Perfect Packings in Quasirandom Hypergraphs II

John Lenz * University of Illinois at Chicago lenz@math.uic.edu Dhruv Mubayi[†] University of Illinois at Chicago mubayi@math.uic.edu

October 16, 2018

Abstract

For each of the notions of hypergraph quasirandomness that have been studied, we identify a large class of hypergraphs F so that every quasirandom hypergraph Hadmits a perfect F-packing. An informal statement of a special case of our general result for 3-uniform hypergraphs is as follows. Fix an integer $r \ge 4$ and 0 .Suppose that <math>H is an n-vertex triple system with r|n and the following two properties:

- for every graph G with V(G) = V(H), at least p proportion of the triangles in G are also edges of H,
- for every vertex x of H, the link graph of x is a quasirandom graph with density at least p.

Then H has a perfect $K_r^{(3)}$ -packing. Moreover, we show that neither hypotheses above can be weakened, so in this sense our result is tight. A similar conclusion for this special case can be proved by Keevash's hypergraph blowup lemma, with a slightly stronger hypothesis on H.

1 Introduction

A k-uniform hypergraph H (k-graph for short) is a collection of k-element subsets (edges) of a vertex set V(H). For a k-graph H and a subset S of vertices of size at most k-1, define the (k - |S|)-graph $N_H(S) := \{T \subseteq V(H) - S : T \cup S \in H\}$. Also, let $d_H(S) = |N_H(S)|$. When $S = \{x\}$, we write $N_H(x)$ and $d_H(x)$. The minimum ℓ -degree of H, written $\delta_{\ell}(H)$, is the minimum of $d_H(S)$ taken over all ℓ -sets $S \in \binom{V(H)}{\ell}$. The minimum codegree of H is $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ and the minimum degree is $\delta(H) = \delta_1(H)$. The complete k-graph on r vertices, denoted $K_r^{(k)}$ (or sometimes just K_r) is the k-graph with vertex set [r] and all $\binom{r}{k}$ edges.

^{*}Research partly supported by NSA Grant H98230-13-1-0224.

[†]Research supported in part by NSF Grants 0969092 and 1300138.

If H is a k-graph and $x \in V(H)$, the link of x, written $L_H(x)$, is the (k-1)-graph whose vertex set is $V(H) - \{x\}$ and whose edge set is $N_H(x)$. We write v(H) for |V(H)|.

Let G and F be k-graphs. We say that G has a perfect F-packing if the vertex set of G can be partitioned into copies of F. Minimum degree conditions that force perfect F-packings in graphs have a long history and have been well studied [1, 11, 21, 23]. In the past decade there has been substantial interest in extending these result to k-graphs [9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 22, 24, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 39, 40]. Despite this activity many basic questions in this area remain open. For example, for $k \geq 5$ the minimum degree threshold which forces a perfect matching in k-graphs is not known.

A key ingredient in the proofs of most of the previously cited results are specially designed random-like or quasirandom properties of k-graphs that imply the existence of perfect Fpackings. There is a rather well-defined notion of quasirandomness for graphs that originated in early work of Thomason [36, 37] and Chung-Graham-Wilson [7]. These graph quasirandom properties, when generalized to k-graphs, provide a rich structure of inequivalent hypergraph quasirandom properties (see [29, 38]). In [28], the authors studied in detail the packing problem for the simplest of these quasirandom properties, the so-called weak hypergraph quasirandomness. A hypergraph is *linear* if every two edges share at most one vertex. Results of [28] showed that weak hypergraph quasirandomness and an obvious minimum degree condition suffices to obtain perfect F-packings for all linear F, but the result does not hold for certain F that are very close to being linear.

In this paper, we address the packing problem for the other quasirandom properties. A special case of our result identifies what hypergraph quasirandom property and what condition on the link of each vertex is required in order to be able to guarantee a perfect $K_r^{(k)}$ -packing for all r (which implies a perfect F-packing for all F). The quasirandom property naturally has great resemblance to those used in the various (strong) hypergraph regularity lemmas. Keevash's hypergraph blowup lemma [14] has as a corollary that the super-regularity of complexes implies the existence of perfect packings, but our main result below (Theorem 1) shows that a weaker notion of quasirandomness is enough to obtain perfect packings of complete hypergraphs. In fact, we are able to do more: for many of the hypergraph quasirandom properties that have been studied previously in the literature, we give a class of hypergraphs F for which we can find a perfect packing. Before stating Theorem 1, we need to define these notions of hypergraph quasirandomness.

1.1 Notions of Hypergraph Quasirandomness

Our definitions are closely related to the definitions by Towsner [38], which gives the most general treatment of hypergraph quasirandomness.

Definition. Let X be a finite set and let $2^X = \{A : A \subseteq X\}$. An antichain is an $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^X$ such that $A \subsetneq B$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$. A full antichain is an antichain $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^X$ such that $|\mathcal{I}| \ge 2$ and for all $x \in X$, there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ with $x \in I$.

Definition. Let $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be an antichain, and let H be a k-graph. An \mathcal{I} -layout in H is a tuple of uniform hypergraphs $\Lambda = (\lambda_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ where λ_I is an |I|-uniform hypergraph

on vertex set V(H). If Λ is an \mathcal{I} -layout, then the k-cliques of Λ , denoted $K_k(\Lambda)$, is the set of all vertex tuples (x_1, \ldots, x_k) such that x_1, \ldots, x_k are distinct vertices and for each $I \in \mathcal{I}, \{x_i : i \in I\} \in \lambda_I$. In an abuse of notation, we will denote by $H \cap K_k(\Lambda)$ the k-tuples (x_1, \ldots, x_k) such that $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in K_k(\Lambda)$ and $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \in H$.

We now are ready to define hypergraph quasirandomness.

Definition. Let $0 < \mu, p < 1$. A k-graph H satisfies $Disc^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ if for every \mathcal{I} -layout Λ ,

$$|H \cap K_k(\Lambda)| \ge p|K_k(\Lambda)| - \mu n^k.$$

The stronger property $Disc^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, p, \mu)$ stipulates that for every \mathcal{I} -layout Λ ,

$$\left| |H \cap K_k(\Lambda)| - p|K_k(\Lambda)| \right| \le \mu n^k.$$

Example. Let k = 3 and $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\}$. A 3-graph H satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(3)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ if for every two graphs λ_{12} and λ_{23} with vertex set V(H), the number of tuples (x, y, z) with $\{x, y, z\} \in H, xy \in \lambda_{12}$, and $yz \in \lambda_{23}$ is at least $p|K_3(\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{23})| - \mu n^3$, where $K_3(\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{23})$ is the set of tuples (x, y, z) with $xy \in \lambda_{12}$ and $yz \in \lambda_{23}$.

Several special cases of this definition deserve mention, since essentially all previously studied hypergraph quasirandomness properties are related to $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ for some \mathcal{I} .

- When $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1\}, \ldots, \{k\}\}$, then $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ is exactly the property $(p, \frac{\mu}{k!})$ -dense from [28] and is closely related to weak quasirandomness studied in [8, 10, 18, 35].
- More generally, when \mathcal{I} is a partition the property $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, p, \mu)$ is essentially the property $\text{Expand}[\pi]$ studied in [26, 27, 29]. In particular, when $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1, \ldots, k 1\}, \{k\}\}$, then $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ is essentially equivalent to the property considered recently by Keevash (the property called "typical" in [13]) in his recent proof of the existence of designs.
- When $\mathcal{I} = {\binom{[k]}{\ell}}$, then $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, p, \mu)$ is closely related to the property $\text{CliqueDisc}[\ell]$ studied in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 19, 29].
- When $\mathcal{I} = \{I \in {[k] \choose k-1} : \{1, \dots, \ell\} \subseteq I\}$, then $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, p, \mu)$ is essentially the same as the property $\text{Deviation}[\ell]$ studied in [4, 5, 3, 19, 29].
- Finally, note that $\operatorname{Disc}^{(k)}(\{\emptyset\}, \geq p, \mu)$ is equivalent to $|H| \geq p\binom{v(H)}{k} \frac{\mu}{k!}n^k$, since $K_k(\{\emptyset\})$ is the set of all ordered k-tuples of distinct vertices.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be an antichain. A k-graph F is \mathcal{I} -adapted if there exists an ordering E_1, \ldots, E_m of the edges of F and bijections $\phi_i : E_i \to [k]$ such that for each $1 \leq j < i \leq m$, the following holds: there exists an $I \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\{\phi_i(x) : x \in E_j \cap E_i\} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I}$.

In words, F is \mathcal{I} -adapted if the set of labels assigned to E_i which appear on $E_j \cap E_i$ is a subset of a set in \mathcal{I} .

Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$ be antichains. A k-graph F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted if F is \mathcal{I} adapted and there exists $x \in V(F)$, an ordering E_1, \ldots, E_m of the edges of F, and bijections $\psi_i : E_i \to [k]$ such that for all $1 \leq j < i \leq m$, the following holds.

- If $x \notin E_i$ then there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\{\psi_i(y) : y \in E_j \cap E_i\} \subseteq I$.
- If $x \in E_i$ then $\psi_i(x) = k$ and there exists $J \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\{\psi_i(y) : y \in E_j \cap E_i, y \neq x\} \subseteq J$.

1.2 Our Results

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1. Let $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be a full antichain, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$, and $0 < \alpha, p < 1$. For every $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted k-graph F, there exists $\mu > 0$ and n_0 so that the following holds. Let H be an *n*-vertex k-graph where $n \geq n_0$ and v(F)|n. Suppose that H satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ and that $L_H(x)$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ for all $x \in V(H)$. Then H has a perfect F-packing.

It is straightforward to see that if \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}' are such that for every $I' \in \mathcal{I}'$, there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I' \subseteq I$, then $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu) \Rightarrow \text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}', \geq p, \mu)$. Also, if $\mathcal{I} = {[k] \choose k-1}$ and $\mathcal{J} = {[k-1] \choose k-2}$, then every F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. Thus to find the weakest quasirandom condition to apply Theorem 1 to a given k-graph F, one should find the minimal \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} for which F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. For example, if $C = \{abc, bcd, def, aef\}$, then C is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted where $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset\}$ (let x = a and order the edges which contain a first).

As mentioned above, special cases of $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ correspond to previously studied quasirandom properties so that Theorem 1 generalizes several previous results.

- Let k = 2. The only full antichain is $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$. For this \mathcal{I} , all graphs F are $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ adapted if $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset\}$. To see this, pick $x \in V(F)$ and place all edges incident to x first
 in the ordering for the definition of $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. Now the property $\mathsf{Disc}^{(2)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ just states that G is quasirandom (in fact only "one-sided" quasirandom). Also, the
 condition " $L_H(x)$ satisfies $\mathsf{Disc}^{(1)}(\{\emptyset\}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ for every $x \in V(H)$ " is equivalent to the
 condition that $\delta(H) \geq (\alpha \mu)(n 1)$. To see this, recall from before that if H' is
 an r-graph the property "H' satisfies $\mathsf{Disc}^{(1)}(\{\emptyset\}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ is equivalent to the property
 that $|H'| \geq \alpha \binom{v(H')}{r} \frac{\mu}{r!}v(H')^r$. Thus Theorem 1 for k = 2 states that if G is an n-vertex quasirandom graph, v(F)|n, and $\delta(G) \geq (\alpha \mu)(n 1)$, then G has a perfect F-packing. This fact is a simple consequence of the blowup lemma of Komlós-SárközySzemerédi [20].
- For $k \geq 2$ with \mathcal{I} a partition into singletons, we obtain exactly [28, Theorem 3]. In this case, $\operatorname{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ is equivalent to $(p, \frac{\mu}{k!})$ -dense from [28], an \mathcal{I} -adapted k-graph is a linear k-graph, and one can take $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset\}$. Similar to the previous paragraph, the condition " $L_H(x)$ satisfies $\operatorname{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\{\emptyset\}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ for every $x \in V(H)$ " is equivalent to the condition that $\delta(H) \geq \alpha \binom{v(H)-1}{k-1} \frac{\mu}{(k-1)!} v(H)^{k-1}$.

• If $\mathcal{I} = {\binom{[k]}{k-1}}$ and $\mathcal{J} = {\binom{[k-1]}{k-2}}$ then every k-graph F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. Thus Theorem 1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Fix $2 \le k \le r$. For every $0 < \alpha, p < 1$, there exists $\mu > 0$ and n_0 such that the following holds. Let H be an n-vertex k-graph with $n \ge n_0$ and r|n. If H satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}({[k] \choose k-1}, \ge p, \mu)$ and $L_H(x)$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}({[k-1] \choose k-2}, \ge \alpha, \mu)$ for every $x \in V(H)$, then H has a perfect $K_r^{(k)}$ -packing.

Keevash's hypergraph blowup lemma [14] also guarantees perfect $K_r^{(k)}$ -packings under certain regularity conditions, however the hypotheses of Corollary 2 are slightly weaker. Indeed, the main extra requirement that [14] places on H is [14, Definition 3.16 part *(iii)*]; translated into our language, for 3-graphs this property says roughly that for every $x \in V(H)$, if W is a set of triples where each triple contains some pair from $L_H(x)$, then $|H \cap W| \approx p|W|$.

Next, we investigate if either of the conditions $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ or $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ in the links from Theorem 1 can be weakened. This question was studied by the authors [28] in detail when \mathcal{I} is a partition, and it turns out that for certain non-linear F it is possible to weaken the conditions (see [28] for details). Most likely, the constructions and results from [28] can be generalized to all \mathcal{I} . In this paper, we focus only on the case $\mathcal{I} = \binom{[k]}{k-1}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \binom{[k-1]}{k-2}$, which corresponds to the condition required for perfect $K_r^{(k)}$ -packings. In this case, neither condition can be weakened, so that Theorem 1 cannot be improved in general.

Proposition 3. For every $k \geq 3$ there exists an r (depending only on k) such that the following holds. Let $\alpha = p = \frac{k-1}{k}$ and let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be a full antichain where $\mathcal{I} \neq {\binom{[k]}{k-1}}$. For every $\mu > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exists an n-vertex k-graph H which

- satisfies $Disc^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$,
- fails $Disc^{(k)}({[k] \choose k-1}, \ge p, \mu),$
- for every $x \in V(H)$ the link $L_H(x)$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\binom{[k-1]}{k-2}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$,
- has no copy of K_r (so no perfect K_r -packing).

Proposition 4. For every $k \geq 3$ there exists an r (depending only on k) such that the following holds. Let $\alpha = p = \frac{k-1}{k}$ and let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$ be a full antichain where $\mathcal{J} \neq {\binom{[k-1]}{k-2}}$. For every $0 < \mu, p < 1$, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ with r|n, there exists an n-vertex k-graph H which

- satisfies $Disc^{(k)}({[k] \choose k-1}, \ge p, \mu),$
- for every $x \in V(H)$ the link $L_H(x)$ satisfies $Disc^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$,
- there exists $x \in V(H)$ such that the link $L_H(x)$ fails $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\binom{[k-1]}{k-2}, \ge \alpha, \mu)$,

• has no perfect K_r -packing.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we discuss the two main tools needed for the proof of Theorem 1, in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1, and finally in Section 5 we explain the constructions which prove Propositions 3 and 4.

2 Absorbing Sets

One of the main tools for our proof of Theorem 1 is the absorbing technique of Rödl-Ruciński-Szemerédi [34]. We will use the following absorbing lemma from [28] without modification.

Definition. Let F and H be k-graphs and let $A, B \subseteq V(H)$. We say that A F-absorbs B or that A is an F-absorbing set for B if both H[A] and $H[A \cup B]$ have perfect F-packings. When F is a single edge, we say that A edge-absorbs B.

Definition. Let F and H be k-graphs, $\epsilon > 0$, and a and b be multiples of v(F). We say that H is (a, b, ϵ, F) -rich if for all $B \in \binom{V(H)}{b}$ there are at least ϵn^a sets in $\binom{V(H)}{a}$ which F-absorb B.

Lemma 5. (Absorbing Lemma, specialized version of [28, Lemma 10]) Let F be a k-graph, $\epsilon > 0$, and a and b be multiples of v(F). There exists an n_0 and $\omega > 0$ such that for all n-vertex k-graphs H with $n \ge n_0$, the following holds. If H is (a, b, ϵ, F) -rich, then there exists an $A \subseteq V(H)$ such that a||A| and A F-absorbs all sets C satisfying the following conditions: $C \subseteq V(H) - A$, $|C| \le \omega n$, and b||C|.

3 Embedding Lemma

Definition. Let $k \ge 2$ and $0 \le m \le f$. Let F and H be k-graphs with $V(F) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_f\}$. A labeled copy of F in H is an edge-preserving injection from V(F) to V(H). A degenerate labeled copy of F in H is an edge-preserving map from V(F) to V(H) that is not an injection. Let $1 \le m \le f$ and let $Z_1, \ldots, Z_m \subseteq V(H)$. Set $inj[F \to H; w_1 \to Z_1, \ldots, w_m \to Z_m]$ to be the number of edge-preserving injections $\psi : V(F) \to V(H)$ such that $\psi(w_i) \in Z_i$ for all $1 \le i \le m$. If $Z_i = \{z_i\}$, we abbreviate $w_i \to \{z_i\}$ as $w_i \to z_i$.

The embedding lemma (Lemma 6) proved in this section shows that if H satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ and $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ in the links, then H contains many copies of F if F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. In fact, it says more: if m of the vertices of F are pre-specified and F satisfies the following more technical condition, then there are many copies of F using the m pre-specified vertices.

Definition. Let $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$ be antichains, F a k-graph, and $s_1, \ldots, s_m \in V(F)$. We say that F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m if there exists an ordering E_1, \ldots, E_t of the edges of F such that

- for every $i, |E_i \cap \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}| \le 1$,
- for every E_i with $E_i \cap \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} = \emptyset$, there exists a bijection $\phi_i : E_i \to [k]$ such that for all j < i, there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\{\phi_i(x) : x \in E_j \cap E_i\} \subseteq I$,
- for every E_i with $s_\ell \in E_i$, there exists a bijection $\psi_i : E_i \setminus \{s_\ell\} \to [k-1]$ such that for all j < i, there exists $J \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\{\psi_i(x) : x \in E_j \cap E_i, x \neq s_\ell\} \subseteq J$.

Note that m = 0 is possible, in which case the definition is equivalent to \mathcal{I} -adapted.

Lemma 6. Let $k \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha, \gamma, p < 1$, and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$ be antichains. Let F be an f-vertex k-graph with $V(F) = \{s_1, \ldots, s_m, t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_f\}$. Suppose that F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m . Then there exists an n_0 and $\mu > 0$ such that the following is true.

Let H be an n-vertex k-graph with $n \ge n_0$, where H satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \ge p, \mu)$. If m > 0, then also assume that $L_H(x)$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \ge \alpha, \mu)$ for every vertex $x \in V(H)$. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in V(H)$ be distinct and let $V_{m+1}, \ldots, V_f \subseteq V(H)$. Then

$$inj[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f]$$

$$\geq \alpha^{d_F(s_1)} \cdots \alpha^{d_F(s_m)} p^{|F| - \sum d_F(s_i)} |V_{m+1}| \cdots |V_f| - \gamma n^{f-m}$$

Proof. We first prove the lemma under the additional assumption that the sets V_{m+1}, \ldots, V_f are pairwise disjoint. This is proved by induction on |F|. If |F| = 0, then

$$\operatorname{inj}[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f] \ge \prod_{i=m+1}^f (|V_i| - f)$$
$$\ge \alpha^0 p^0 \prod_{i=m+1}^f |V_i| - \gamma n^{f-m}$$

for large n. So assume F has at least one edge and let E be the last edge in an ordering of the edges of F which witness that F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m . (Recall that if m = 0then $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m is equivalent to \mathcal{I} -adapted.)

Let F_* be the hypergraph formed by deleting all vertices of E from F. Let F_- be the hypergraph formed by removing the edge E from F but keeping the same vertex set. Let Q_* be an injective edge-preserving map $Q_* : V(F_*) \to V(H)$ where $Q_*(s_i) = y_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $Q_*(t_i) \in V_i$ for $t_i \notin E$. There are two cases.

Case 1: $E \cap \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} = \emptyset$. Let $\phi : E \to [k]$ be the bijection from the definition of $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m and assume the vertices of F are labeled such that $E = \{t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{m+k}\}$, where $\phi(t_{m+i}) = i$. For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$, define an |I|-uniform hypergraph λ_{I,Q_*} with vertex set V(H) as follows. Let $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{|I|}\}$. Make $\{z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_{|I|}}\} \in \binom{V(H)}{|I|}$ a hyperedge of λ_{I,Q_*} if $z_{i_j} \in V_{m+i_j}$ for all j and when the map Q_* is extended to map t_{i_j} to z_{i_j} for all j, this extended map is an edge-preserving map from $F_-[V(F_*) \cup \{t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_{|I|}}\}]$ to H. More informally, λ_{I,Q_*} consists of all |I|-sets to which Q_* can be extended to produce a copy of F_* together with the vertices of E indexed by I. Let $\Lambda_{Q_*} = (\lambda_{I,Q_*})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. Now, if $(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+k})$ is a k-tuple in $K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})$, then the map Q_* can be extended to map t_j to z_j for $m+1 \leq j \leq m+k$ to produce an edge-preserving map from F_- to H. To see this, let E' be an edge of F_- . Since E is the last edge in the ordering, if $E' \cap E = \{t_{j_1}, \ldots, t_{j_r}\}$ then there exists some $I \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} \subseteq I$ since F is \mathcal{I} -adapted. Since $(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+k})$ is a k-clique, $\{z_{m+i} : i \in I\} \in \lambda_{I,Q_*}$. This implies that there is some permutation η of I such that extending Q_* to map t_{m+i} to $z_{m+\eta(i)}$ produces an edge-preserving map. Since the V_{m+i} s are pairwise disjoint and $z_{m+i} \in V_{m+i}$ for all $i \in I$ produces an edge-preserving map. Thus extending the map Q_* to map t_{m+i} to z_{m+i} for all $i \in I$ produces an edge-preserving map and E' is one of the preserved edges. Finally, since the V_j s are disjoint, each k-tuple in $K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})$ for all j. Similarly, $|H \cap K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})|$ is exactly the number of labeled copies of F in H which extend Q_* with t_j mapped into V_j for all j. Thus,

$$\operatorname{inj}[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f] = \sum_{Q_*} |H \cap K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})|$$

$$\operatorname{inj}[F_- \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f] = \sum_{Q_*} |K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})|.$$
(1)

Since *H* satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$,

$$\operatorname{inj}[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f] \\ \ge \sum_{Q_*} \left(p |K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})| - \mu n^k \right) \\ \ge p \sum_{Q_*} |K_k(\Lambda_{Q_*})| - \mu n^{f-m},$$
(2)

where the last inequality is because there are at most n^{f-m-k} maps Q_* , since F_* has f-k vertices and $s_i \in V(F_*)$ must map to y_i . Combining (1) and (2) and then applying induction,

$$\inf[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f]$$

$$\geq p \inf[F_- \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f] - \mu n^{f-m}$$

$$\geq p \left(\alpha^{\sum d(s_i)} p^{|F| - 1 - \sum d(s_i)} |V_{m+1}| \cdots |V_f| - \gamma n^{f-m} \right) - \mu n^{f-m}.$$

Let $\mu = (1 - p)\gamma$ so that the proof of this case complete.

Case 2: $s_{\ell} \in E$. (Since F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m , at most one vertex s_{ℓ} can be in E.) Let $\psi : E \setminus \{s_{\ell}\} \to [k-1]$ be the bijection from the definition of $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m and assume the vertices of E are labeled such that $E = \{s_{\ell}, t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{m+k-1}\}$ where $\psi(t_{m+j}) = j$. This case is very similar to the previous case, except we will use $\mathsf{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \ge \alpha, \mu)$ in the link of y_{ℓ} . For each $J \in \mathcal{J}$, define a |J|-uniform hypergraph λ_{J,Q_*} with vertex set V(H) as follows. Let $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_{|J|}\}$. Make $\{z_{j_1}, \ldots, z_{j_{|J|}}\}$ a hyperedge of λ_{J,Q_*} if $z_{j_r} \in V_{j_r}$ for all r and extending the map Q_* to map s_{ℓ} to y_{ℓ} and mapping t_{j_r} to z_{j_r} for all r produces an edge-preserving map. Let $\Lambda_{Q_*} = (\lambda_{J,Q_*})_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$. Similar to before, if $(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{m+k-1})$ is a (k-1)-tuple in $K_{k-1}(\Lambda_{Q_*})$, then the map Q_* can be extended to map s_ℓ to y_ℓ and map t_i to z_i for $m+1 \leq i \leq m+k-1$ to produce an edge-preserving map from F_- to H. Thus $|K_{k-1}(\Lambda_{Q_*})|$ is exactly the number of labeled copies of F_- in H which extend Q_* . Similarly, $|L_H(y_\ell) \cap K_{k-1}(\Lambda_{Q_*})|$ is exactly the number of labeled copies of F in H which extend Q_* .

Now formulas similar to (1) and (2) and the fact that $L_H(y_\ell)$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ completes this case. This concludes the proof of the lemma if the sets V_{m+1}, \ldots, V_f are pairwise disjoint.

Now assume that the sets V_{m+1}, \ldots, V_f are not necessarily pairwise disjoint. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{(P_{m+1}, \ldots, P_f) : P_{m+1}, \ldots, P_f \text{ is a partition of } V(H)\}$ so that $|\mathcal{P}| = (f - m)^n$. Now

$$\inf[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(f-m)^{n-f+m}} \sum_{(P_{m+1},\dots,P_f)\in\mathcal{P}} \inf[F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m,$$

$$t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1} \cap P_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f \cap P_f].$$

Indeed, each labeled copy of F of the right form will be counted exactly $(f-m)^{n-f+m}$ times by the sum over all partitions, since the images of t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_f must map into the cooresponding part of the partition and all other vertices of H can be distributed to any of the parts of the partition. Let $\delta = \alpha^{d_F(s_1)} \cdots \alpha^{d_F(s_m)} p^{|F| - \sum d_F(s_i)}$. Since $V_{m+1} \cap P_{m+1}, \ldots, V_f \cap P_f$ are pairwise disjoint,

$$\inf_{i} [F \to H; s_1 \to y_1, \dots, s_m \to y_m, t_{m+1} \to V_{m+1}, \dots, t_f \to V_f]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{(f-m)^{n-f+m}} \sum_{\substack{(P_{m+1}, \dots, P_f) \in \mathcal{P} \\ (P_{m+1} \cap P_{m+1}| \dots |V_f \cap P_f| - \gamma n^{f-m})} \left(\delta |V_{m+1} \cap P_{m+1}| \dots |V_f \cap P_f| - \gamma n^{f-m} \right)$$

$$= \delta |V_{m+1}| \dots |V_f| - \frac{\gamma n^{f-m} |\mathcal{P}|}{(f-m)^{n-f+m}} \geq \delta |V_{m+1}| \dots |V_f| - \gamma n^{f-m}.$$

4 Packing $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted hypergraphs

In this section we prove Theorem 1. The proof has several stages: we first prove that the quasirandom conditions on H imply that H is rich, then we use Lemma 5 to set aside a vertex set A which can absorb all reasonably sized sets, next we use the embedding lemma (Lemma 6) to produce an almost perfect packing in H - A, and finally we use the properties of A to absorb the remaining vertices.

4.1 Richness

In this subsection, we prove that the conditions on H in Theorem 1 imply that H is $(f^2 - f, f, \epsilon, F)$ -rich, where f = v(F).

Lemma 7. Let $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be a full antichain, and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$ an antichain. Let F be an $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted k-graph with f vertices. For every $0 < \alpha, p < 1$, there exists $\mu, \epsilon > 0$ and n_0 so that the following holds. Let H be an n-vertex k-graph where $n \geq n_0$. Also, assume that H satisfies $\mathsf{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ and that $L_H(z)$ satisfies $\mathsf{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ for every vertex $z \in V(H)$. Then H is $(f^2 - f, f, \epsilon, F)$ -rich.

Proof. Let a = f(f-1) and b = f. Our task is to come up with an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for large n and all $B \in \binom{V(H)}{b}$, there are at least ϵn^a vertex sets of size a which F-absorb B; we will define ϵ and μ later. Let $V(F) = \{w_0, \ldots, w_{f-1}\}$, where w_0 is the special vertex in the definition that F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted.

Next, form the following k-graph F'. Let

$$V(F') = \{x_{i,j} : 0 \le i, j \le f - 1\}.$$

(We think of the vertices of F' as arranged in a grid with i as the row and j as the column.) Form the edges of F' as follows: for each fixed $1 \leq i \leq f - 1$, let $\{x_{i,0}, \ldots, x_{i,f-1}\}$ induce a copy of F where $x_{i,j}$ is mapped to w_j . Similarly, for each fixed $0 \leq j \leq f - 1$, let $\{x_{0,j}, \ldots, x_{f-1,j}\}$ induce a copy of F where $x_{i,j}$ is mapped to w_i . Note that we therefore have a copy of F in each column and a copy of F in each row besides the zeroth row.

Now fix $B = \{b_0, \ldots, b_{f-1}\} \subseteq V(H)$; we want to show that B is F-absorbed by many a-sets. Note that any labeled copy of F' in H which maps $x_{0,0} \to b_0, \ldots, x_{0,f-1} \to b_{f-1}$ produces an F-absorbing set for B as follows. Let $Q: V(F') \to V(H)$ be an edge-preserving injection where $Q(b_j) = x_{0,j}$ (so Q is a labeled copy of F' in H where the set B is the zeroth row of F'). Let $A = \{Q(x_{i,j}): 1 \leq i \leq f-1, 0 \leq j \leq f-1\}$ consist of all vertices in rows 1 through f - 1. Then A has a perfect F-packing consisting of the copies of F on the rows, and $A \cup B$ has a perfect F-packing consisting of the copies of F on the columns. Therefore, A F-absorbs B.

To complete the proof, we therefore just need to use Lemma 6 where m = f and $s_1 = x_{0,0}, \ldots, s_f = x_{0,f-1}$ to show that there are many copies of F' with B as the zeroth row. To do so, we need to show that F' is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m . Indeed, consider the following ordering of edges of F'. First, list the edges of F' in the first column, then the edges of F' in the second column, and so on until the kth column. Next, list the edges of F' in the first row, then the second row, and so on until the (k-1)st row. Within each row or column, list the edges in the ordering given in the definition of F being $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. For the bijections ϕ or ψ , use the same bijection as in the definition of F being $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted. Now consider $E_i, E_j \in F'$ in this ordering with j < i. If E_i and E_j are from the same row or the same column, then since F is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted the condition on $E_i \cap E_j$ is satisfied. If $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ then the condition is trivially satisfied. If $E_i \cap E_j = \{u\}$, then E_i must be from a row since i > j. Then E_i does not contain any s_1, \ldots, s_m , so we must show that there is some $I \in \mathcal{I}$ so that $\phi_i(u) \in I$. This is true because \mathcal{I} is full. Thus F' is $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted at s_1, \ldots, s_m .

Now apply Lemma 6 to F' with m = f, $s_1 = x_{0,0}, \ldots, s_f = x_{0,f-1}, V_{m+1} = \cdots = V_{f^2} = V(H) - B$, and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\sum d(x_{0,j})} p^{|F| - \sum d(x_{0,j})}$. Ensure that n_0 is large enough and μ is small

enough apply Lemma 6 to show that

$$\operatorname{inj}[F' \to H; x_{0,0} \to b_0, \dots, x_{0,f-1} \to b_{f-1}] \ge \gamma \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{f^2 - f} = \frac{\gamma}{2^{f^2 - f}} n^a.$$

Each labeled copy of F' produces a labeled F-absorbing set for B, so there are at least $\frac{\gamma}{a!2^{f^2-f}}n^a$ F-absorbing sets for B. The proof is complete by letting $\epsilon = \frac{\gamma}{a!2^{f^2-f}}$.

4.2 Almost perfect packings

In this section we prove that the conditions in Theorem 1 imply that there exists a perfect F-packing covering almost all the vertices of H.

Lemma 8. Let $k \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be a full antichain. Fix $0 and an <math>\mathcal{I}$ -adapted k-graph F with f vertices. Fix an integer b with f|b. For any $0 < \omega < 1$, there exists n_0 and $\mu > 0$ such that the following holds. Let H be an k-graph satisfying $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ with $n \geq n_0$ and f|n. Then there exists $C \subseteq V(H)$ such that $|C| \leq \omega n$, b||C|, and $H[\overline{C}]$ has a perfect F-packing.

Proof. First, select n_0 large enough and μ small enough so that any vertex set C of size $\left\lceil \frac{\omega}{2} \right\rceil$ contains a copy of F. To see this, let $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}p^{|F|}(\frac{\omega}{2})^f$ and select n_0 and $\mu > 0$ according to Lemma 6 with m = 0. (Recall that if m = 0 then the condition $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ -adapted on F at \emptyset just reduces to the statement that F is \mathcal{I} -adapted.) Now if $C \subseteq V(H)$ with $|C| \geq \frac{\omega}{2}n$, then let $V_1 = \cdots = V_f = C$ so that $|V_i| \geq \frac{\omega}{2}$ for all i. Then Lemma 6 implies there are at least $p^{|F|} \prod |V_i| - \gamma n^f \geq p^{|F|} (\frac{\omega}{2})^f n^f - \gamma n^f = \gamma n^f > 0$ copies of F inside C.

Now let F_1, \ldots, F_t be a greedily constructed F-packing. That is, F_1, \ldots, F_t are disjoint copies of F and $C := V(H) - V(F_1) - \cdots - V(F_t)$ has no copy of F. By the previous paragraph, $|C| \leq \frac{\omega}{2}n$. Since f|n and $H[\bar{C}]$ has a perfect F-packing, f||C|. Thus we can let $y \equiv -\frac{|C|}{f} \pmod{b}$ with $0 \leq y < b$ and take y of the copies of F in the F-packing of $H[\bar{C}]$ and add their vertices into C so that b||C|.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. First, apply Lemma 7 to produce $\epsilon > 0$. Next, select $\omega > 0$ according to Lemma 5 and $\mu_1 > 0$ according to Lemma 8. Also, make n_0 large enough so that both Lemma 5 and 8 can be applied. Let $\mu = \mu_1 \omega^k$. All the parameters have now been chosen.

By Lemmas 5 and 7, there exists a set $A \subseteq V(H)$ such that A F-absorbs C for all $C \subseteq V(H) \setminus A$ with $|C| \leq \omega n$ and $b \mid |C|$. If $|A| \geq (1 - \omega)n$, then A F-absorbs $V(H) \setminus A$ so that H has a perfect F-packing. Thus $|A| \leq (1 - \omega)n$. Next, let $H' := H[\overline{A}]$ and notice that H' satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu_1)$ since $v(H') \geq \omega n$ and

$$\mu n^k \le \frac{\mu}{\omega^k} v(H')^k = \mu_1 v(H')^k.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 8, there exists a vertex set $C \subseteq V(H') = V(H) \setminus A$ such that $|C| \leq \omega n$, |C| is a multiple of b, and $H'[\overline{C}]$ has a perfect F-packing. Now Lemma 5 implies that A

F-absorbs *C*. The perfect *F*-packing of $A \cup C$ and the perfect *F*-packing of $H'[\overline{C}]$ produces a perfect *F*-packing of *H*.

5 Constructions

In this section, we prove Propositions 3 and 4 using the following construction.

Construction. Let $k \ge 2$. Let $A_n^{(k)}$ be the following probability distribution over *n*-vertex *k*-graphs. Let $f: \binom{V(A_n^{(k)})}{k-1} \to \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ be a random *k*-coloring of the (k-1)-sets. Make $E \in \binom{V(A_n^{(k)})}{k}$ an edge of $A_n^{(k)}$ if

$$\sum_{\substack{T\subseteq E\\|T|=k-1}} f(T) \neq 0 \pmod{k}.$$

Lemma 9. Let $p = \frac{k-1}{k}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then with probability going to one as n goes to infinity,

$$\left||A_n^{(k)}| - p\binom{n}{k}\right| < \epsilon n^k.$$

Proof. Each k-set is an edge with probability exactly p, so $\mathbb{E}[|A_n^{(k)}|] = p\binom{n}{k}$. A simple second moment argument then shows that with high probability the number of edges is concentrated around $p\binom{n}{k}$.

Lemma 10. There exists a μ_0 such that for all $0 < \mu < \mu_0$, with probability going to one as n goes to infinity, $A_n^{(k)}$ fails $\text{Disc}^{(k)}({[k] \choose k-1}, \ge p, \mu)$.

Proof. Let Z be the (k-1)-graph whose edges are all the (k-1)-sets colored zero. Let $\Lambda = (Z, \ldots, Z)$ be the $\binom{[k]}{k-1}$ -layout consisting of Z in every coordinate. Now any k-clique (z_1, \ldots, z_k) of Λ is not a hyperedge of $A_n^{(k)}$, since every (k-1)-subset of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ has color zero. This Λ will show that $A_n^{(k)}$ fails $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\binom{[k]}{k-1}, \ge p, \mu)$ if $|K_k(\Lambda)|$ is large enough. Each k-tuple of vertices is a k-clique with probability $(\frac{1}{k})^k$, so $\mathbb{E}[|K_k(\Lambda)|] = k^{-k}(n)_k$. A simple second moment computation shows that $|K_k(\Lambda)|$ is concentrated around its expectation, so with high probability for large n we have that $|K_k(\Lambda)| \ge \frac{1}{10}k^{-k}n^k$. Thus if $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{20}\frac{k-1}{k^{k+1}}$, we have that

$$0 = |H \cap K_k(\Lambda)| < \frac{k-1}{k} |K_k(\Lambda)| - \mu n^k.$$

Lemma 11. Let $r = r_{k-1}(K_k^{(k-1)}, \ldots, K_k^{(k-1)})$ be the k-color Ramsey number, where the (k-1)-sets are colored and a monochromatic k-clique is forced. Then $A_n^{(k)}$ has no copy of $K_r^{(k)}$.

Proof. Let $X \subseteq V(A_n^{(k)})$ be such that |X| = r and $A_n^{(k)}[X]$ is a clique. Then by the property of r, there exists a $Y \subseteq X$ such that |Y| = k and all (k - 1)-subsets of Y have the same color c. But now

$$\sum_{\substack{T\subseteq Y\\|T|=k-1}} f(T) = ck = 0 \pmod{k}.$$

Thus $Y \notin A_n^{(k)}$, which contradicts that $A_n^{(k)}[X]$ is a clique.

To show that $A_n^{(k)}$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$ when $\mathcal{I} \neq {\binom{[k]}{k-1}}$, we will use a theorem of Towsner [38] that equates \mathcal{I} -discrepency with counting \mathcal{I} -adapted hypergraphs. Therefore, we prove that the count of any \mathcal{I} -adapted hypergraph F in $A_n^{(k)}$ is correct with high probability.

Lemma 12. Let $p = \frac{k-1}{k}$ and let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{[k]}$ be an antichain such that $\mathcal{I} \neq {\binom{[k]}{k-1}}$. Let F be an \mathcal{I} -adapted k-graph. For every $\mu > 0$, with probability going to one as n goes to infinity, the number of labeled copies of F in $A_n^{(k)}$ satisfies

$$\left| \operatorname{inj}[F \to A_n^{(k)}] - p^{|F|} n^{v(F)} \right| < \mu n^{v(F)}.$$

Proof. Let E_1, \ldots, E_m be the ordering of edges in the definition of F being \mathcal{I} -adapted. First we shows that if $Q: V(F) \to V(A_n^{(k)})$ is any injection, then the probability that $Q(E_i) \in A_n^{(k)}$ is exactly p independently of if the edges E_j with j < i map to hyperedges or not. Indeed, since $\mathcal{I} \neq {[k] \choose k-1}$, let $I \in {[k] \choose k-1} - \mathcal{I}$. Now consider some E_i and let $\phi_i : E_i \to [k]$ be the bijection from the definition of F being \mathcal{I} -adapted. Now since $I \notin \mathcal{I}$, there is no j < i such that $\phi_i(E_i \cap E_j) = I$. Thus conditioning on if the edges E_j with j < i map to edges of $A_n^{(k)}$ or not potentially fixes the colors on (k-1)-subsets of $Q(E_i)$ besides the (k-1)-subset indexed by I. Since the color of $\{Q(x): x \in E_i, \phi_i(x) \in I\}$ (which has size k-1) has probability exactly p to make the color sum of $Q(E_i)$ once all other colors are fixed, with probability pwe have that $Q(E_i)$ is an edge.

Therefore, the probability that Q is an edge-preserving map is $p^{|F|}$. This implies that the expected number of labeled copies of F in $A_n^{(k)}$ is $p^{|F|}n(n-1)\cdots(n-v(F)+1)$. A simple second moment calculation shows that with high probability the number of labeled copies of F in $A_n^{(k)}$ is $p^{|F|}n^{v(F)} \pm \mu n^{v(F)}$ for large n.

Lastly, we need to show that $A_n^{(k)}$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ in every link for every \mathcal{J} . We could do that similar to the previous lemma by showing that the count of \mathcal{J} -adapted k-graphs is correct, but instead are able to directly show that $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ holds.

Lemma 13. Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^{[k-1]}$ be an antichain and $\alpha = \frac{k-1}{k}$. Then for every $\mu > 0$, with probability going to one as n goes to infinity, L(x) satisfies $Disc^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ for each $x \in V(A_n^{(k)})$.

Proof. Fix $x \in V(A_n^{(k)})$ and view $L_{A_n^{(k)}}(x)$ as a probability distribution over (k-1)-graphs with vertex set $V(A_n^{(k)})-x$. That is, an element from this probability distribution is generated by first generating $A_n^{(k)}$ and then outputting the link of x. We claim that the probability distribution L(x) is isomorphic to the probability distribution $G^{(k-1)}(n-1,\alpha)$. To see this, consider $S \in \binom{V(A_n^{(k)})-x}{k-1}$. Then $S \in L(x)$ if

$$\sum_{\substack{T\subseteq S\cup\{x\}\\|T|=k-1}} f(T)\neq 0 \pmod{k}.$$

We could rewrite this as

$$f(S) \neq \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq S \\ |T| = k-2}} f(T \cup x) \pmod{k}.$$

The sum on the left hand side is some integer w_S between 0 and k-1, so that S is a hyperedge of L(x) if and only if the color of S is not w_S . Since this is for every S and the colors assigned to S are mutually independent, L(x) is isomorphic to $G^{(k-1)}(n-1,\alpha)$.

The proof is now complete, since for large $n \ G^{(k-1)}(n-1,\alpha)$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ with very high probability as follows. Fix any \mathcal{J} -layout Λ . Each (k-1)-clique in Λ is a hyperedge with probability α and two (k-1)-cliques are independent unless one is a permutation of the other. So divide $K_{k-1}(\Lambda)$ up into at most (k-1)! sets $R_1, \ldots, R_{(k-1)!}$ such that within a single R_i there are no (k-1)-tuples which are permutations of each other. Then the expected size of $H \cap R_i$ is $\alpha |R_i|$ and by Chernoff's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left||H \cap R_i| - \alpha |R_i|\right| > \epsilon n^{k-1}\right] < 2e^{-\epsilon^2 n^{2k-2}/2|R_i|}.$$

Since $|R_i| \leq n^{k-1}$, the probability is at most $e^{-cn^{k-1}}$ for some constant c. There are (k-1)! sets R_i and there are at most $2^{k-2}2^{n^{k-2}}$ \mathcal{J} -layouts Λ , so with probability at most $e^{-c'n^{k-1}}$, the link of x fails $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$. There are n vertices of $A_n^{(k)}$, so with probability at most $ne^{-c'n^{k-1}} \to 0$, there is some vertex x of $A_n^{(k)}$ whose link fails $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$. \Box

Proof of Proposition 3. As mentioned previously, to show that $A_n^{(k)}$ satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\mathcal{I}, \geq p, \mu)$, we combine Lemma 12 with a theorem of Towsner [38] which is stated in the language of k-graph sequences. Converting from the probability distribution $A_n^{(k)}$ to a k-graph sequence is very similar to the proofs of [29, Lemmas 30 and 31] so we only briefly sketch the technique here. By the previous lemmas and the probabilistic method, for every $\mu > 0$ there exists an n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ there exists some k-graph satisfying the properties in the previous lemmas (has the right edge density, fails $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\binom{[k]}{k-1}, \geq p, \mu)$, no copy of K_r , has the right count of all \mathcal{I} -adapted hypergraphs, and satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \geq \alpha, \mu)$ in the links). Construct a k-graph sequence $\mathcal{H} = \{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by diagonalization by setting $\mu = \frac{1}{n}$.

By Lemma 12, \mathcal{H} satisfies the property that for every \mathcal{I} -adapted F, $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_F(H_n) = p^{|F|}$ so by [38, Theorem 1.1] \mathcal{H} is $\text{Disc}_p[\mathcal{I}]$ (where $t_F(H_n)$ and $\text{Disc}_p[\mathcal{I}]$ are defined in [38]). Thus for large n, the k-graphs in the sequence \mathcal{H} are the k-graphs which prove Proposition 3. \Box Proof of Proposition 4. Let $G = G^{(k)}(n, p)$ be the random k-graph with density p. Modify G by picking a single vertex $x \in V(G)$, removing all edges which contain x, and adding edges so that $L(x) = A_n^{(k-1)}$. Now the link of x has no copy of $K_r^{(k-1)}$ so that G has no perfect $K_{r+1}^{(k)}$ -packing. Also, G satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\binom{[k]}{k-1}, \ge p, \mu)$ since the random k-graph satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k)}(\binom{[k]}{k-1}, \ge p, \mu)$ (see the proof of Lemma 13) and we only modified at most n^{k-1} hyperedges. By the previous lemmas, the link of x fails $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\binom{[k-1]}{k-2}, \ge \alpha, \mu)$ and satisfies $\text{Disc}^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{J}, \ge \alpha, \mu)$ for all $\mathcal{J} \neq \binom{[k-1]}{k-2}$.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Daniela Kühn for suggesting the relationship of this work to the Hypergraph Blowup Lemma.

References

- N. Alon and R. Yuster. *H*-factors in dense graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 66(2):269–282, 1996.
- [2] F. Chung. Quasi-random hypergraphs revisited. Random Structures Algorithms, 40(1):39–48, 2012.
- [3] F. R. K. Chung. Quasi-random classes of hypergraphs. Random Structures Algorithms, 1(4):363–382, 1990.
- [4] F. R. K. Chung and R. L. Graham. Quasi-random hypergraphs. Random Structures Algorithms, 1(1):105–124, 1990.
- [5] F. R. K. Chung and R. L. Graham. Quasi-random set systems. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 4(1):151–196, 1991.
- [6] F. R. K. Chung and R. L. Graham. Cohomological aspects of hypergraphs. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 334(1):365–388, 1992.
- [7] F. R. K. Chung, R. L. Graham, and R. M. Wilson. Quasi-random graphs. Combinatorica, 9(4):345–362, 1989.
- [8] D. Conlon, H. Hàn, Y. Person, and M. Schacht. Weak quasi-randomness for uniform hypergraphs. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 40(1):1–38, 2012.
- [9] A. Czygrinow, L. DeBiasio, and B. Nagle. Tiling 3-uniform hypergraphs with $k_4^3 2e$. to appear in Journal of Graph Theory.
- [10] D. Dellamonica, Jr. and V. Rödl. Hereditary quasirandom properties of hypergraphs. Combinatorica, 31(2):165–182, 2011.
- [11] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi. Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős. In Combinatorial theory and its applications, II (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969), pages 601–623. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

- [12] H. Hàn, Y. Person, and M. Schacht. On perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs with large minimum vertex degree. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 23(2):732–748, 2009.
- [13] P. Keevash. The existence of designs. http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3665.
- [14] P. Keevash. A hypergraph blow-up lemma. Random Structures Algorithms, 39(3):275– 376, 2011.
- [15] P. Keevash and R. Mycroft. A geometric theory for hypergraph matching. to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [16] I. Khan. Perfect matchings in 4-uniform hypergraphs. arXiv:1101.5675.
- [17] I. Khan. Perfect matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs with large vertex degree. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 27(2):1021–1039, 2013.
- [18] Y. Kohayakawa, B. Nagle, V. Rödl, and M. Schacht. Weak hypergraph regularity and linear hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 100(2):151–160, 2010.
- [19] Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, and J. Skokan. Hypergraphs, quasi-randomness, and conditions for regularity. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 97(2):307–352, 2002.
- [20] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Blow-up lemma. Combinatorica, 17(1):109– 123, 1997.
- [21] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Proof of the Alon-Yuster conjecture. Discrete Math., 235(1-3):255–269, 2001. Combinatorics (Prague, 1998).
- [22] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs of high minimum degree. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 96(6):767–821, 2006.
- [23] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. The minimum degree threshold for perfect graph packings. Combinatorica, 29(1):65–107, 2009.
- [24] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and T. Townsend. Fractional and integer matchings in uniform hypergraphs. http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.6901.
- [25] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown. Matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 103(2):291–305, 2013.
- [26] J. Lenz and D. Mubayi. Eigenvalues and linear quasirandom hypergraphs. submitted. http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4863.
- [27] J. Lenz and D. Mubayi. Eigenvalues of non-regular linear quasirandom hypergraphs. online at http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.3584.
- [28] J. Lenz and D. Mubayi. Perfect packings in quasirandom hypergraphs. online at http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0884.

- [29] J. Lenz and D. Mubayi. The poset of hypergraph quasirandomness. accepted in Random Structures and Algorithms. http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.5978.
- [30] A. Lo and K. Markström. F-factors in hypergraphs via absorption. preprint arXiv:1105.3411.
- [31] A. Lo and K. Markström. Minimum codegree threshold for $(K_4^3 e)$ -factors. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 120(3):708–721, 2013.
- [32] K. Markström and A. Ruciński. Perfect matchings (and Hamilton cycles) in hypergraphs with large degrees. *European J. Combin.*, 32(5):677–687, 2011.
- [33] O. Pikhurko. Perfect matchings and K_4^3 -tilings in hypergraphs of large codegree. Graphs Combin., 24(4):391–404, 2008.
- [34] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. Perfect matchings in large uniform hypergraphs with large minimum collective degree. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 116(3):613–636, 2009.
- [35] A. Shapira1 and R. Yuster. The quasi-randomness of hypergraph cut properties. Random Structures Algorithms, 40(1):105–131, 2012.
- [36] A. Thomason. Pseudorandom graphs. In Random graphs '85 (Poznań, 1985), volume 144 of North-Holland Math. Stud., pages 307–331. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [37] A. Thomason. Random graphs, strongly regular graphs and pseudorandom graphs. In Surveys in combinatorics 1987 (New Cross, 1987), volume 123 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 173–195. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [38] H. Towsner. Sigma-algebras for quasirandom hypergraphs. available online at http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4882.
- [39] A. Treglown and Y. Zhao. Exact minimum degree thresholds for perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 119(7):1500–1522, 2012.
- [40] A. Treglown and Y. Zhao. Exact minimum degree thresholds for perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs II. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 120(7):1463–1482, 2013.