lemma 2.5)} \\
&= f^\circ\beta^* && \text{(Section 1.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Consider a commutative cube of the form (3). We construct a commutative diagram of type (5) by taking the star-kernels of  $c$ ,  $w$ ,  $d$  and  $\lambda$ , so that  $\bar{g}$ ,  $\bar{\delta}$ ,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are the induced arrows between the star-kernels. By Lemma 3.2 we know that  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is the star above the pullback (relation) of  $(\bar{g}, \bar{\delta})$ . By applying the Star-Upper Cuboid Lemma to this diagram we conclude that the upper row is a star-exact sequence and, consequently,  $\lambda$  is a regular epimorphism. By Proposition 2.6,  $\mathbb{C}$  is a 2-star-permutable category.  $\square$

In the total context, Theorem 3.3 is precisely Theorem 4.3 in [12], which gives a characterisation of regular Mal'tsev categories through the Upper Cuboid Lemma, as expected. In the pointed context, the Star-Upper Cuboid Lemma gives the classical Upper  $3 \times 3$  Lemma: in the pointed version of diagram (5), the back part is irrelevant (like in diagram (4)). Then the front part is a  $3 \times 3$  diagram where all columns and the middle row are short exact sequences. The Star-Upper Cuboid Lemma claims that the upper row is a short exact sequence whenever the lower row is, i.e. the same as the Upper  $3 \times 3$  Lemma. The pointed version of Theorem 3.3 is Theorem 5.4 of [18] which characterises normal subtractive categories. Note that in the pointed context, the Upper  $3 \times 3$  Lemma is also equivalent to the Lower  $3 \times 3$  Lemma as shown in [18].

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barr, Exact Categories, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.* **236** Springer (1971) 1-120.
- [2] F. Borceux and D. Bourn, Mal'cev, protomodular, homological and semi-abelian categories, *Math. and its Appl.* **566**, Kluwer, (2004).
- [3] D. Bourn,  $3 \times 3$  lemma and protomodularity, *J. Algebra*, **236** (2001) 778-795.
- [4] D. Bourn, The denormalized  $3 \times 3$  lemma, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **177** (2003) 113-129.
- [5] A. Carboni, G.M. Kelly, and M.C. Pedicchio, Some remarks on Maltsev and Goursat categories, *Appl. Cat. Structures* **1** (1993) 385-421.
- [6] A. Carboni, J. Lambek, and M.C. Pedicchio, Diagram chasing in Mal'cev categories, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **69** (1991) 271-284.
- [7] C. Ehresmann, Sur une notion générale de cohomologie, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **259** (1964) 2050-2053.
- [8] M. Gran, Z. Janelidze and D. Rodelo,  $3 \times 3$ -Lemma for star-exact sequences, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **14** (2) (2012) 1-22.
- [9] M. Gran, Z. Janelidze, D. Rodelo, and A. Ursini, Symmetry of regular diamonds, the Goursat property, and subtractivity, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **27** (2012) 80-96.
- [10] M. Gran, Z. Janelidze and A. Ursini, A good theory of ideal in regular multi-pointed categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216** (2012) 1905-1919.
- [11] M. Gran and D. Rodelo, A new characterisation of Goursat categories, *Appl. Categ. Structures* **20** (2012) 229-238.

- [12] M. Gran and D. Rodelo, The Cuboid Lemma and Mal'tsev categories, published online in *Appl. Categ. Structures*, DOI: 10.1007/s10485-013-9352-5.
- [13] M. Gran and V. Rossi, Galois Theory and Double Central Extensions, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **6** (1) (2004) 283-298.
- [14] M. Grandis, On the categorical foundations of homological and homotopical algebra, *Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Catég.* **33** (1992) 135-175.
- [15] G. Janelidze, What is a double central extension? *Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Catég.* **32** (3) (1991) 191-201.
- [16] Z. Janelidze, Subtractive categories, *Appl. Categ. Struct.* **13** (2005) 343-350.
- [17] Z. Janelidze, Closedness properties of internal relations I: A unified approach to Mal'tsev, unital and subtractive categories, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **16** (2006) 236-261.
- [18] Z. Janelidze, The pointed subobject functor,  $3 \times 3$  lemmas and subtractivity of spans, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **23** (2010) 221-242.
- [19] S. Lack, The 3-by-3 lemma for regular Goursat categories, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, **6** (1) (2004) 1-3.
- [20] J.D.H. Smith, Mal'cev Varieties, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **554**, Springer (1976).
- [21] A. Ursini, On subtractive varieties, I, *Algebra Univ.* **31** (1994) 204-222.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA, UNIVERSIDADE DO ALGARVE, CAMPUS DE GAMBELAS, 8005-139 FARO, PORTUGAL, AND, CMUC, UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL, DRODELO@UALG.PT