## Families Of Elliptic Curves With The Same Mod 8 Representations

Zexiang Chen

#### Abstract

Let  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We compute certain twists of the classical modular curve X(8). Searching for rational points on these twists enables us to find non-trivial pairs of 8-congruent elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose 8-torsion subgroups are isomorphic as Galois modules. We also show that there are infinitely many examples over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

### 1 Introduction And Notation

Let E, F be elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , we say E and F are *n*-congruent with power r if  $E[n] \cong F[n]$  as Galois modules and the Weil pairing is switched to the power of  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ . That is, if  $\phi : E[n] \to F[n]$  is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivariant isomorphism then  $e_n^r(P,Q) = e_n(\phi P,\phi Q)$  for all  $P,Q \in E[n]$ .

For each elliptic curve E, the families of elliptic curves which are *n*-congruent to E with power r are parameterised by a modular curve  $X_E^r(n)$ . That is, each non-cuspidal point on  $X_E^r(n)$  corresponds to an isomorphism class  $(F, \phi)$  where F is an elliptic curve and  $\phi : E[n] \to F[n]$  is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism which switches the Weil pairing to the power of r. In fact we only need to focus on  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ mod squares. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E^1(n), n \leq 5$ were computed by Rubin and Silverberg [RS] and the existence and theoretical construction of  $X_E^r(n)$  can be found in [S].

When  $n \leq 5$ ,  $X_E^r(n)$  have genus 0 and they have infinitely many rational points. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E^r(n)$  with  $r \neq 1$  can be found in [F1], [F2]. When  $n \geq 7$ ,  $X_E^r(n)$  have genus greater than 1 and so there are only finitely many rational points on each of these.

One of the motivations to study the equations for  $n \ge 7$  is to answer Mazur's question [M] which concerns whether there are any pairs of non-isogenous *n*-congruent curves. Motivated by Mazur's question, Kani and Schanz [KS] studied the geometry of the surface that parametrise pairs of *n*-congruent of elliptic curves. This prompted them to conjecture that for any  $n \le 12$  there are infinitely many pairs of *n*-congruent non-isogenous elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is understood that we are looking for examples with distinct *j*-invariants, since otherwise from any single example we could construct infinitely many by taking quadratic twists.

The conjecture in the case n = 7 was proved by Halberstadt and Kraus [HK] where they gave explicit formula for  $X_E^1(7)$ . The equation of  $X_E^6(7)$  was computed by Poonen, Schaefer and Stoll [PSS] where they study the equation

of  $X_E^6(7)$  to solve the Diophantine equation  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$ . The conjecture in the case n = 9, 11 was proved by Fisher [F3] where he gave explicit formula for  $X_E^r(9)$  and  $X_E^r(11)$  with  $r = \pm 1$ .

In this paper we will give equations for the  $X_E^r(8)$ , r = 1, 3, 5, 7 and the families of elliptic curves parameterised by these modular curves. For convention we write  $X_E(8)$  as  $X_E^1(8)$ . In the last section we will discuss the relation between the equations we obtain and the classification of modular diagonal surfaces as described in [KS] which then helps us to generate examples of pairs of non-isogenous 8-congruent elliptic curves.

Another motivation for studying *n*-congruence of elliptic curves is the following. It was observed by Cremona and Mazur [CM] that if elliptic curves Eand F are *n*-congruent then the Mordell-Weil group of F can sometimes be used to explain elements of the Tate-Shafarevich group of E.

We fix our convention for the classical modular curve. Let X(n) be the classical modular curve on which each non-cuspidal point corresponds to an isomorphism class  $(E, \phi)$  where E is an elliptic curve and

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_n \cong E[n]$$

such that

$$e_n(\phi((a_1,\zeta_1),(a_2,\zeta_2))) = \frac{\zeta_2^{a_1}}{\zeta_1^{a_2}}.$$

Equivalently, each non-cuspidal point corresponds to an isomorphism class (E, P, C)where P is a primitive n-torsion point on E and C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order n which does not contain any multiple of P. Write  $Y(n) = X(n) \setminus \{\text{cusps}\}$ .

We write  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  as the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  and any field L, write  $K_n(L)$  as the function field of X(n)/L. We will always assume L has characteristic not equal to 2 or 3. Let  $\mu_n$  be the set of n-th roots of unity. We will always write  $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) := \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ .

We state our main results. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E(4)$  and  $X_E^3(4)$  will be given in the appendix.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let *E* be an elliptic curve with equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . Then  $X_E(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$  has equations  $f_1 = g_1 = h_1 = 0$  where

$$f_1 = -aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2 + \frac{2}{9},$$
  

$$g_1 = -2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1 + \frac{2}{3}t,$$
  

$$h_1 = -2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2 - t^2 + \frac{a}{9},$$

with forgetful map  $X_E(8) \to X_E(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let E be an elliptic curve with equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  and  $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ . Then  $X_E^5(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$  has equations  $f_5 = g_5 = h_5 = 0$  where

$$f_5 = -aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2 + \frac{2D}{9},$$
  

$$g_5 = -2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1 + \frac{2D}{3}t,$$
  

$$h_5 = -2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2 + D(-t^2 + \frac{a}{9}).$$

with forgetful map  $X_E^5(8) \to X_E(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Let *E* be an elliptic curve with equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . Then  $X_E^3(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$  has equations  $f_3 = g_3 = h_3 = 0$  where

$$f_{3} = -\frac{2}{9}a^{2} + 6at^{2} + 6bt - (-aa_{2}^{2} + 2a_{0}a_{2} + a_{1}^{2}),$$
  

$$g_{3} = \frac{4}{3}a^{2}t + \frac{1}{3}ab - 9bt^{2} - (-2aa_{1}a_{2} - ba_{2}^{2} + 2a_{0}a_{1}),$$
  

$$h_{3} = -\frac{4}{9}a^{3} + 4a^{2}t^{2} + 4abt - 2b^{2} - (-2ba_{1}a_{2} + a_{0}^{2}).$$

with forgetful map  $X_E^3(8) \to X_E^3(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let E be an elliptic curve with equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . Then  $X_E^7(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$  has equations  $f_7 = g_7 = h_7 = 0$  where

$$f_7 = 3t^2 + \frac{a}{9} - aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2,$$
  

$$g_7 = \frac{4}{3}at + \frac{2}{3}b - 2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1,$$
  

$$h_7 = at^2 + 2bt - \frac{1}{9}a^2 - 2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2.$$

with forgetful map  $X_E^7(8) \to X_E^3(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$ .

**Remark.** The families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E^r(8)$  can be read off from the families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_{\bar{E}}^{\bar{r}}(4)$  via the forgetful map  $X_E^r(8) \to X_{\bar{E}}^{\bar{r}}(4)$  where  $\bar{r} = r \mod 4$ .

We will give basic properties of the modular curve X(8) in Section 2. In Section 3 we will describe the function fields of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for each r = 1, 3, 5, 7. Then we will prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 4, based on the observations in Section 3 and the fact there is always a rational point on  $X_E(8)$ . The proofs of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 require some cocycle calculations, which we will give in Section 5, and we will prove Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 in Section 6.

### Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Tom Fisher for useful discussion and advice. Most symbolic computations were done by MAGMA [MAG].

## 2 The Modular Curve X(8)

In this section we give the basic properties of the curve X(8). We start by introducing the structure of X(4). Fix a primitive 4th root of unity *i*. It is well-known (see for example [S]) that the modular curve X(4) can be identified with  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by

$$(E_u, P_u, C_u) \mapsto u$$

where

$$E_u: y^2 = x^3 - 27(256u^8 + 224u^4 + 1)x - 54(-4096u^{12} + 8448u^8 + 528u^4 - 1)$$

is an elliptic curve,  $P_u = (48u^4 - 144u^3 + 72u^2 - 36u + 3, 1728u^5 - 1728u^4 + 864u^3 - 432u^2 + 108u)$  is a primitive 4-torsion point and  $C_u$  is generated by  $Q_u = (48u^4 - 15, i(864u^4 - 54))$ . The cusps of X(4) are points satisfying  $u(16u^4 - 1) = 0$  and  $u = \infty$ .

Take the model  $E_u$  and let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be the x-coordinates of any half point of  $P_u$  and  $Q_u$  respectively. They satisfy the vanishing of the following polynomials

$$f = (x_1 - 48u^4 + 144u^3 - 72u^2 + 36u - 3)^4 + 1296u(2u - 1)^4(4u^2 + 1)(x_1 - 48u^4 - 72u^2 - 3)^2, g = (x_2 - 48u^4 + 15)^4 + 1296(16u^4 - 1)(x_2 + 96u^4 + 6)^2$$

Solving these directly we conclude that the function field of X(8)/L is

$$K_8(L) := L(u, \sqrt{u^2 - 1/4}, \sqrt{u^2 + 1/4}, \sqrt{-u})$$

for any field L containing  $\mu_8$  and so if  $\zeta$  is a fixed 8th root of unity then a model of X(8) in  $\mathbb{A}^4_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3}/L$  is given by

$$X_1^2 = u^2 - \frac{1}{4}, X_2^2 = u^2 + \frac{1}{4}, X_3^2 = -u.$$

The projective closure of this is a smooth curve of genus 5 and the families of elliptic curves parameterized by X(8) are

$$E_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3}: y^2 = x^3 - 27(256u^8 + 224u^4 + 1)x - 54(-4096u^{12} + 8448u^8 + 528u^4 - 1)x - 54(-4096u^{12} + 8448u^8 + 528u^8 + 528u^8$$

together with a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -invariant 8-torsion point  $P_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3} = (P_x, P_y)$  and a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -invariant cyclic subgroup  $\langle Q_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3} = (Q_x, Q_y) \rangle$  where

$$\begin{split} P_x &= -36(4X_3^5 + 4X_3^4 + 4X_3^3 + 2X_3^2 + X_3)X_2 + 48X_3^8 + 144X_3^7 + 144X_3^6 \\ &+ 72X_3^5 + 72X_3^4 + 36X_3^3 + 36X_3^2 + 18X_3 + 3, \\ P_y &= 108(16X_3^9 + 32X_3^8 + 32X_3^7 + 32X_3^6 + 24X_3^5 + 16X_3^4 + 8X_3^3 + 4X_3^2 \\ &+ X_3)X_2 - 1728X_3^{11} - 3456X_3^{10} - 4320X_3^9 - 3456X_3^8 - 2592X_3^7 \\ &- 1728X_3^6 - 1296X_3^5 - 864X_3^4 - 540X_3^3 - 216X_3^2 - 54X_3, \\ Q_x &= -72\zeta^2X_1X_2 + (72(\zeta^3 + \zeta)X_3^4 + 18(\zeta^3 + \zeta))X_1 + (72(\zeta^3 - \zeta)X_3^4 \\ &- 18(\zeta^3 - \zeta))X_2 + 48X_3^8 - 15, \\ Q_y &= 432X_1X_2 + (864(-\zeta^3 + \zeta)X_3^8 + 432(\zeta^3 - \zeta)X_3^4 + 162(\zeta^3 - \zeta))X_1 \\ &+ ((-864\zeta^3 - 864\zeta)X_3^8 + 432(-\zeta^3 - \zeta)X_3^4 + 162(\zeta^3 + \zeta))X_2 \\ &+ 1728\zeta^2X_3^8 - 108\zeta^2. \end{split}$$

The forgetful morphism  $X(8) \to X(4)$  is given by  $(u, X_1, X_2, X_3) \mapsto u$ . In particular, this is only ramified above the cusps with ramification degree 2. The function field of X(8) is obtained by adjoining the square roots of three rational functions of degree 2 on X(4) and the zeroes of these rational functions are the cusps of X(4).

Let  $G_n := \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ . It is well-known that  $\text{Gal}(K_n(\mathbb{C})/K_1(\mathbb{C})) \cong G_n$ . Let  $H = \text{Gal}(K_8(\mathbb{C})/K_4(\mathbb{C}))$  then we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to H \to G_8 \to G/H \cong G_4 \to 1$$

and so  $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . The group  $G_n$  acts on X(n) by relabeling the *n*-torsion points. Explicitly, for each  $\alpha \in G_n$  and any point  $(E, \phi) \in Y(n)$ ,  $\alpha$  acts on  $(E, \phi)$  by

$$\alpha \circ (E, \phi) = (E, \alpha \circ \phi).$$

## 3 The Modular Elliptic Curves

We firstly recall some results of the level four structure and introduce the algorithm to compute  $X_E(4)$  in [F1]. Let  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve and write  $c_4 = -\frac{a}{27}, c_6 = -\frac{b}{54}$ . Take homogenous coordinate (u:v) for X(4) and define

 $c_4(u,v) = 256u^8 + 224u^4v^4 + v^8, \\ c_6(u,v) = -4096u^{12} + 8448u^8v^4 + 528u^4v^8 - v^{12}u^4v^8 + 528u^4v^8 - v^{12}u^4v^8 + 528u^4v^8 - v^{12}u^4v^8 + 528u^4v^8 + 528u^8 + 528u^$ 

Let  $T = uv(16u^4 - v^4)$  and  $T_u, T_v$  be the partial derivative of T with respect to u, v respectively. Now pick  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $c_4(u, v) = c_4, c_6(u, v) = c_6$ . Then as is shown in [F1], Lemma 8.4 and Theorem 13.2, the isomorphism  $X_E(4) \to X(4)$  is given by fractional linear map represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & -T_v \\ v & T_u \end{pmatrix}$$

and so the isomorphism  $X(4) \to X_E(4)$  is given by fractional linear map represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_u & T_v \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under this isomorphism the point  $\infty$  on  $X_E(4)$  corresponds to E itself. From now on we will identify  $X_E(4)$  with  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by this isomorphism.

Further, based on the observation in [F1] page 31, we conclude that the curve  $X_E^3(4)$  can be chosen to be the same as  $X_E(4)$  (with the same isomorphism to X(4)) in the sense that if we pick affine coordinate  $\mathbb{A}_t^1$  for  $X_E(4)$  and

$$E_t: y^2 = x^3 - 27a_E(t)x - 54b_E(t)$$

are families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E(4)$  then the families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E^3(4)$  are

$$E'_t := E_t^{\Delta_E} : y^2 = x^3 - 27\Delta_E^2 a_E(t)x - 54\Delta_E^3 b_E(t).$$

From now on we will write these to be the families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E^3(4)$  and we will give the expressions of  $a_E(t)$  and  $b_E(t)$  in the appendix. In fact this identification can be explained by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let *E* be an elliptic curve and  $E^{\Delta_E}$  be the quadratic twist of *E* by its discriminant  $\Delta_E$ . Let  $\gamma: E \to E^{\Delta_E}$  be the natural isomorphism

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x\Delta_E, y\Delta_E^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Let p', q' be the image of p, q respectively. Then the map  $\phi: E[4] \to E^{\Delta_E}[4]$ 

$$\phi(p) = p' + 2q', \phi(q) = 2p' + 3q'$$

is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivariant isomorphism.

This result can also be found in [BD] Section 7.

*Proof.* Fix a basis  $\{p,q\}$  for E[4]. For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we identify s with its image under  $\theta' : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{GL}(E[4]) \subset \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ . Take generators  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$  where

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it suffices to check that  $v_j\phi = \phi v_j$ , j = 1, 2, 3. Note that  $v_1$  fixes  $\sqrt{\Delta_E}$  and  $v_2, v_3$  switch the sign of  $\sqrt{\Delta_E}$ .

Then a direct computation shows that

$$v_1\phi(p) = \phi v_1(p) = 3p' + 2q', v_1\phi(q) = \phi v_1(q) = 2p' + 3q',$$
  

$$v_2\phi(p) = \phi v_2(p) = 2p' + q', v_2\phi(q) = \phi v_2(q) = p' + 2q',$$
  

$$v_3\phi(p) = \phi v_3(p) = p' + 2q', v_3\phi(q) = \phi v_3(q) = 3p' + q'.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $t_1, \ldots, t_6$  be the cusps of  $X_E(4)$  which are the images of  $\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{i}{2}, 0, \infty$  respectively, under the isomorphism  $X(4) \to X_E(4)$ . If we set

$$m_1 = t_1 + t_2, m_2 = t_3 + t_4, m_3 = t_5 + t_6, l_1 = t_1 t_2, l_2 = t_3 t_4, l_3 = t_5 t_6$$

and let  $\theta_j, j = 1, 2, 3$  be the roots of  $x^3 + ax + b = 0$ . Then  $m_j = -\frac{2}{3}\theta_j$  and  $l_j = -\frac{1}{9}(2\theta_j^2 + a)$  for each j.

*Proof.* This follows from a direct computation.

**Remark.** Since we identify  $X_E^3(4)$  with  $X_E(4)$ , so  $t_1, \ldots, t_6$  are also the cusps of  $X_E^3(4)$  and so the above lemma also holds for  $X_E^3(4)$ .

We now illustrate the method to compute  $X_E^r(8)$ , r = 1, 3, 5, 7. For simplicity, assume that  $x^3 + ax + b$  is irreducible. It follows immediately from compatibility of the Weil pairing that  $X_E^r(8)$  is a cover of  $X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$ , where  $\bar{r} = r \mod 4$ . It can be shown that  $X_E^r(n)$  is a twist of X(n) (see, for example, [S]). So X(8) and  $X_E^r(8)$  have the same ramification behavior under the forgetful morphism to the level four structure. Thus the forgetful morphism  $X_E^r(8) \to X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$  is only ramified at the points above the cusps of  $X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For each  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ , the function field of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  is

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[2])\left(t,\sqrt{\alpha_{r,1}(t-t_1)(t-t_2)},\sqrt{\alpha_{r,2}(t-t_3)(t-t_4)},\sqrt{\alpha_{r,3}(t-t_5)(t-t_6)}\right)$$

for some appropriate  $\alpha_{r,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2]), j = 1, 2, 3$ . We call these  $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ the scaling factors of  $X_E^r(8)$ .

*Proof.* As is described in Section 2, if we fix an affine coordinate u of X(4), then the function field of X(8) over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  is given by

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)(u, \sqrt{u^2 - 1/4}, \sqrt{u^2 + 1/4}, \sqrt{-u})$$

where  $\zeta$  is a fixed primitive 8th root of unity.

Fix an affine coordinate t of  $X_E(4)$  as above. Since  $t_1, \ldots, t_6$  are the images of  $\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{i}{2}, 0, \infty$  respectively, the function field of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  has the form

$$\mathbb{C}(t,\sqrt{(t-t_1)(t-t_2)},\sqrt{(t-t_3)(t-t_4)},\sqrt{(t-t_5)(t-t_6)}).$$

By Lemma 3.2, the rational functions  $(t-t_1)(t-t_2), (t-t_3)(t-t_4), (t-t_5)(t-t_6)$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  and are conjugate to each other.

As  $X_E^r(8)$  has a model over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and so it has a model over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ . Then the function field of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  is

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[2])\left(t, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,1}(t-t_1)(t-t_2)}, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,2}(t-t_3)(t-t_4)}, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,3}(t-t_5)(t-t_6)}\right)$$

for some appropriate  $\alpha_{r,1}, \alpha_{r,2}, \alpha_{r,3} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  which are conjugate to each other.

**Corollary 3.4.** For each  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ , the equation of  $X_E^r(8) \subset A_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}^4(\mathbb{Q})$  is determined by the scaling factors  $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ . In particular, the equation of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is obtained by comparing the coefficients of  $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2, j = 1, 2, 3$  in the equations

$$\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

*Proof.* The extension of function fields  $(X_E^r(8)/\mathbb{Q}(E[2]))/(X_E^r(8)/\mathbb{Q})$  is Galois. Therefore to find a model of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it suffices to find enough generating elements in the function field of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  which are fixed by  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2])/\mathbb{Q})$ . Explicitly, we will write  $w_j := \sqrt{\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})}$  and so  $w_j^2 = \alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})$  for each j = 1, 2, 3.

By Lemma 3.2,  $w_j = a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2$  for some  $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  for each j = 1, 2, 3. Therefore we obtain equations

$$\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

To find a model of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it suffices to compare the coefficients of  $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2, j = 1, 2, 3$  on both sides of the equations above because these are invariant under the action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2])/\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Remark**. In fact it suffices to compare the coefficients of  $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$  in one of the equations

$$\alpha_{r,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3$$

because they are conjugate to each other.

**Remark.** We are free to multiply  $\alpha_{r,j}$  by a non-zero squared factor of the form  $(u_0 + u_1\theta_j + u_2\theta_j^2)^2$  because this leads to a change of coordinate in  $a_0, a_1, a_2$ .

We can extend the above results to the case when  $x^3 + ax + b$  is reducible. For example, if  $x^3 + ax + b$  splits completely over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the rational functions  $(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}), j = 1, 2, 3$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So  $X_E^r(8) \subset A_{t,w_1,w_2,w_3}^4(\mathbb{Q})$  has equations

$$w_j^2 = \alpha_{r,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}), j = 1, 2, 3$$

for some appropriate  $\alpha_{r,j} \in \mathbb{Q}, j = 1, 2, 3$ . This is isomorphic to the ones stated in Theorem 1.1-1.4 because there is a bijection between  $\{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\{a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2 : j = 1, 2, 3\}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The case when  $x^3 + ax + b$  has exactly one rational root is similar.

## 4 The Modular Curves $X_E(8)$ And $X_E^5(8)$

By Corollary 3.4, to find equations of  $X_E^r(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it suffices to compute the scaling factors  $\alpha_{r,j}$ , j = 1, 2, 3 as introduced in Lemma 3.3. We prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 in this section.

**Theorem 4.1.** We can pick  $\alpha_{1,j}$  to be 1 for each j = 1, 2, 3. In particular, we obtain the equation of  $X_E(8)$  as stated in Theorem 1.1, together with the forgetful map  $X_E(8) \to X_E(4)$  given by  $(t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$ .

*Proof.* There is always a tautological rational point on the curve  $X_E(n)$  for any n which corresponds to the pair (E, [1]). The point on  $X_E(4)$  corresponding to (E, [1]) is given by the point of infinity under the isomorphism we described in Section 3. Since we construct  $X_E(8)$  as a cover of  $X_E(4)$ , there is a point on  $X_E(8)$  above  $t = \infty$  which corresponds to (E, [1]). By a change of coordinate of  $a_0, a_1, a_2$ , we may take this point to be  $t = \infty, a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0$ .

By corollary 3.4, the equation of  $X_E(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is determined by comparing the coefficients of  $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$  in the equations

$$\alpha_{1,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3$$

Taking homogenous coordinates in the above equations we have

$$\alpha_{1,j}(t - t_{2j-1}s)(t - t_{2j}s) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3$$

and so the point  $t = \infty$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 = 0$  is now  $(t : a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : s) = (1 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ . Substituting this point into the equations, we conclude that we can take  $\alpha_{1,j}$ , j = 1, 2, 3 to be 1.

By compatibility of the Weil pairing,  $X_E^5(8)$  is also a cover of  $X_E(4)$ . The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the following observations.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let E be an elliptic curve and fix any basis  $\{P, Q\}$  for E[8]. Then the map

$$\phi: E[8] \rightarrow E[8], \phi(P) = 5P, \phi(Q) = Q$$

is  $G_R$ -equivariant where  $R = \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ .

*Proof.* The non-trivial 2-torsion points 4P, 4Q, 4P + 4Q are *R*-rational. Let  $s \in G_R$  and write

$$s(P) = A_1P + A_2Q, g(Q) = A_3P + A_4Q.$$

Then s(4P) = 4P and s(4Q) = 4Q. So  $A_2, A_3$  are both even. Thus,

$$\phi(s(P)) = \phi(A_1P + A_2Q) = 5A_1P + A_2Q = 5A_1P + 5A_2Q = s(\phi(P))$$

and

$$\phi(s(Q)) = \phi(A_3P + A_4Q) = 5A_3P + A_4Q = A_3P + A_4Q = s(\phi(Q)).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** If the modular curves  $X_E^5(8)$  and  $X_E(8)$  are isomorphic over K as covers of  $X_E(4)$ , then  $\Delta_E$  is a square in K.

Proof. Suppose  $X_E^5(8) \cong X_E(8)$  as covers of  $X_E(4)$  then there exists a  $G_K$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\phi : E[8] \to E[8]$  such that det  $\phi = 5$  and  $\phi$  acts trivially on E[4]. If we fix a basis  $\{P, Q\}$  for E[8] then we can view  $\phi$  as a  $2 \times 2$  matrix in terms of its action on  $\{P, Q\}$ . We only need to consider  $\phi$  in PGL<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ ) because multiplications by 3, 5, 7 are automorphisms on E[8] which preserve the Weil pairing. So we have the following possible matrices to consider

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see  $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} T_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} T_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and so it suffices to consider  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ .

Let  $s \in G_K$  and suppose the action of s on E[8] is given by  $s(P) = A_1P + A_2Q, s(Q) = A_3P + A_4Q$ . If  $\phi$  is given by the matrix  $T_3$ , then using  $s\phi = \phi s$  we conclude  $A_2, A_3$  are even. So  $A_1, A_4$  are odd because the action of s is invertible. This implies s fixes E[2] and so E[2] is  $G_K$ -invariant. In particular  $\Delta_E$  is a square in K.

If  $\phi$  is given by  $T_4$ , then a direct computation using  $s\phi = \phi s$  shows that  $A_2$ and  $A_3$  have the same parity and  $A_1 + A_2 \equiv A_1 + A_3 \equiv A_4 \mod 2$ . Suppose  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are both even then we have exactly the same situation as above and so  $\Delta_E$  is a square in K. Assume  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are both odd. If  $A_1$  is odd then  $A_4$  is even and we have s(4P) = 4P + 4Q, s(4Q) = 4P. So s(4P + 4Q) = 4Q, in which case  $\Delta_E$  is a square. If  $A_1$  is even then  $A_4$  is odd and we have s(4P) = 4Q, s(4Q) = 4P + 4Q. So s(4P + 4Q) = 4P, in which case  $\Delta_E$  is again a square.

**Theorem 4.4.** We can pick  $\alpha_{5,j}$  to be  $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$  for each j = 1, 2, 3. In particular, we obtain the equation of  $X_E^5(8)$  as stated in Theorem 1.2, together with the forgetful map  $X_E^5(8) \to X_E(4)$  given by  $(t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$ .

Proof. By the Lemma 4.2, there is a  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ -rational point on  $X_E^5(8)$  above  $t = \infty$  which corresponds to  $(E, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is the same map as in Lemma 4.2. Therefore  $\alpha_{5,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$  are squares in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ . But there is a unique quadratic subfield inside  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$  which is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  where  $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ .

By the last remark of Section 3,  $\alpha_{5,j}$  can be multiplied by any non-zero squared factor of the form  $(u_0 + u_1\theta_j + u_2\theta_j^2)^2$ . This shows that we may pick  $\alpha_{5,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$  to be 1 or D. But by Lemma 4.3, if  $\alpha_{5,j} = 1, j = 1, 2, 3$  then D is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and so we should pick  $\alpha_{5,j} = D$  for each j. A direct computation gives the equation of  $X_E^5(8)$  as in Theorem 1.2.

## 5 Cocycles

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are based on the fact there is always a rational point on the curve  $X_E(8)$ . However this is not always true for  $X_E^3(8)$  or  $X_E^7(8)$ , for any elliptic curve E. We will prove Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 in the next section. By Corollary 3.4, it suffices to compute  $\alpha_{3,j}$  and  $\alpha_{7,j}$ , j = 1, 2, 3. It is shown in [S] that  $X_E^r(n)$  are twists of X(n). In particular,  $X_E^r(8)$  are twists of  $X_E(8)$  for each  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ . By Theorem 2.2 in [AEC], for each curve  $C/\mathbb{Q}$ , there is a bijection between the twists of  $C/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{Isom}(C))$  where Isom(C) is the isomorphic group of C. In this section, we will describe the relation between the scaling factors  $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$  introduced in Lemma 3.3 and the element which corresponds to  $X_E^r(8)$  in  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{Isom}(X_E(8)))$ . For simplicity, we again assume that  $x^3 + ax + b$  is irreducible.

**Lemma 5.1.** For each r, let  $\tau$  be an automorphism on E[8] which switches the Weil pairing to the power of r. Then for each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $s \mapsto ({}^{s}\tau)\tau^{-1}$  defines a cocycle in  $H^{1}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, Isom(X_{E}(8)))$  which corresponds to  $X_{E}^{r}(8)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $s \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $({}^{s}\tau)\tau^{-1}$  is an automorphism on E[8] preserving the Weil pairing, which induces an automorphism on  $X_{E}(8)$ . Note [-1] acts trivially on  $X_{E}(8)$ . Then following a similar argument in [S], we conclude that the curve corresponding to this cocycle is  $X_{E}^{r}(8)$ .

**Remark.** If  $({}^{s}\tau)\tau^{-1}$  acts trivially on E[4] modulo [-1] for all  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  then we have an isomorphism between  $X_{E}(8)$  and  $X_{E}^{r}(8)$  respecting the level four structure.

The group  $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  is defined to be the kernel of the reduction map  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$  in Section 2 and H is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Isom}(X_E(8))$ . Define H' to be the kernel of

$$\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\} \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I, \pm v\}$$

where

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and it can be checked that H' is Abelian. By Lemma 3.1 the matrix v induces a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivariant isomorphism between E[4] and  $E^{\Delta_E}[4]$  which switches the Weil pairing to the power of 3, and so v identifies  $X_E^3(4)$  with  $X_E(4)$ .

Since H is a subgroup of H' and det  $v \neq 1$ , the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H' \xrightarrow{\det} (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Viewing g as an automorphism on E[8] modulo [-1], we have a Galois action <sup>s</sup>g for each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Further we have trivial Galois action on  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

Now viewing  $H, H', (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$  as  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module we obtain a long exact sequence and in particular we obtain the connecting map

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H).$$

The image of  $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$  can be computed as follows. Pick a lift v' of r in H'. Then the image of r in  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$  is  $s \mapsto ({}^sv')v'^{-1}$  for each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Therefore,  $X_E^r(8)$  is the curve corresponding to this cocycle by Lemma 5.1.

Recall that each non-cuspidal point on  $X_E^r(n)$  corresponds to a pair  $(F, \phi)$ where F is an elliptic curve and  $\phi : E[n] \to F[n]$  is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivariant isomorphism which switches the Weil pairing to the power of r. We consider the image of 7. **Lemma 5.2.** The image of 7 under  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$  induces an isomorphism  $\psi: X_E^7(8) \to X_E(8)$  subject to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X_E^7(8) & \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} & X_E(8) \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ X_E^3(4) & \stackrel{\eta}{\longrightarrow} & X_E(4) \end{array}$$

where  $\psi(F, \phi) = (F, \phi \circ v')$  and  $\eta(F, \phi) = (F, \phi \circ v)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , since  ${}^{s}\phi = \phi$ ,

$${}^{(s}\psi)\psi^{-1}(F,\phi) = (F,\phi \circ ({}^{s}v')v'^{-1}), {}^{(s}\eta)\eta^{-1}(F,\phi) = (F,\phi \circ ({}^{s}v)v^{-1}).$$

The Galois conjugate  $({}^{s}\psi)\psi^{-1}$  induces an automorphism on  $X_{E}(8)$  which can be read off from  $({}^{s}v')v'^{-1}$ . So  $\psi$  corresponds to the cocycle  $s \mapsto ({}^{s}v')v'^{-1}$  which is the image of 7. The diagram commutes because  $v' \equiv v \mod 4$ .

We describe the image of 7 in  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$  explicitly.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $v' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  be a lift of 7 in H'. For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we identify s with its image under  $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{GL}(E[8]) \subset \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ . Then the action of s on v' is given by conjugation. Take generators  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ where

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $C_{s_j} = {s_j v' v'^{-1}} = s_j v' s_j^{-1} v'^{-1}$ . Then

$$C_{s_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{s_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{s_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, C_{s_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from a direct computation.

Lemma 5.2 and 5.3 give concrete descriptions of  $X_E^7(8)$  in terms of the image of 7 under  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H^1)$ . On the other hand, the equation of  $X_E^7(8)$ is determined by the scaling factors  $\alpha_{7,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$  by Corollary 3.4. The following lemmas show how these scaling factors are related to the image of 7 in  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  be the non-trivial 2-torsion points of E and M be the group  $Map(E[2]\setminus\{O\}, \mu_2)$  where the group operation is defined by  $(\chi_1 \circ \chi_2)(T_j) = \chi_1(T_j)\chi_2(T_j), j = 1, 2, 3$ . For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we define the action  ${}^s\chi$  by  $\chi s^{-1}$  as we have trivial action on  $\mu_2$ . Then  $H \cong M$  as  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module and hence  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2$  where  $L = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 + ax + b)$ .

*Proof.* Fix a basis  $\{P, Q\}$  for E[8] such that  $4P = T_1, 4Q = T_2$ . Take generators  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  for H where

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we identify s with its image under  $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{GL}(E[8]) \subset \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$  and the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on H is given by conjugation  ${}^sS_i = sS_is^{-1}$ . We take generators  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$  as in Lemma 5.3.

We identify each element  $\chi \in M$  with a triple  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  where  $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ in the sense that  $\chi(T_i) = e_i$ . The action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on M is given by  ${}^s\chi = \chi s^{-1}$ .

Now define  $\pi: H \to M$  explicitly by  $S_1 \mapsto \chi_1, S_2 \mapsto \chi_2, S_3 \mapsto \chi_3$  where

$$\chi_1 = (-1, -1, 1), \chi_2 = (1, 1, -1), \chi_3 = (1, -1, 1).$$

Then a direct computation shows that  ${}^{s_i}\pi(S_j) = \pi({}^{s_i}S_j)$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and j = 1, 2, 3 and so  $\pi$  is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivariant isomorphism. So  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M)$ . Finally, by Shapiro's lemma and Hilbert 90,  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2$ .

Since we assume that  $x^3 + ax + b$  is irreducible, so  $L \cong L_j$  for any j = 1, 2, 3 where  $L_j = \mathbb{Q}(\theta_j)$ , and we have an embedding  $L \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** The image of 7 under  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$  is  $(\alpha_{7,1}, \alpha_{7,2}, \alpha_{7,3})$ .

Proof. By considering the function field of  $X_E^7(8)$  and  $X_E(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ (Lemma 3.3), the map  $\psi' : \sqrt{\alpha_{7,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})} \mapsto \sqrt{\alpha_{1,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})}$ , j = 1, 2, 3 induces an isomorphism  $X_E^7(8) \to X_E(8)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ . Moreover we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X_E^7(8) & \stackrel{\psi'}{\longrightarrow} & X_E(8) \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ X_E^3(4) & \stackrel{=}{\longrightarrow} & X_E(4) \end{array}$$

For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , s acts on E[2] by permuting  $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ . Let  $\sigma_s$  be the element in the symmetric group of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  which corresponds to the action of s on  $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ . A direct computation shows that the Galois conjugate  $({}^s\psi')\psi'^{-1}$  acts on  $X_E(8)$  by

$$\sqrt{\alpha_{1,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})} \mapsto \frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_s}^{-1}(j)}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_s}^{-1}(j)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,j}}{\alpha_{7,j}}}}\sqrt{\alpha_{1,i}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})}, j=1,2,3.$$

This induces a cocycle in  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M)$ ,

$$s \mapsto \left(\frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_{s}^{-1}(1)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_{s}^{-1}(1)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,1}}{\alpha_{7,1}}}}, \frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_{s}^{-1}(2)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_{s}^{-1}(2)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,2}}{\alpha_{7,2}}}, \frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_{s}^{-1}(3)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_{s}^{-1}(3)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,3}}{\alpha_{7,3}}}}\right).$$

 $\psi'$  is an isomorphism from  $X_E^7(8)$  to  $X_E(8)$  which fixes the level four structure. So by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 5.2 this cocycle corresponds to the image of 7 under the connecting map  $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$ . Then by Shapiro's lemma and Hilbert 90, we see that  $\left(\frac{\alpha_{7,1}}{\alpha_{1,1}}, \frac{\alpha_{7,2}}{\alpha_{1,2}}, \frac{\alpha_{7,3}}{\alpha_{1,3}}\right)$ , is the image of 7 under

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=j}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2.$$

Finally by Theorem 4.1, we can just take  $\alpha_{1,j} = 1, j = 1, 2, 3$ .

In Section 6 we will take some suitable  $\delta_j \in L_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ . To check  $\alpha_{7,j}$  can be chosen to be  $\delta_j$  for each j, it then suffices to check that the preimage of  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$  under  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$  is exactly the same as the image of 7 under  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$ , which can be read off from  $C_{s_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  in Lemma 5.3.

**Remark**. We can extend the results in the case when  $x^3 + ax + b$  is reducible. For example, if  $x^3 + ax + b$  splits completely over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the Galois action on M is trivial and so we get

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \cong \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$$

directly by Hilbert 90. The case when  $x^3 + ax + b = 0$  has exactly one rational root is similar.

# 6 The Curves $X_E^3(8)$ and $X_E^7(8)$

We will prove Theorem 1.4 following the strategy we described in Section 5. We will pick suitable  $\delta_j \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2]), j = 1, 2, 3$  which are conjugate to each other and show that  $\alpha_{7,j}$  can indeed be chosen to be  $\delta_j$  for each j. In particular, we will compute the preimage of  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$  under

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}},H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}},M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$$

and check it is the same as the image of 7 under  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$  by using Lemma 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let E be an elliptic curve with equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . Let  $\theta_i, j = 1, 2, 3$  be the roots of  $x^3 + ax + b = 0$  and

$$\delta_1 = (\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_1), \\ \delta_2 = (\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_2 - \theta_3), \\ \delta_3 = (\theta_2 - \theta_3)(\theta_3 - \theta_1).$$

Then the x-coordinates of the primitive 4-torsion points of E are given by

$$\theta_1 \pm i\sqrt{\delta_1}, \theta_2 \pm i\sqrt{\delta_2}, \theta_3 \pm i\sqrt{\delta_3}$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from factorising the 4-division polynomial of E over  $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ .

We now fix a basis  $\{P, Q\}$  for E[8] such that 2P, 2Q, 2P + 2Q have xcoordinates  $\theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}$ ,  $\theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}$ , and  $\theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}$  respectively by Lemma 6.1. Let  $T_1 = 4P, T_2 = 4Q, T_3 = 4P + 4Q$  be the non-trivial 2-torsion points so that  $T_1 = (\theta_1, 0), T_2 = (\theta_2, 0)$  and  $T_3 = (\theta_3, 0)$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** For each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we identify s with its image under  $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{GL}(E[8]) \subset \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ . Fix generators  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$  as in

Lemma 5.3. Then

$$\begin{split} s_1(\sqrt{\delta_1}) &= -\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3}, \\ s_2(\sqrt{\delta_1}) &= \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3}, \\ s_3(\sqrt{\delta_1}) &= \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_3}, \\ s_4(\sqrt{\delta_1}) &= \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_3}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}. \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Fix a primitive 8th root of unity  $\zeta$  so that  $\zeta^2 = i$ . We have

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $s_j(\zeta) = \zeta^{\det s_j}$  so  $s_1(\zeta) = \zeta^7$ ,  $s_2(\zeta) = \zeta^5$ ,  $s_3(\zeta) = \zeta$ ,  $s_4(\zeta) = \zeta$ . Therefore  $s_1(i) = -i$ ,  $s_2(i) = i$ ,  $s_3(i) = i$ ,  $s_4(i) = i$ . The actions of  $s_j$ , j = 1, 2, 3, 4 on E[4] are given by

$$\begin{split} s_1(2P) &= -2P, s_1(2Q) = 2Q, s_1(2P+2Q) = -2P+2Q, \\ s_2(2P) &= 2P, s_2(2Q) = 2Q, s_2(2P+2Q) = 2P+2Q, \\ s_3(2P) &= -2Q, s_3(2Q) = 2P, s_3(2P+2Q) = 2P-2Q, \\ s_4(2P) &= 2P, s_4(2Q) = 2P+2Q, s_4(2P+2Q) = 4P+2Q. \end{split}$$

By considering the x-coordinates of these points, we have

$$\begin{split} s_{1}(\theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}) &= \theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}, s_{1}(\theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}) = \theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}, s_{1}(\theta_{3}+i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}) = \theta_{3}-i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}, \\ s_{2}(\theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}) &= \theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}, s_{2}(\theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}) = \theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}, s_{2}(\theta_{3}+i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}) = \theta_{3}+i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}, \\ s_{3}(\theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}) &= \theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}, s_{3}(\theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}) = \theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}, s_{3}(\theta_{3}+i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}) = \theta_{3}-i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}, \\ s_{4}(\theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}) &= \theta_{1}+i\sqrt{\delta_{1}}, s_{4}(\theta_{2}+i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}) = \theta_{3}+i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}, s_{4}(\theta_{3}+i\sqrt{\delta_{3}}) = \theta_{2}-i\sqrt{\delta_{2}}. \end{split}$$

By considering the actions of  $s_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  on E[2] we have

$$\begin{split} s_1(\theta_1) &= \theta_1, s_1(\theta_2) = \theta_2, s_1(\theta_3) = \theta_3, \\ s_2(\theta_1) &= \theta_1, s_2(\theta_2) = \theta_2, s_2(\theta_3) = \theta_3, \\ s_3(\theta_1) &= \theta_2, s_3(\theta_2) = \theta_1, s_3(\theta_3) = \theta_3, \\ s_4(\theta_1) &= \theta_1, s_4(\theta_2) = \theta_3, s_4(\theta_3) = \theta_2. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$s_1(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = -\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3},$$
  

$$s_2(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3},$$
  

$$s_3(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_3},$$
  

$$s_4(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_3}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}.$$

Each  $s_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  acts on E[2] by permuting  $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ . So for each j we write  $\sigma_{s_j}$  to be the element in the symmetric group of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  which corresponds to the action of  $s_j$  on  $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ .

Lemma 6.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s_1\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} &= -1, \frac{s_1\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = -1, \frac{s_1\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = 1, \\ \frac{s_2\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_2}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} &= 1, \frac{s_2\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_2}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = 1, \frac{s_2\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_2}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = 1, \\ \frac{s_3\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_3}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} &= 1, \frac{s_3\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_3}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = 1, \frac{s_3\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_3}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = -1, \\ \frac{s_4\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_4}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} &= 1, \frac{s_4\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_4}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = -1, \frac{s_4\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_4}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from a direct computation by using Lemma 6.2.

We now prove Theorem 1.4.

*Proof.* We identify each  $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with its image under  $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \operatorname{GL}(E[8]) \subset \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$  and pick generators  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  for  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$  as in Lemma 5.3. Then by Lemma 6.3 and Shapiro's Lemma, the preimage of  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$  under  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \to \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$  is a cocycle  $c_s$  which can be described as

$$c_{s_1} = (-1, -1, 1), c_{s_2} = (1, 1, 1), c_{s_3} = (1, 1, -1), c_{s_4} = (1, -1, 1).$$

By Lemma 5.4, the preimage of  $c_{s_j}$  under  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M)$  is  $C_{s_j}$  for each j = 1, 2, 3, 4, where  $C_{s_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are matrices given in Lemma 5.3. But by Lemma 5.3,  $C_{s_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are used to describe the image of 7 under  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$ . This shows that the image of 7 under

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$$

is  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ . Then by Lemma 5.5,  $\alpha_{7,j}$  can be chosen to be  $\delta_j$  for each j. Theorem 1.4 follows from comparing the coefficients of  $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$  in the equations

$$\alpha_{7,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We now prove Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.* The connecting map  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$  is a group homomorphism. Therefore, the image of 5 under

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$$

is the product of the image of 3 and the image of 7. So  $\alpha_{3,j} = \alpha_{5,j} \cdot \alpha_{7,j}$  in  $L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$ . We have shown in Theorem 4.4 that  $\alpha_{5,j} = D$  for each j = 1, 2, 3 where  $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ . Therefore,

$$\alpha_{3,1} = D\alpha_{7,1} = (\theta_2 - \theta_3)^2 (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^3 (\theta_3 - \theta_1)^3.$$

Since  $((\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_1))^2$  is a square in  $L_1$  so we can take  $\alpha_{3,1}$  to be  $(\theta_2 - \theta_3)^2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_1)$ . Similarly we can rescale  $\alpha_{3,2}$  and  $\alpha_{3,3}$  so that

$$\alpha_{3,2} = (\theta_3 - \theta_1)^2 (\theta_1 - \theta_2) (\theta_2 - \theta_3), \\ \alpha_{3,3} = (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 (\theta_3 - \theta_1) (\theta_2 - \theta_3).$$

Theorem 1.3 follows from comparing the coefficients of  $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$  in the equation

$$\alpha_{3,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

**Remark.** The points on  $X_E^r(8)$ , r = 1, 3, 5, 7, appear in pairs. In other words, if  $(t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \in X_E^r(8)$  then  $(t, -a_0, -a_1, -a_2) \in X_E^r(8)$  because there is a non-trivial automorphism on  $X_E^r(8)$  given by

$$(F,\phi) \mapsto (F,\phi \circ [3]).$$

**Remark**. Theorem 1.1-1.4 can be generalised to any field of characteristic not equal to 2 or 3 by exactly the same method.

## 7 The Modular Diagonal Surfaces

For each  $n \ge 1$  and  $\epsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ , Kani and Schanz classify the type of modular diagonal surface  $Z_{n,\epsilon}$  which are constructed as the quotient of  $X(n) \times X(n)$  by

$$\Delta_{\epsilon} = \{ (g, \alpha_{\epsilon}(g)) : g \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \}$$

where  $\alpha_{\epsilon} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$  is defined by conjugation by the element  $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Each point on the surface corresponds to a pair of elliptic curves which are *n*-congruent and the Weil pairing is switched to the power of  $\epsilon$  [KS]. We are now going to study briefly of these surfaces in terms of the models of  $X_E^r(8)$ , r = 1, 3, 5, 7 we got and explain how it helps to give numerical examples. In particular, we will show that there are infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power r.

### Remark

By a result of Mazur, there are only finitely many l such that rational l-isogeny exists and so we only have finitely many sections on the surface which correspond to copies of  $X_0(l)$ . To find infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent, it suffices to find a curve C with infinitely many rational points and a point on C which does not correspond to isogenous curves. Since the intersection of C with  $X_0(l)$  is either  $X_0(l)$  or a finite set of points, so only finitely many points on C correspond to isogenous curves. For each  $j \neq 0, 1728, \infty$ , there exists a unique elliptic curve  $E_a$  of the form  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + a$  such that the *j*-invariant  $j(E_a) = j$  and so for  $j \neq 0, 1728, \infty$  we take the representative  $E_a$  in the class of  $\mathbb{C}$ -isomorphic elliptic curves containing  $E_a$ .

We start with the model  $S_{a,1} = X_{E_a}(8)$  we got in the previous section and now we consider *a* being a variable. Then the irreducible part of  $S_{a,1}$  with  $a \neq 0, -\frac{27}{4}$  gives an open subscheme of  $Z_{8,1}$ , which we call  $Z_8$ . In [KS], it is shown that the  $Z_{8,1}$  is a rational surface and we will verify this and give explicit birational map between  $S_{a,1}$  and  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** The explicit birational map  $\mathbb{A}^2_{p,q} \to S_{a,1}$  is given by  $(p,q) \mapsto (a, t, a_0, a_1, a_2)$  where

$$a = \frac{-8(q+1)(q^2+2)^2h_1(p,q)^3}{(q-1)(q^4+3q^2-2p)(q^6+3q^4+q^2-p^2-1)^2h_2(p,q)},$$

$$t = -\frac{(q^2+2)h_3(p,q)h_4(p,q)}{3(q-1)(q^4+3q^2-2p)(q^6+3q^4+q^2-p^2-1)h_5(p,q)}$$

$$h_1(p,q) = q^6 - \frac{3}{2}q^5 + 3q^4 + \frac{1}{2}q^3p - \frac{9}{2}q^3 - \frac{3}{2}q^2p + \frac{1}{2}q^2 + 3qp - q - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}h_2(p,q) = q^6 - 3q^5 + 3q^4 + q^3p - 9q^3 - 3q^2p + 6qp - 2q + 2$$

$$h_3(p,q) = q^6 - \frac{3}{2}q^5 + 3q^4 + \frac{1}{2}q^3p - \frac{9}{2}q^3 - \frac{3}{2}q^2p + \frac{1}{2}q^2 + 3qp - q - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}h_4(p,q) = q^{10} - 2q^9 + 10q^8 + 2q^7p - 8q^7 - 8q^6p + 26q^6 + 12q^5p - 6q^5 + q^4p^2 - 32q^4p + 16q^4 - 6q^3p^2 + 6q^3p - 4q^3 + 11q^2p^2 - 16q^2p + q^2 + 4qp - 4q + 2p^2 + 2$$

$$h_5(p,q) = q^6 - 3q^5 + 3q^4 + q^3p - 9q^3 - 3q^2p + 6qp - 2q + 2$$

and we do not give images  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  here due to massive expressions (we will show how to obtain them in the proof).

*Proof.* We start with  $S_{a,1}$  (setting b = a in Theorem 1.1) and  $S_{a,1}$  is birational to the surface defined by the following equations:

$$f'_{1} = -a + 2a_{0} + a_{1}^{2} + 2a_{2}^{2},$$
  

$$f'_{2} = -2aa_{1} - a + 2a_{0}a_{1} - 2ta_{2},$$
  

$$f'_{3} = -2aa_{1} + a_{0}^{2} + aa_{2}^{2} - t^{2}.$$

by  $(a, t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto (a, \frac{1}{3a_2}, \frac{a_0}{a_2}, \frac{a_1}{a_2}, \frac{-t}{a_2})$ . We use  $f'_1, f'_2$  to write a, t in terms of  $a_0, a_1, a_2$ 

$$a = 2a_0 + a_1^2 + 2a_2^2, t = \frac{-2aa_1 - a + 2a_0a_1}{2a_2}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} f' &= -a_0^2 a_1^2 - 2a_0^2 a_1 + a_0^2 a_2^2 - a_0^2 - 2a_0 a_1^4 - 3a_0 a_1^3 - 4a_0 a_1^2 a_2^2 - a_0 a_1^2 - 10a_0 a_1 a_2^2 \\ &+ 2a_0 a_2^4 - 2a_0 a_2^2 - a_1^6 - a_1^5 - 4a_1^4 a_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}a_1^4 - 6a_1^3 a_2^2 - 3a_1^2 a_2^4 - a_1^2 a_2^2 \\ &- 8a_1 a_2^4 + 2a_2^6 - a_2^4. \end{split}$$

Sending  $a_0$  to  $\frac{Aa_0+B}{a_2^3}$  and  $a_1$  to  $\frac{a_1}{a_2}$  where

$$\begin{split} A &= -a_1^2 - 2a_1 + a_2^2 - 1, \\ B &= -2a_1^4 - 3a_1^3 - 4a_1^2a_2^2 - a_1^2 - 10a_1a_2^2 + 2a_2^4 - 2a_2^2 \end{split}$$

we conclude the surface is birational to the vanishing of

$$h' = a_0^2 - (a_1^6 a_2^2 + 3a_1^5 a_2 + 3a_1^4 a_2^2 + \frac{9}{4}a_1^4 + 9a_1^3 a_2 + a_1^2 a_2^2 + 9a_1^2 + 2a_1 a_2 - a_2^2 + 1).$$

This is a genus zero curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(a_1)$  with a rational point given by

$$a_0 = \frac{3a_1 - \frac{3}{2}a_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_1^3}{a_1 - 1}, a_2 = -\frac{1}{a_1 - 1}.$$

Hence using standard parametrization of the genus zero curve with a rational point, together with the intermediate steps we worked above we obtain the required parametrization.  $\hfill \Box$ 

The proposition allows one to classify all elliptic curves E such that the curve  $X_E(8)$  has non-trivial rational points.

**Corollary 7.2.** There exist infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are directly 8-congruent.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the Remark above and Proposition 6.1.  $\Box$ 

We now consider a similar construction of the modular surface corresponding to  $X_E^r(8)$  which helps to find numerical examples for r = 3, 5, 7. We start with the model  $X_E^r(8)$ , set b = a and view a as a variable. We call the resulting variety  $S_{a,r}$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** There exists infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power 5.

*Proof.* Consider the genus 0 curve on  $S_{a,5}$  parameterized by  $\mathbb{A}_p^1$ , defined by  $a_2 = 0$ ,

$$a = \frac{27}{8} \frac{(p^2 - 12p + 12)^2}{(p^2 - 12)^2}, t = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2 - 12p + 12}{p^2 - 12},$$
  
$$a_0 = \frac{-243}{32} \frac{(p^2 - 12p + 12)^3(p^2 - 4p + 12)}{(p^2 - 12)^4},$$
  
$$a_1 = \frac{81}{8} \frac{(p^2 - 12p + 12)^2(p^2 - 4p + 12)}{(p^2 - 12)^3}.$$

Setting p = 2 we obtain a pairs of elliptic curves

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + 54x + 216$$
 20736p1  
 $F: y^2 = x^3 - 522x + 18936$  103680bv1

which are non-isogenous and 8-congruent with power 5.

If E is 5-isogenous to F then F is 8-congruent to E with power 5 and therefore we have a copy of  $X_0(5)$  on  $S_{a,5}$  which corresponds to pairs of of 5isogenous curves. Let  $E_r: y^2 = x^3 + a_r x + b_r$  be the families of elliptic curves parameterized by  $X_0(5)$ , where

$$a_r = -27r^4 + 324r^3 - 378r^2 - 324r - 27,$$
  

$$b_r = 54r^6 - 972r^5 + 4050r^4 + 4050r^2 + 972r + 54$$

The  $F_r$ , which is 5-isogenous to  $E_r$ , is

 $y^{2} + (1 - r)xy - ry = x^{3} - rx^{2} - 5t(r^{2} + 2r - 1)x - r(r^{4} + 10r^{3} - 5r^{2} + 15r - 1)$ 

and has *j*-invariant  $\frac{(r^4+228r^3+494r^2-228r+1)^3}{r(r^2-11r-1)^5}$ . By considering the *j*-map  $X_E^4(8) \rightarrow X(1)$  we obtain the value of *t* which corresponds to  $F_r$ . Then the point on  $X_E^5(8)$  corresponding to  $F_r$  is

$$t = r^{2} + 1, \ a_{0} = -1944r(r^{3} - 11r^{2} + 7r + 1)(r^{3} - 7r^{2} - 11r - 1),$$
  
$$a_{1} = 324r(r^{2} - 12r - 1)(r^{2} + 1), \ a_{2} = 108r(r^{2} - 6r - 1).$$

To find a copy of  $X_0(5)$  on  $S_{a,5}$  we replace both  $a_r, b_r$  by  $a_r^3/b_r^2$  and rescale the coordinates  $t, a_0, a_1, a_2$ . Similarly we can find points corresponding to 3isogenous curves on  $S_{a,3}$  and points corresponding to 7-isogenous curves on  $S_{a,7}$ .

**Proposition 7.4.** There are infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power 3.

*Proof.* We search for a genus 0 curve on  $S_{a,3}$ . Consider the genus 0 curve on  $S_{a,3}$  parameterised by  $\mathbb{A}^1_r$ 

$$a = -\frac{135}{4} \frac{(r^2 - 2r - \frac{15}{8})(r^2 + \frac{1}{8})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})(r^2 + 2r - \frac{1}{8})},$$
  

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(r^2 - 2r - \frac{15}{8})(r^2 + \frac{1}{8})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})},$$
  

$$a_0 = -135 \frac{(r^2 - 2r + \frac{21}{8})(r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8})(r^2 + \frac{1}{8})^2(r^2 + \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{5}{8})(r^2 + \frac{6}{5}r + \frac{17}{40})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})^2(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})^2(r^2 + 2r - \frac{1}{8})^3},$$
  

$$a_1 = 0, a_2 = 6 \frac{(r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8})^2(r + \frac{1}{8})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})(r^2 + 2r - \frac{1}{8})}.$$

Then the curves corresponding to r = 0 are non-isogenous and the result follows.

The families of curves parameterized by  $X_0(3)$  are  $E_r: y^2 = x^3 + a_r x + b_r$ where  $a_r = 18r - 27, b_r = 9r^2 - 54r + 54$ . So the curve  $F_r$  which is 3-isogenous to  $E_r$  corresponds to

$$t = 1 - r, a_0 = 36r^2 - 126r + 108, a_1 = 15r - 18, a_2 = 3r - 6$$

on  $X_{E_r}^3(8)$ .

**Proposition 7.5.** There are infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power 7.

*Proof.* We start with the model  $S_{a,7}$  and we take the section t = 0. Then we obtain a curve C which has 2 irreducible components, one of which is not reduced. We take the reduced one, say  $C_1$ , which is a genus 1 curve and it has a rational point

$$p: a = -9, t = 0, a_0 = 3, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 0$$

and so  $C_1$  is isomorphic to

$$C': y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 538x + 4628$$

which has rank 1. Finally, we search a point on  $C_1$  given by

$$a = -\frac{135}{32}, t = 0, a_0 = \frac{75}{32}, a_1 = \frac{5}{4}, a_2 = \frac{-1}{3}$$

and this point gives a pair of non-isogenous curves

$$E_1: y^2 = x^3 - 1080x - 17280, E_2: y^2 = x^3 + 7931250x - 8519850000.$$

The families of curves parameterized by  $X_0(7)$  are  $E_r: y^2 = x^3 + a_r x + b_r$  where

$$\begin{split} a_r &= -27r^8 + 324r^7 - 1134r^6 + 1512r^5 - 945r^4 + 378r^2 - 108r - 27, \\ b_r &= 54r^{12} - 972r^{11} + 6318r^{10} - 19116r^9 + 30780r^8 - 26244r^7 \\ &+ 14742r^6 - 11988r^5 + 9396r^4 - 2484r^3 - 810r^2 + 324r + 54, \end{split}$$

and so the curve  $F_r$  which is 7-isogenous to  $E_r$  corresponds to

$$t = \frac{r^6 - 7r^5 - 14r^4 + 53r^3 - 34r^2 + r + 1}{r^2 - r + 1},$$
  

$$a_0 = 12(-r^8 + 15r^7 - 72r^6 + 125r^5 - 113r^4 + 48r^3 + 5r^2 - 7r - 1),$$
  

$$a_1 = \frac{2r^6 - 26r^5 + 80r^4 - 50r^3 - 20r^2 + 14r + 2}{r^2 - r + 1}, a_2 = \frac{2}{3},$$

on  $X_{E_r}^7(8)$ .

### Remark

The surface  $Z_{8,7}$  is a surface of general type, and one might expect to take more effort to find rational points on  $S_{a,7}$ .

We now give some examples with small conductors.

### Example

By searching rational points on  $X_E^5(8)$  we obtain a curve F which is nonisogenous to E and 8-congruent to E with power 5, where

$$E: y^{2} = x^{3} + x^{2} - 17x - 33$$

$$F: y^{2} = x^{3} - 8x^{2} - 333056x + 59636736$$
1056d2

We give the traces of Frobenius of the curves at first several places

Prime	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31
Traces of Frobenius(E)	0	1	2	-4	4	-2	-6	-4	0	2	4
Traces of Frobenius(F)	0	1	2	4	-1	-2	2	4	0	-6	4

and they are congruent mod 8 except p = 11. Further, at p = 3, both curves have split multiplicative reduction and we have  $v_3(\Delta_E) = 1, v_3(\Delta_F) = 5$  which agrees with Proposition 2 in [KO].

#### Example

By searching rational points on  $X_E^3(8)$  we obtain a curve F which is nonisogenous to E and 8-congruent to E with power 3, where

$$E: y^{2} + xy + y = x^{3} - x^{2} - 2x 99a1$$
  
$$F: y^{2} = x^{3} - 975159243x + 11681563877190 1683b1$$

We give the traces of Frobenius of the curves at first several places

Prime	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31
Traces of Frobenius(E)	7	0	4	6	7	6	2	2	4	2	4
Traces of Frobenius(F)	7	0	4	6	-7	6	1	2	4	2	4

and they are congruent mod 8 except p = 11, 17. Further at p = 11 both curves have split multiplicative reduction and we have  $v_{11}(\Delta_E) = 1$ ,  $v_{11}(\Delta_F) = 3$ which agree with Proposition 2 in [KO].

We made some effort to minimise and reduce the equation  $X_E(8)$  and find some examples of triples of elliptic curves which are directly 8-congruent to each other.

**Example 7.6.** Elliptic curves 129a1, 645e1 and 23349a1 are directly 8-congruent to each other.

**Example 7.7.** Elliptic curves 561a1, 235059g1 and 171105h1 are directly 8-congruent to each other.

### Appendix

Let  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve. Let  $c_4 = -\frac{a}{27}, c_6 = -\frac{b}{54}$ . The families of elliptic curves parameterised by  $X_E^3(4)$  are

$$E_t^{\Delta_E} : y^2 = x^3 - 27\Delta_E^2 a_E(t)x - 54\Delta_E^3 b_E(t)$$

where

$$\begin{split} a_E(t) &= c_4 t^8 + 8 c_6 t^7 + 28 c_4^2 t^6 + 56 c_4 c_6 t^5 + (-42 c_4^3 + 112 c_6^2) t^4 \\ &+ 56 c_4^2 c_6 t^3 + (252 c_4^4 - 224 c_4 c_6^2) t^2 + (264 c_4^3 c_6 - 256 c_6^3) t + (81 c_4^5 - 80 c_4^2 c_6^2), \\ b_E(t) &= c_6 t^{12} + 12 c_4^2 t^{11} + 66 c_4 c_6 t^{10} + (44 c_4^3 + 176 c_6^2) t^9 + 495 c_4^2 c_6 t^8 \\ &+ 792 c_4^4 t^7 + 924 c_4^3 c_6 t^6 + (-2376 c_4^5 + 3168 c_4^2 c_6^2) t^5 + (-5841 c_4^4 c_6 + 6336 c_4 c_6^3) t^4 \\ &+ (-1188 c_4^6 - 4224 c_4^3 c_6^2 + 5632 c_6^4) t^3 + (-4158 c_4^5 c_6 + 4224 c_4^2 c_6^3) t^2 \\ &+ (-2916 c_4^7 + 4464 c_4^4 c_6^2 - 1536 c_4 c_6^4) t + (-1215 c_4^6 c_6 + 2240 c_4^3 c_6^3 - 1024 c_6^5). \end{split}$$

## References

- [AEC] J.H. Silverman, The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Springer-Verlag, GTM 106, 1986. Expanded 2nd Edition, 2009.
- [BD] N. Bruin, K. Doerken, *The Arithmetic of genus two curves with* (4, 4)-*split Jacobians*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/0902.3480.pdf.
- [CM] J.E. Cremona and B. Mazur, Visualizing elements in the Shafarevich-Tate group, Experimental Mathematics 9:1, (2000) 13-28.
- [F1] T.A. Fisher, The Hessian of a genus one curve Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 104 (2012) 613-648.
- [F2] T.A. Fisher, Invariant theory for the elliptic normal quintic, I. Twists of X(5) Math. Ann. 356 (2013), no.2, 589-616.
- [F3] T.A. Fisher, On families of n-congruent elliptic curves, to appear in LMS J. Comput. Math. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1105.1706.pdf.
- [HK] E. Halberstadt and A. Kraus, On the modular curves  $Y_E(7)$ , Math. Comp. 69 (2000), no. 231, 1193-1206.
- [KS] E. Kani and W. Schanz, Modular Diagonal Quotient Surfaces, Math. Z. 227 (1998), no.2, 337-366.
- [KO] A. Kraus and J. Oesterle, Sur une question de B. Mazur, Math. Ann. 293 (1992), no. 2, 259-275.
- [M] B. Mazur, *Rational isogenies of prime degree*, Invent. Math.44 (1978),129-162.
- [MAG] MAGMA is described in W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, J. Symb. Comb. 24, 235-265 (1997). See also the Magma home page at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/
- [PSS] B. Poonen, E.F. Schaefer and M. Stoll, Twists of X(7) and primitive solutions to  $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$ , Duke Math. J. 137 (2007), no. 1, 103-158.
- [RS] K. Rubin and A. Silverberg, Families of Elliptic Curves with Constant mod p Representations, in Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), eds. John Coates, S. T. Yau, International Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1995), 148-161; Second edition:

Elliptic Curves, Modular Forms, & Fermat's Last Theorem (1997), 296-309.

[S] A. Silverberg, Explicit families of elliptic curves with prescribed mod N representations, in Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem, eds. Gary Cornell, Joseph H. Silverman, Glenn Stevens, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1997), 447-461.

University of Cambridge, DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK e-mail address: zc231@cam.ac.uk