Finite energy solutions and critical conditions of nonlinear equations in R^n

YUTIAN LEI

Institute of Mathematics School of Mathematical Sciences Nanjing Normal University Nanjing, 210023, China email: leiyutian@njnu.edu.cn

Abstract This paper is concerned with the critical conditions of nonlinear elliptic equations with weights and the corresponding integral equations with Riesz potentials and Bessel potentials. We show that the equations and some energy functionals are invariant under the scaling transformation if and only if the critical conditions hold. In addition, the Pohozaev identity shows that those critical conditions are the necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of the finite energy positive solutions or weak solutions. Finally, we discuss respectively the existence of the negative solutions of the k-Hessian equations in the subcritical case, critical case and supercritical case. Here the Serrin exponent and the critical exponent play key roles.

Keywords: critical exponents, finite energy solution, Riesz potential, Bessel potential, k-Hessian equation.

MSC2010 35B09, 35G20, 35J48, 45E10, 45G05

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the relation between the critical conditions and the finite energy solutions for several semilinear, quasilinear and fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

If $n \geq 3$, and u belongs to the homogeneous Sobolev space $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$ such that the Sobolev inequality holds

$$
||u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}^2 \le C||\nabla u||_{L^2(R^n)}^2,
$$
\n(1.1)

then $q = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. In fact, after the scaling transformation

$$
u_{\mu}(x) := \mu^{\sigma} u(\mu x), \quad \mu > 0,
$$
\n(1.2)

by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) we can see that $||u_\mu||^2_{L^{q+1}(R^n)} \leq C\mu^{n-2-\frac{2n}{q+1}} ||\nabla u_\mu||^2_{L^2(R^n)}$. Since u_μ also satisfies (1.1), q must be equal to $\frac{n+2}{n-2}$.

The Euler-Lagrange equation which the extremal function of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) satisfies is the Lane-Emden equation

$$
-\Delta u = u^q, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^n. \tag{1.3}
$$

Eq. [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) and the energy $||u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$ are invariant under the scaling transformation if and only if $q = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. In fact, u_{μ} solves [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) implies $\sigma = \frac{2}{q-1}$. The energy $||u_{\mu}||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)} = ||u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$ implies $\sigma = \frac{n}{q+1}$. Thus, $q = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. On the contrary, if $q = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) is invariant under the conformal transformation.

The critical exponent $\frac{n+2}{n-2}$ plays the key roles on the existence and nonexistence of this Lane-Emden equation. We refer to [\[8\]](#page-24-0) by Gidas and Sprunk for details.

The solution u is called a finite energy solution if $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$. It is not difficult to verify that $u \in C^{\infty}(R^n) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$ is equivalent to $u \in C^{\infty}(R^n) \cap L^{q+1}(R^n)$. In addition, $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(R^n)} = \|u\|_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$. The classification result by Chen and Li [\[3\]](#page-23-0) shows that [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) has the finite energy solutions if and only if $q = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. On the contrary, all the solutions of [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) in the critical case are the finite energy solution.

Next, we consider the Lane-Emden system

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta u = v^{q_2}, \ u, v > 0 \ in \ R^n, \\
-\Delta v = u^{q_1}, \ q_1, q_2 > 1.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.4)

Instead of the critical exponent $q = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, the critical condition which q_1, q_2 satisfy is

$$
\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} = 1 - \frac{2}{n}.\tag{1.5}
$$

It also comes into play in the study of the existence for [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0). When $\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} \leq \frac{n-2}{n}$, the existence of classical positive solutions had been verified by Mitidieri, Serrin and Zou (cf. [\[19\]](#page-24-1), [\[23\]](#page-24-2)). Nonexistence of positive solution is still open when $\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} > \frac{n-2}{n}$ except for the case of $n \leq 4$ (cf. [\[25\]](#page-25-0)). This Liouville type property is the well known Lane-Emden conjecture.

All the results above can be generalize to an integral equation involving the Reisz potential (cf. [\[5\]](#page-23-1) and [\[16\]](#page-24-3))

$$
u(x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{u^q(y)dy}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}},\tag{1.6}
$$

where $n \geq 3$, $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $q > 0$. It is also invariant under the conformal transformation. For the weighted equations, such as the Hardy-Sobolev type, the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type and the weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev type, the invariant is still true under the scaling transformation. However, the invariant is absent under the translation. On the other hand, for the equations involving the Bessel potentials, the invariant is true under the translation, but false under the scaling.

The following system corresponding [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1) is related to the study of the extremal functions of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (cf. [\[17\]](#page-24-4))

$$
\begin{cases}\n u(x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y) dy}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}} \\
 v(x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(y) dy}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}},\n\end{cases} (1.7)
$$

Recently, [\[14\]](#page-24-5) shows that the Euler-Lagrange system [\(1.7\)](#page-1-2) and energy functionals $||u||_{L^{q_1+1}(R^n)}$ and $||v||_{L^{q_2+1}(R^n)}$ are invariant under the scaling transformation

$$
u_{\mu}(x) = \mu^{\sigma_1} u(\mu x), \quad v_{\mu}(x) = \mu^{\sigma_2} v(\mu x), \tag{1.8}
$$

if and only if the condition $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ holds. In addition, [\(1.7\)](#page-1-2) has finite energy solutions if and only if q_1, q_2 satisfy such a critical condition. However, it is open whether or not all positive solutions in the critical case are the finite energy solutions.

In this paper, we always assume $n \geq 3$, $q, q_1, q_2 > 1$. We expect to generalize the argument above to other nonlinear equations, including higher order and fractional order semilinear equations, p-Laplace equation and system, and k-Hessian equations.

In Section 2, we point out the relation between finite energy solutions and weak solutions, and prove that the critical conditions are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the finite energy solutions of the equations involving the Riesz potentials. For the equations involving the Bessel potentials, we prove that subcritical conditions are the necessary conditions for the existence of finite energy solutions. This shows the corresponding energy functional has no minimizer in critical case. We present the minimum by the least energy whose Euler-Lagrange equation involves the Riesz potential (cf. Theorem [2.10\)](#page-13-0).

In Section 3, we study the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type p-Laplacian equation and system, and surprisingly find that the critical condition of the system is degenerate to two simple cases when we investigate the invariant of the system and the energy functionals under the scaling transformation: either $p = 2$, or the system is reduced to a single equation (cf. Theorem [3.3\)](#page-18-0). Unfortunately, the system has no variational structure, and hence we cannot use the Pohozaev identity to verify whether or not there exists a nondegenerate critical condition determining the existence of the finite energy solutions.

Finally, in Section 4, we study a k-Hessian equation. We present the nonexistence of negative solution when the exponent is smaller than the Serrin exponent. In addition, we find a radial solution with slow decay rate in the supercritical case (cf. Theorem [4.5\)](#page-21-0), and another radial solution with fast decay rate in the critical case. Based on this result, we prove the critical condition is the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of finite energy solutions (cf. Theorem [4.4\)](#page-21-1).

2 Semilinear equations

2.1 Hardy-Sobolev type equations

We search the values of q such that the classical Hardy-Sobolev inequality holds

$$
\left(\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{q+1}} \le C_n \int_{R^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx,\tag{2.1}
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$. Here $n \geq 3$, $t \in (0,2)$.

In order to verify this inequality still holds for u_{μ} (cf. [\(1.2\)](#page-0-2)), we have

$$
\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u_{\mu}^{q+1}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} = \mu^{\sigma - \frac{n-t}{q+1}} \left(\int_{R^n} |y|^{-t} u^{q+1}(y) dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \\ &\leq C_n \mu^{\sigma - \frac{n-t}{q+1}} \left(\int_{R^n} |\nabla u(y)|^2 dy\right)^{1/2} \leq C_n \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{n-t}{q+1}} \left(\int_{R^n} |\nabla u_{\mu}(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{n-t}{q+1} = 0$, which implies $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$.

The extremal functions in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$ of [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) can be obtained by investigating the functional

$$
E(u) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(R^n)}^2 \left(\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx\right)^{\frac{-2}{q+1}}.
$$

Consider the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
-\Delta u = |x|^{-t} u^{q}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^{n}.
$$
 (2.2)

,

In view of $-\Delta u_\mu(x) = -\mu^{\sigma+2}\Delta u(\mu x) = \mu^{\sigma+2-t-q\sigma}|x|^{-t}u_\mu^q(x)$, we can see that $\sigma = \frac{2-t}{q-1}$ if and only if u_{μ} solves [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1). In addition, noting

$$
\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u_{\mu}^{q+1}(x) dx = \mu^{\sigma(q+1)} \int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1}(\mu x) dx = \mu^{\sigma(q+1)-n+t} \int_{R^n} |y|^{-t} u^{q+1}(y) dy, \quad (2.3)
$$

we can see that $\sigma = \frac{n-t}{q+1}$ if and only if the energy $\| |x|^{\frac{-t}{q+1}} u \|_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$ is invariant under the scaling [\(1.2\)](#page-0-2). Eliminating σ we also obtain that q is the critical exponent $\frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$.

Theorem 2.1. Eq. [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) has a weak solution in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$ if and only if $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$.

Proof. In fact, if $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$, the radial function

$$
u(x) = c\left(\frac{d}{d^2 + |x|^{2-t}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2-t}}\tag{2.4}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$ and solves [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1). Here $c, d > 0$.

On the contrary, since the weak solution is a critical point of the functional $E(u)$, we have the Pohozaev identity $\left[\frac{d}{d\mu}E(u(\frac{x}{\mu}))\right]_{\mu=1} = 0$. Noting $E(u(\frac{x}{\mu})) = \mu^{n-2-\frac{2(n-t)}{q+1}}E(u(x))$, we get $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}.$

Clearly, if $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$, then $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$ implies $|x|^{\frac{-t}{q+1}}u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$ by the Hardy-Sobolev inequality. A natural question is, for a general exponent q, when the energy $||x|^{\frac{-t}{q+1}}u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$ is finite.

Proposition 2.2. (1) If $u \in C^2(R^n) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$ solves [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), then $|||x|^{\frac{-t}{q+1}}u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}^{q+1} < \infty$. In addition, $|||x|^{\frac{-t}{q+1}}u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}^{q+1} = ||\nabla u||^2_{L^2(R^n)}.$

(2) Assume $u \in C^2(R^n)$ solves [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) and $\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx < \infty$. If $u \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(R^n)$, then $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$, and $\int_{R^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx$.

Proof. (1) Multiplying [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) by u and integrating on $B_R(0)$, we have

$$
\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\partial B_R(0)} u \partial_\nu u ds = \int_{B_R(0)} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx.
$$
\n(2.5)

By virtue of $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$, there exists $R = R_j \to \infty$ such that

$$
R\int_{\partial B_R(0)} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}) ds \to 0.
$$
 (2.6)

By this result and the Hölder inequality, we get

$$
|\int_{\partial B_R(0)} u \partial_{\nu} u ds| \leq ||\partial_{\nu} u||_{L^2(\partial B_R(0))} ||u||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\partial B_R(0))} |\partial B_R(0)|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-2}{2n}} \to 0
$$

when $R = R_j \to \infty$. Inserting this result into [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0), we can see $\int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q+1} dx}{|x|^t} = \int_{R^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx$. (2) On the contrary, take smooth function $\zeta(x)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}\n\zeta(x) = 1, & \text{for } |x| \le 1; \\
\zeta(x) \in [0, 1], & \text{for } |x| \in [1, 2]; \\
\zeta(x) = 0, & \text{for } |x| \ge 2.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_R(x) = \zeta(\frac{x}{D}).
$$
\n(2.7)

Define the cut-off function

R

Multiplying [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) by $u\zeta_R^2$ and integrating on $B_{2R}(0)$, we have

$$
\int_{B_{2R}(0)} |\nabla u|^2 \zeta_R^2 dx = 2 \int_{B_{2R}(0)} u \zeta_R \nabla u \nabla \zeta_R dx + \int_{B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} \zeta_R^2 dx.
$$
 (2.8)

Clearly, there exists $C > 0$ which is independent of R, such that

$$
\left| \int_{B_{2R}(0)} u \zeta_R \nabla u \nabla \zeta_R dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} |\nabla u|^2 \zeta_R^2 dx + C \int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^2 |\nabla \zeta_R|^2 dx.
$$

If $u \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(R^n)$, there holds

$$
\int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^2 |\nabla \zeta_R|^2 dx \le \frac{C}{R^2} (\int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx)^{1-\frac{2}{n}} |B_{2R}(0)|^{\frac{2}{n}} \le C.
$$

Inserting these results into [\(2.8\)](#page-4-0) and noting $\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx < \infty$, we get $\int_{B_{2R}(0)} |\nabla u|^2 \zeta_R^2 dx \leq C$, where $C > 0$ is independent of R. Letting $R \to \infty$, we have $\nabla u \in L^2(R^n)$, and hence $u \in$ $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(R^n)$. Thus, [\(2.6\)](#page-3-1) still holds, and from [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) we also deduce $\int_{R^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx$.

The positive solution $u \in C^2(R^n)$ is called a finite energy solution of [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), if

$$
\int_{R^n} |x|^{-t} u^{q+1} dx < \infty.
$$

In the critical case $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$, [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2) is a finite energy solution. On the contrary, if [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) has a finite energy solution with $q \leq \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$, then Proposition [2.2](#page-3-3) and Theorem [2.1](#page-3-4) imply $q = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$.

The argument above can be generalized to the higher order system involving two coupled equations

$$
\begin{cases}\n(-\Delta)^{l}u = |x|^{-t}v^{q_{2}}, u > 0 \text{ in } R^{n}, \\
(-\Delta)^{l}v = |x|^{-t}u^{q_{1}}, v > 0 \text{ in } R^{n}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.9)

Here $l \in [1, n/2)$ is an integer.

Proposition 2.3. Under the scaling transformation (1.8) , the equation (2.9) and the energy $\textit{functionals} \ ||x|^{\frac{-t}{q_1+1}} u\|_{L^{q_1+1}(R^n)}$ and $\||x|^{\frac{-t}{q_2+1}} v\|_{L^{q_2+1}(R^n)}$ are invariant, if and only if q_1 and q_2 satisfy the critical condition

$$
\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} = \frac{n-2l}{n-t}.
$$
\n(2.10)

Proof. Set $y = \mu x$. By [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3) and [\(2.9\)](#page-4-1), we have

$$
(-\Delta)^{l}u_{\mu}(x) = \mu^{\sigma_1+2l}(-\Delta)^{l}u(y) = \mu^{\sigma_1+2l}|y|^{-t}v^{q_2}(y) = \mu^{\sigma_1+2l-t-q_2\sigma_2}|x|^{-t}v^{q_2}_{\mu}(x).
$$

Eq. [\(2.9\)](#page-4-1) is invariant under the scaling [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3) implies $\sigma_1+2l-t-q_2\sigma_2=0$ and $\sigma_2+2l-t-q_1\sigma_1=0$. By the same derivation of [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2), we also obtain $\sigma_1(q_1 + 1) - n + t = 0$ and $\sigma_2(q_2 + 1) - n + t = 0$ by the invariant of $||x|^{\frac{-t}{q_1+1}}u||_{L^{q_1+1}(R^n)}$ and $||x|^{\frac{-t}{q_2+1}}v||_{L^{q_2+1}(R^n)}$. Eliminating σ_1 and σ_2 , we can see $\frac{q_1q_2-1}{(q_1+1)(q_2+1)} = \frac{2l-t}{n-t}$. In view of $q_1q_2-1 = (q_1+1)(q_2+1) - (q_1+1) - (q_2+1)$, it follows $(2.10).$ $(2.10).$

On the contrary, the calculation above still implies the sufficiency.

It seems difficult to generalized this process to the system involving m equations with $m \geq 3$. How to obtain the critical conditions of the system involving m equations is an interesting problem.

The classical solutions u, v of (2.9) are called *finite energy solutions* if

$$
\||x|^{\frac{-t}{q_1+1}}u\|_{L^{q_1+1}(R^n)} < \infty, \quad ||x|^{\frac{-t}{q_2+1}}v\|_{L^{q_2+1}(R^n)} < \infty.
$$

Theorem 2.4. Eq. (2.9) has finite energy solutions if and only if (2.10) holds.

Proof. When $\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} = \frac{n-2l+\beta_1+\beta_2}{n}$, Lieb [\[17\]](#page-24-4) obtained a pair of extremal functions (U, V) $L^{q_1+1}(R^n) \times L^{q_2+1}(R^n)$ of the weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, which solves the integral system

$$
\begin{cases}\nU(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_1}} \int_{R^n} \frac{V^{q_2}(y)}{|y|^{\beta_2} |x - y|^{n - 2l}} dy \\
V(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_2}} \int_{R^n} \frac{U^{q_1}(y)}{|y|^{\beta_1} |x - y|^{n - 2l}} dy.\n\end{cases}
$$

If [\(2.10\)](#page-4-2) is true, we can choose β_1 and β_2 satisfying $\beta_1(q_1 + 1) = \beta_2(q_2 + 1) = t$. Taking $u(x) = |x|^{\beta_1} U(x)$ and $v(x) = |x|^{\beta_2} V(x)$, we can see that (u, v) solves

$$
\begin{cases}\n u(x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y)}{|y|^t |x - y|^{n-2l}} dy \\
 v(x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(y)}{|y|^t |x - y|^{n-2l}} dy.\n\end{cases} \tag{2.11}
$$

In addition, according to the radial symmetry and integrability results (cf. [\[11\]](#page-24-6), [\[12\]](#page-24-7)) and the asymptotic behavior of (U, V) (cf. [\[15\]](#page-24-8)), u and v are finite energy solutions. By the properties of the Riesz potentials, it follows that (u, v) solves (2.9) from (2.11) .

On the contrary, according to the equivalence results in [\[4\]](#page-23-2), the classical solutions of [\(2.9\)](#page-4-1) also satisfy [\(2.11\)](#page-5-0). In the following, we use the Pohozaev identity of integral forms introduced in [\[1\]](#page-23-3) to deduce [\(2.10\)](#page-4-2).

By (2.11) we have

$$
\int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1+1}(x)}{|x|^t} dx = \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(x)}{|x|^t} \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y)}{|y|^t |x-y|^{n-2l}} dy dx \n= \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y)}{|y|^t} \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(x)}{|x-y|^{n-2l}} dx dy = \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2+1}(y)}{|y|^t} dy.
$$
\n(2.12)

For $\mu > 0$, from (2.11) it follows

$$
x \cdot \nabla u(x) = \frac{d}{d\mu} u(\mu x)|_{\mu=1} = (2l - t)u(x) + \int_{R^n} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z)dz}{|z|^t |x - z|^{n-2l}}
$$

Multiplying by $|x|^{-t}u^{q_1}(x)$ and integrating on R^n , we get

$$
\int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(x)}{|x|^t} x \cdot \nabla u(x) dx - (2l - t) \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1+1}(x)}{|x|^t} dx \n= \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(x)}{|x|^t} \int_{R^n} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z) dz}{|z|^t |x - z|^{n-2l}} dx \n= \int_{R^n} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z)}{|z|^t} \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(x)}{|x|^t |z - x|^{n-2l}} dx dz = \int_{R^n} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z)}{|z|^t} v(z) dz.
$$
\n(2.13)

Since u, v are finite energy solutions, we can find $R = R_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
R^{1-t} \int_{\partial B_R(0)} (u^{q_1+1} + v^{q_2+1}) ds \to 0.
$$

Thus, integrating [\(2.13\)](#page-5-1) by parts yields

$$
\left(\frac{t-n}{q_1+1} - 2l + t\right) \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1+1}}{|x|^t} dx = \frac{q_2(t-n)}{q_2+1} \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2+1}}{|x|^t} dx.
$$

Combining with (2.12) we obtain (2.10) .

Remark 2.1. We have two direct corollaries:

(1) If $l = 1$, then [\(2.9\)](#page-4-1) has finite energy solutions if and only if $\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} = \frac{n-2}{n-t}$.

(2) If $q_1 = q_2$ and $u = v$, then [\(2.9\)](#page-4-1) has finite energy solution if and only if $q_1 = \frac{n+2l-2t}{n-2l}$.

Noting the conditions in Theorems [2.1](#page-3-4) and [2.4,](#page-5-3) it is convenient for us to discuss the finite energy solutions for integral equations, and the weak solutions in $\mathcal{D}^{l,2}(R^n)$ for the differential equations respectively.

2.2 WHLS type integral system

Let $1 < r, s < \infty, 0 < \lambda < n, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ge 0$ and $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \le \alpha$. The weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (WHLS) inequality states that (cf. [\[27\]](#page-25-1))

$$
\left| \int_{R^n} \int_{R^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x|^{\beta_1}|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y|^{\beta_2}} dx dy \right| \leq C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, s, \alpha, n} \|f\|_{r} \|g\|_{s}
$$
\n(2.14)

where $1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{n-\alpha}{n} < \frac{\beta_1}{n} < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. If the inequality [\(2.14\)](#page-6-0) still holds for the scaling functions f_μ and g_{μ} (cf. [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3)), then we can deduce

$$
\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{n - \alpha + \beta_1 + \beta_2}{n} = 2.
$$
\n(2.15)

In order to obtain the sharp constant in the WHLS inequality (2.14) , we maximize the functional

$$
J(f,g) = (\|f\|_r \|g\|_s)^{-1} \int_{R^n} \int_{R^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x|^{\beta_1}|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y|^{\beta_2}} dx dy.
$$

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are the following integral system:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\lambda_1 r f(x)^{r-1} = \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_1}} \int_{R^n} \frac{g(y)}{|y|^{\beta_2} |x - y|^{n - \alpha}} dy, \\
\lambda_2 s g(x)^{s-1} = \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_2}} \int_{R^n} \frac{f(y)}{|y|^{\beta_1} |x - y|^{n - \alpha}} dy,\n\end{cases}
$$

where $f, g \geq 0$, and $\lambda_1 r = \lambda_2 s = J(f, g)$.

If $f \in L^r(R^n)$ and $g \in L^s(R^n)$, then the Pohozaev identity $\frac{dJ(f(x\mu^{-1}),g(x\mu^{-1}))}{d\mu}\vert_{\mu=1} = 0$ still implies [\(2.15\)](#page-6-1). In fact, for $\mu > 0$,

$$
J(f(\frac{x}{\mu}), g(\frac{x}{\mu})) = \mu^{2n - (n - \alpha + \beta_1 + \beta_2) - \frac{n}{r} - \frac{n}{s}} J(f(x), g(x)).
$$

Thus, $\frac{dJ(f(x/\mu),g(x/\mu))}{d\mu}|_{\mu=1} = 0$ leads to [\(2.15\)](#page-6-1).

Let $u = c_1 f^{r-1}$, $v = c_2 g^{s-1}$, and $q_1 = \frac{1}{r-1}$, $q_2 = \frac{1}{s-1}$. Choosing suitable c_1 and c_2 , we obtain that the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are the following integral system

$$
\begin{cases}\nu(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_1}} \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y)}{|y|^{\beta_2}|x - y|^{n - \alpha}} dy \\
v(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_2}} \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(y)}{|y|^{\beta_1}|x - y|^{n - \alpha}} dy\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.16)

where $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq \alpha$, and

$$
\begin{cases} u, v \ge 0, \ 0 < q_1, q_2 < \infty, \ 0 < \alpha < n, \ \beta_1 \ge 0, \beta_2 \ge 0, \\ \frac{\beta_1}{n} < \frac{1}{q_1 + 1} < \frac{n - \alpha + \beta_1}{n}, \ \frac{\beta_2}{n} < \frac{1}{q_2 + 1} < \frac{n - \alpha + \beta_2}{n}. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}
$$

The equation [\(2.16\)](#page-7-0) and the energy functionals $\|u\|_{L^{q_1+1}(R^n)}, \|v\|_{L^{q_2+1}(R^n)}$ are invariant under the scaling transformation [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3), if and only if

$$
\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} = \frac{n - \alpha + \beta_1 + \beta_2}{n}.
$$
 (2.18)

Clearly, (2.18) is equivalent to (2.15) .

Since $(f,g) \in L^r(R^n) \times L^s(R^n)$ implies $(u,v) \in L^{q_1+1}(R^n) \times L^{q_2+1}(R^n)$, we call such a pair of solutions (u, v) the *finite energy solutions*. In addition, (2.18) is called the *critical condition*.

Theorem 2.5. Eq. [\(2.16\)](#page-7-0) has the finite energy positive solutions in $C^1_{loc}(R^n \setminus \{0\})$ if and only if p, q satisfy the critical condition (2.18) .

Proof. Sufficiency.

According to [\[17\]](#page-24-4), the existence of the extremal functions of the WHLS inequality implies our conclusion. In fact, those extremal functions are finite energy solutions. By a regularity lifting process, the extremal functions also belong to $C^1_{loc}(R^n \setminus \{0\})$.

Necessity.

Denote $n - \alpha + \beta_1 + \beta_2$ by $\overline{\lambda}$. For $x \neq 0$ and $\mu > 0$, we have

$$
u(\mu x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y) dy}{|\mu x|^{\beta_1} |\mu x - y|^{n-\alpha} |y|^{\beta_2}} = \mu^{n-\bar{\lambda}} \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(\mu z) dz}{|x|^{\beta_1} |x - z|^{n-\alpha} |z|^{\beta_2}}.
$$

Differentiating with respect to μ and then letting $\mu = 1$, we get

$$
x \cdot \nabla u(x) = (n - \bar{\lambda})u + \lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z) dz}{|x|^{\beta_1} |x - z|^{n - \alpha} |z|^{\beta_2}}.
$$
 (2.19)

Multiplying by u^{q_1} and integrating on $R^n \setminus B_d(0)$, we have

$$
\lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} u^{q_1}(x \cdot \nabla u(x)) dx = (n - \bar{\lambda}) \int_{R^n} u^{q_1+1}(x) dx \n+ \lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} u^{q_1}(x) \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z) dz}{|x|^{\beta_1} |x - z|^{n - \alpha} |z|^{\beta_2}} dx.
$$
\n(2.20)

Integrating by parts, we get

$$
K_1 := \lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} u^{q_1}(x \cdot \nabla u(x)) dx = \lim_{d \to 0} \frac{1}{q_1 + 1} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} (x \cdot \nabla u^{q_1+1}(x)) dx
$$

=
$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r}{q_1 + 1} \int_{\partial B_r(0)} u^{q_1+1}(x) dx - \lim_{d \to 0} \frac{d}{q_1 + 1} \int_{\partial B_d(0)} u^{q_1+1}(x) dx
$$

-
$$
\frac{n}{q_1 + 1} \int_{R^n} u^{q_1+1}(x) dx.
$$

In view of $u \in L^{q_1+1}(R^n)$, we can find $r = r_j \to \infty$ and $d = d_m \to \infty$ such that

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} r \int_{\partial B_r(0)} u^{q_1+1}(x) ds = \lim_{d \to 0} d \int_{\partial B_d(0)} u^{q_1+1}(x) dx = 0,
$$

and hence

$$
K_1 = -\frac{n}{q_1 + 1} \int_{R^n} u^{q_1 + 1}(x) dx.
$$

Using the Fubini theorem, we have

$$
K_2 := \lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} u^{q_1}(x) \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} \frac{z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z) dz}{|x|^{\beta_1} |x - z|^{n - \alpha} |z|^{\beta_2}} dx
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z) \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} \frac{u^{q_1}(x) dx}{|z|^{\beta_2} |z - x|^{n - \alpha} |x|^{\beta_1}} dz
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{d \to 0} \int_{R^n \setminus B_d(0)} (z \cdot \nabla v^{q_2}(z)) v(z) dz.
$$

Similar to the calculation of K_1 , we also obtain

$$
K_2 = -\frac{q_2 n}{q_2 + 1} \int_{R^n} v^{q_2 + 1}(z) dz.
$$

Inserting K_1 and K_2 into [\(2.20\)](#page-7-2), we have

$$
-\frac{n}{q_1+1}\int_{R^n}u^{q_1+1}(x)dx=(n-\bar{\lambda})\int_{R^n}u^{q_1+1}(x)dx-\frac{q_2n}{q_2+1}\int_{R^n}v^{q_2+1}(z)dz.
$$

By [\(2.16\)](#page-7-0) and the Fubini theorem, we also have

$$
\int_{R^n} u^{q_1+1}(x)dx = \int_{R^n} u^{q_1}(x)u(x)dx = \int_{R^n} u^{q_1}(x) \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2}(y)}{|x|^{\beta_1}|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y|^{\beta_2}} dx
$$

=
$$
\int_{R^n} v^{q_2}(y) \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1}(x)dx}{|y|^{\beta_2}|y-x|^{n-\alpha}|x|^{\beta_1}} dy = \int_{R^n} v^{q_2}(y)v(y)dy = \int_{R^n} v^{q_2+1}(y)dy.
$$

Combining two results above yields [\(2.18\)](#page-7-1).

2.3 Equations with Bessel potentials

Same as [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1), the fractional order equation

$$
(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u = u^q, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^n,
$$
\n(2.21)

 \Box

is still invariant under the conformal transformation as long as $q = \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. Here the fractional order differential operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ can be defined via the properties of the Riesz potential (cf. [\[26\]](#page-25-2)). According to the results in [\[4\]](#page-23-2) and [\[5\]](#page-23-1), it is equivalent to the integral equation [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1). In addition, the fact $\delta^{-\alpha/2} = c_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} exp(-t) t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}$ shows that the kernel of the Riesz potential can be written as a static heat kernel. Namely, besides [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1), we obtain another integral equation which is equivalent to [\(2.21\)](#page-8-0):

$$
u(x) = \int_{R^n} u^q(y) \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}) \frac{dt}{t} dy.
$$
 (2.22)

If replacing the static heat kernel $H(x) = c_{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t})$ $\frac{-y|^2}{4t}$ by the Bessel kernel $g_{\alpha}(x) = c_{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t} - \frac{t}{4\pi}\right) \frac{dt}{t}$, then we have a new integral equation

$$
u(x) = \int_{R^n} g_\alpha(x - y) u^q(y) dy, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^n,
$$
\n(2.23)

which is equivalent to the fractional order equation (cf. [\[9\]](#page-24-9))

$$
(id - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = u^q, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^n. \tag{2.24}
$$

This equation is not invariant under the scaling [\(1.2\)](#page-0-2).

When $\alpha = 2$, [\(2.24\)](#page-9-0) becomes a semilinear equation

$$
-\Delta u + u = u^q, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^n. \tag{2.25}
$$

Here $q > 1$. It can be used to describe the solitary wave of the Schrödinger equation. A known result implied in Chapter 8 of [\[2\]](#page-23-4) is $q < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ (namely q is subcritical) if $u \in H^1(R^n)$ is a weak solution of [\(2.25\)](#page-9-1).

Next, we investigate the relation between weak solutions and finite energy solutions of [\(2.25\)](#page-9-1).

Proposition 2.6. Assume u is a positive solution of [\(2.25\)](#page-9-1). Then $u \in C^2(R^n) \cap L^{q+1}(R^n)$ if and only if $u \in H^1(R^n)$. In addition, $||u||^2_{H^1(R^n)} = ||u||^{q+1}_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$.

Proof. Step 1. If $u \in H^1(R^n)$ is a weak solution, then $u \in C^2(R^n)$ (cf. [\[2\]](#page-23-4)). Testing by $u\zeta_R^2$ yields

$$
\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \nabla u \nabla (u \zeta_R^2) dx + \int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^2 \zeta_R^2 dx = \int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^{q+1} \zeta_R^2 dx.
$$
\n(2.26)

Therefore, by the Hölder inequality, from $u \in H^1(R^n)$ we deduce that $\int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^{q+1} \zeta_R^2 dx \leq C$, where $C > 0$ is independent of R. Letting $R \to \infty$, we get $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$.

Step 2. On the contrary, if $u \in C^2(R^n) \cap L^{q+1}(R^n)$, multiplying by $u \zeta_R^2$ and integrating on $B_{2R}(0)$, we also have [\(2.26\)](#page-9-2). In view of $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$, it follows

$$
\int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^2 |\nabla \zeta_R|^2 dx \leq \|u\|_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}^2 (\int_{B_{2R}(0)} |\nabla \zeta_R|^{\frac{2(q+1)}{q-1}} dx)^{\frac{q-1}{q+1}} \leq CR^{\frac{n(q-1)}{q+1}-2}.
$$

Since q is subcritical, $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{B_{2R}(0)} u^2 |\nabla \zeta_R|^2 dx = 0$. Thus, we can easily see $u \in H^1(R^n)$.

Step 3. We claim $||u||_{H^1(R^n)}^2 = ||u||_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}^{q+1}$. In fact, under each assumption, $u \in C^2(R^n)$. Multiplying (2.25) by u, we get

$$
\int_{B_R(0)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx = \int_{B_R(0)} u^{q+1} dx + \int_{\partial B_R(0)} u \partial_\nu u ds.
$$
\n(2.27)

By virtue of $u \in H^1(R^n) \cap L^{q+1}(R^n)$, we can find $R = R_j \to \infty$ such that

$$
R\int_{\partial B_R(0)} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^{q+1}) ds \to 0.
$$

Therefore, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll} \left| \int_{\partial B_R(0)} u \partial_{\nu} u ds \right| & \leq (R \int_{\partial B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} (R \int_{\partial B_R(0)} u^{q+1} dx)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} |\partial B_R|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1}} \\ & \leq C R^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1}) - (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q+1})} .\end{array}
$$

Since q is subcritical, $\lim_{R\to\infty} |\int_{\partial B_R(0)} u \partial_{\nu} u ds| = 0$. Inserting this into [\(2.27\)](#page-9-3), we obtain

$$
\int_{R^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx = \int_{R^n} u^{q+1} dx.
$$

Theorem [2.6](#page-9-4) is proved.

Proposition 2.7. Assume u solves [\(2.23\)](#page-9-5), then $u \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)$ if and only if $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$. In addition, $||u||^2_{H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)} = ||u||^{q+1}_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$.

Proof. From [\(2.23\)](#page-9-5), we have $\hat{u}(\xi) = \hat{g}_{\alpha}(\xi)(u^q)^{\hat{ }}(\xi)$, or $(1+4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\hat{u}(\xi) = (u^q)^{\hat{ }}(\xi)$. Multiplying by $\bar{\hat{u}}$ and using the Parseval identity, we get

$$
\int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi = \int_{R^n} (u^q)^{\wedge} \bar{\hat{u}} d\xi = \int_{R^n} u^{q+1} dx.
$$

Therefore, the proof is easy to complete.

Theorem 2.8. (1) If $q < \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$, [\(2.23\)](#page-9-5) has a positive solution in $L^{q+1}(R^n)$. Moreover, if $\alpha > 1$, then $u \in C^1(R^n)$.

(2) If [\(2.23\)](#page-9-5) has a positive solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $q < \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$.

Proof. (1) By the analogous argument of the existence of ground state in [\[7\]](#page-24-10), we can find a critical point of

$$
E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q+1}(x)}{q+1} dx
$$

on the Nihari manifold $\{u \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; E'(u)u = 0\}$. According to Proposition [2.7,](#page-10-0) $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$. This implies the existence of the weak solution of [\(2.24\)](#page-9-0). According to the equivalence, (2.23) also has a finite energy solution. In addition, u is radially symmetric and decreasing about some point in \mathbb{R}^n (cf. [\[18\]](#page-24-11)). In the same way to lift regularity process in [\[13\]](#page-24-12), we can also deduce the regularity of the solution from $H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)$ to $C^1(R^n)$ by virtue of $\alpha > 1$.

(2) Clearly,

$$
u(\mu x) = \mu^{\alpha} \int_{R^n} u^q(\mu y) \int_0^{\infty} (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t} - \frac{\mu^2 t}{4\pi}\right) \frac{dt}{t} dy.
$$

Thus,

$$
x \cdot \nabla u(x) = \left[\frac{du(\mu x)}{d\mu} \right]_{\mu=1} = \alpha u + \int_{R^n} y \cdot \nabla u^q(y) g_\alpha(x - y) dy - \int_{R^n} u^q(y) \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t} - \frac{t}{4\pi}) \frac{t}{2\pi} \frac{dt}{t} dy.
$$

Multiplying by $u^q(x)$ and integrating, we get

$$
\frac{1}{q+1} \int_{R^n} x \cdot \nabla u^{q+1}(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha \int_{R^n} u^{q+1}(x) dx + \frac{q}{q+1} \int_{R^n} y \cdot \nabla u^{q+1}(y) dy
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{R^n} \int_{R^n} u^q(x) u^q(y) \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t} - \frac{t}{4\pi}\right) \frac{t}{2\pi} \frac{dt}{t} dx dy.
$$

 \Box

 \Box

If $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$, we can find $R = R_j \to \infty$ such that $R \int_{\partial B_R(0)} u^{q+1} ds \to 0$. Thus, the result above leads to

$$
\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{q-1}{q+1} n - \alpha \right) \int_{R^n} u^{q+1} dx \\ & = - \int_{R^n} \int_{R^n} u^q(x) u^q(y) \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t} - \frac{t}{4\pi} \right) \frac{t}{2\pi} \frac{dt}{t} dx dy. \end{aligned}
$$

Since the right hand side is positive, we can deduce that $\frac{q-1}{q+1}n-\alpha > 0$, which implies $q < \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$.

Consider the system

$$
\begin{cases} (id - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = v^{q_2}, & u > 0 \in R^n, \\ (id - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} v = u^{q_1}, & v > 0 \in R^n, \end{cases}
$$
 (2.28)

where $n \geq 3, \, \alpha \in (0, n), \, q_1, q_2 > 0.$

According to the definition of weak solutions u, v of [\(2.28\)](#page-11-0) in $H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)$, for all $\phi \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)$, there hold

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{\phi}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{R^n} v^{q_2}(x) \phi(x) dx,
$$

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{v}(\xi) \overline{\hat{\phi}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{R^n} u^{q_1}(x) \phi(x) dx.
$$

Here \hat{u} is the Fourier transformation of u .

Theorem 2.9. If [\(2.28\)](#page-11-0) has weak positive solutions in $H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} > \frac{n-\alpha}{n}.
$$
\n(2.29)

Proof. Testing (2.28) by u and v respectively, we have

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{R^n} v^{q_2 + 1}(x) dx,
$$

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{v}(\xi) \overline{\hat{u}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{R^n} u^{q_1 + 1}(x) dx.
$$

Since the left hand sides of two equalities above are equal, it follows

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}(\xi) \bar{\hat{v}}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{R^n} v^{q_2 + 1}(x) dx = \int_{R^n} u^{q_1 + 1}(x) dx.
$$
 (2.30)

On the other hand, the positive weak solutions u, v are the critical points of the functional

$$
E(u,v) = Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}}(\xi) d\xi - \int_{R^n} (\frac{u^{q_1+1}}{q_1+1} + \frac{v^{q_2+1}}{q_2+1}) dx.
$$

Thus, the Pohozaev identity $\left[\frac{d}{d\mu}E(u(\frac{x}{\mu}), v(\frac{x}{\mu}))\right]_{\mu=1} = 0$ holds. By virtue of

$$
E(u(\frac{x}{\mu}), v(\frac{x}{\mu})) = \mu^{n-\alpha} Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\zeta|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}(\zeta) \bar{\hat{v}}(\zeta) d\zeta - \mu^n \int_{R^n} (\frac{u^{q_1+1}}{q_1+1} + \frac{v^{q_2+1}}{q_2+1}) dy,
$$

the Pohozaev identity leads to

$$
(n - \alpha)Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\zeta|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}(\zeta) \overline{\hat{v}}(\zeta) d\zeta + \alpha Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\zeta|^2)^{(\alpha - 2)/2} \hat{u}(\zeta) \overline{\hat{v}}(\zeta) d\zeta
$$

=
$$
n \int_{R^n} (\frac{u^{q_1+1}}{q_1+1} + \frac{v^{q_2+1}}{q_2+1}) dy.
$$

Combining with [\(2.30\)](#page-11-1), we get

$$
\alpha Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\zeta|^2)^{\frac{\alpha - 2}{2}} \hat{u}(\zeta) \bar{\hat{v}}(\zeta) d\zeta = \left[n \left(\frac{1}{q_1 + 1} + \frac{1}{q_2 + 1} \right) - (n - \alpha) \right] \int_{R^n} u^{q_1 + 1}(x) dx. \tag{2.31}
$$

We claim that the left hand side of [\(2.31\)](#page-12-0) is positive. In fact, set

$$
w(x) = \int_{R^n} g_2(x - y)v(y)dy.
$$

Then, $w > 0$ belongs to $H^{\alpha/2}(R^n)$, and $\hat{w} = (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-1} \hat{v}$. Testing [\(2.28\)](#page-11-0) by w yields

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \hat{u} \overline{\hat{w}} d\xi = \int_{R^n} v^{q_2} w dx,
$$

which implies

$$
Re \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{(\alpha - 2)/2} \tilde{u} \bar{\tilde{v}} d\xi > 0.
$$

Combining this result with [\(2.31\)](#page-12-0), we see the subcritical condition [\(2.29\)](#page-11-2). Theorem [2.9](#page-11-3) is proved. \Box

Remark 2.2. If we prove the second conclusion of Theorem [2.8](#page-10-1) by the same way of Theorem [2.9,](#page-11-3) the assumption of $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be removed.

2.4 Representation of minimum in critical case

Consider the minimum of the following energy functional in $H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$

$$
E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \frac{1}{\alpha^*} \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx,
$$

where $\alpha^* = \frac{2n}{n-\alpha}$. Clearly, $\alpha^* - 1$ is the critical exponent.

By the argument in §2.3, we know that $E(u)$ has no minimizer in $H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$ in the critical case. However, the radial function

$$
U_*(x) = a\left(\frac{b}{b^2 + |x - x_0|^2}\right)^{(n - \alpha)/2}, \quad a, b > 0 \quad and \quad x_0 \in R^n
$$

is the extremal the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (cf. [\[17\]](#page-24-4)). Furthermore, according to the classification results in [\[5\]](#page-23-1) and [\[16\]](#page-24-3), the radial function U_* is the unique solution of [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1). In addition, it is also the extremal function in $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$ of the functional

$$
E_*(u) = \left[\int_{R^n} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/4} u|^2 dx \right] \left[\int_{R^n} |u|^{2n/(n-\alpha)} dx \right]^{(\alpha-n)/n}
$$

.

The classification of the solutions also provides the sharp constant in the inequality of the critical Sobolev imbedding from $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n)$ to $L^{2n/(n-\alpha)}(R^n)$:

$$
c(\int_{R^n}|u|^{2n/(n-\alpha)}dx)^{(n-\alpha)/n} \leq \int_{R^n}|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/4}u|^2dx.
$$

The following result shows the relation between the energy functionals involving the Riesz potential and the Bessel potential in the critical case.

Theorem 2.10. $\inf\{E(u); u \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}\} = \frac{\alpha}{2n} [E_*(U_*)]^{n/\alpha}$.

Proof. The ideas in [\[2\]](#page-23-4) and [\[10\]](#page-24-13) are used here.

Write the scaling function

$$
u_{t,s}^{\lambda}(x) = e^{t\lambda}u(e^{-s\lambda}x),
$$

where $\lambda \geq 0, t \geq 0, t^2 + s^2 > 0, \mu := 2t + (n-2)s \geq 0$, and $\nu := 2t + ns \geq 0$. Set $\bar{\mu} = \max{\mu, \nu}$. By a simply calculation, we have

$$
K(u) := \frac{dE(u_{t,s}^{\lambda})}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=0}
$$

= $\frac{\mu}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{s\alpha}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx$
= $\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \frac{s\alpha}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$
 $-\frac{\mu}{2} \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx.$

Similarly, if we set

$$
E_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \frac{1}{\alpha^*} \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx,
$$

then

$$
K_0(u) := \frac{dE_0(u_{t,s}^{\lambda})}{d\lambda} |_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx.
$$

Write

$$
L(u) := E(u) - \frac{K(u)}{\bar{\mu}}
$$

=
$$
\begin{cases} \frac{s\alpha}{2} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + (\frac{\mu}{2\nu} - \frac{1}{\alpha^*}) \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*} dx, \quad \mu < \nu; \\ -\frac{s\alpha}{2\mu} \int_{R^n} (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^*}) \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*} dx, \quad \mu \ge \nu, \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
L_0(u) := E_0(u) - \frac{K_0(u)}{\bar{\mu}}
$$

=
$$
\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu}{2\nu}\right) \int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \left(\frac{\mu}{2\nu} - \frac{1}{\alpha^*}\right) \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*} dx, & \mu < \nu; \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^*}\right) \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*} dx, & \mu \ge \nu. \end{cases}
$$

When $\mu < \nu$, $s > 0$ and $\frac{\mu}{2\nu} > \frac{1}{\alpha^*}$; when $\mu \geq \nu$, $s \leq 0$. Thus, $L(u)$, $L_0(u) \geq 0$. In view of the parameter independence (cf. [\[10\]](#page-24-13)), we can define

$$
m = \inf \{ E(u); K(u) = 0, u \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \};
$$

\n
$$
\bar{m} = \inf \{ L(u); K(u) \le 0, u \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \};
$$

\n
$$
m_0 = \inf \{ E_0(u); K_0(u) = 0, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \};
$$

\n
$$
\bar{m}_0 = \inf \{ L_0(u); K_0(u) < 0, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \}.
$$

Clearly, $m = \bar{m}$. Set

$$
F = \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K_0(u) < 0\},
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{F} = \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K_0(u) \le 0\},
$$
\n
$$
\bar{F} = \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K_0(u) = 0\}.
$$

We claim $F = \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K_0(u_{t,s}^{\lambda}) = 0\}.$ Once it holds, then $m_0 = \bar{m}_0$. In fact, for any $\lambda > 0$, if $K_0(u_{t',s'}^{\lambda}) = 0$, then

$$
e^{[2t'+(n-\alpha)s']\lambda}\int_{R^n}(2\pi|\xi|)^\alpha|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2d\xi=e^{[2t'+(n-\alpha)s']\frac{n\lambda}{n-\alpha}}\int_{R^n}u^{\alpha^*}dx.
$$

This leads to $K_0(u) < 0$, and hence $F \supset \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K_0(u_{t,s}^{\lambda}) = 0\}.$

On the other hand, for any $u \in F$, there holds $\int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*} dx$. Thus, we can find $\lambda_* > 0$ such that

$$
e^{[2t'+(n-\alpha)s']\lambda_*}\int_{R^n}(2\pi|\xi|)^\alpha|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2d\xi=e^{[2t'+(n-\alpha)s']\frac{n\lambda_*}{n-\alpha}}\int_{R^n}u^{\alpha^*}dx.
$$

This shows $u \in \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K_0(u_{t,s}^{\lambda_*}) = 0\}.$

In addition, it is easy to see that F is dense in \tilde{F} , which implies

$$
\bar{m}_0 = \inf \{ L_0(u); K_0(u) \le 0, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \}. \tag{2.32}
$$

Set $G = \{u \in H^{\alpha/2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}; K(u) \le 0\}$. Clearly, $G \subset \tilde{F}$. Noting

$$
K(u_{t',s'}^{\lambda}) = \frac{\mu}{2} e^{\lambda(2t' + (n-\alpha)s')} \int_{R^n} (e^{2s\lambda} + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \frac{s\alpha}{2} e^{\lambda(2t' + (n-\alpha+2)s')} \int_{R^n} (e^{2s\lambda} + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi -\frac{\mu}{2} e^{\lambda(\alpha^*t' + ns')} \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx.
$$

we can deduce by taking $t' = \frac{n-\alpha}{2}$ and $s' = -1$ that

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} K(u_{(n-\alpha)/2,-1}^{\lambda}) = K_0(u),
$$
\n(2.33)

Similarly, we also get

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} L(u_{(n-\alpha)/2,-1}^{\lambda}) = L_0(u),\tag{2.34}
$$

Clearly, [\(2.33\)](#page-14-0) shows that G is dense in \tilde{F} . Combining with [\(2.32\)](#page-14-1) yields

$$
\bar{m}_0 = \inf \{ L_0(u); K(u) \le 0, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \}.
$$

In addition, [\(2.34\)](#page-14-2) implies

$$
\inf \{ L_0(u); K(u) \le 0, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \} = \bar{m}.
$$

Therefore, $\bar{m}_0 = \bar{m}$.

The argument above shows that $m = \bar{m} = m_0 = \bar{m}_0$. Take $t = 0$, then $\alpha^* \mu = 2\nu$. Thus,

$$
m = m_0
$$

\n
$$
= \inf \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2n} \int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi; \int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx \right\}
$$

\n
$$
= \inf \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2n} \int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \left[\frac{\int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{\int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx} \right]^{\frac{n-\alpha}{\alpha}}; u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \right\}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha}{2n} \inf \left\{ \left[\frac{\int_{R^n} (2\pi |\xi|)^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{(\int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x) dx)^{(n-\alpha)/n}} \right]^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}; u \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n) \setminus \{0\} \right\}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha}{2n} c_*^{n/\alpha}.
$$

Here c_* is the sharp constant of the inequality

$$
c\left(\int_{R^n} u^{\alpha^*}(x)dx\right)^{(n-\alpha)/n} \le \int_{R^n} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/4}u(x)|^2 dx.
$$

According to the classification result in [\[5\]](#page-23-1), we know that the corresponding minimizer in $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha/2,2}(R^n)\setminus\{0\}$ is U_* . \Box

3 Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type equations

Consider the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality

$$
(\int_{R^n} \frac{|u|^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx)^{p/(q+1)} \leq C_{a,b} \int_{R^n} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} dx,
$$

where $n \geq 3$, $p > 1$, $0 \leq a < \frac{n-p}{p}$, and $a \leq b \leq a+1$. Since the scaling function $u_{\mu}(x)$ also satisfies this inequality, by a simple calculation we can see $q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$.

The extremal functions in $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$ can be obtained by investigating the functional

$$
E(u) = \int_{R^n} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} dx \left(\int_{R^n} \frac{|u|^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx \right)^{-p/(q+1)}.
$$

Here $\mathcal{D}_{a}^{1,p}(R^{n})$ is the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(R^{n})$ with respect to the norm $|||x|^{-a}\nabla u||_{L^{p}(R^{n})}$. Clearly, the extremal function satisfies the Pohozaev identity $\frac{d}{d\mu}E(u(\frac{x}{\mu}))|_{\mu=1} = 0$. Noting $E(u(\frac{x}{\mu})) =$ $\mu^{n-p(a+1)-\frac{pn}{q+1}+pb}E(u(x))$, we also obtain $q=\frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)}-1$.

Consider the Euler-Lagrange equation which the extremal function of $E(u)$ satisfies:

$$
-div\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{ap}}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = \frac{1}{|x|^{b(q+1)}}u^q, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } R^n. \tag{3.1}
$$

By a direct calculation we also deduce that [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0) and the energy $\int_{R^n} \frac{|u|^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}}$ $\frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{b(q+1)}}dx$ are invariant under the scaling [\(1.2\)](#page-0-2) if and only if $q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$. If $a = b = 0$, this exponent $q = \frac{np}{n-p} - 1$ is the critical condition for the existence (cf. [\[24\]](#page-24-14)).

We consider the relation between the finite energy solutions and the critical exponents.

Theorem 3.1. (1) If $u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$ is a weak solution of [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0), then $|x|^{-b}u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$. Moreover, if $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$
\| |x|^{-a} \nabla u \|_{L^p(R^n)}^p = \| |x|^{-b} u \|_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}^{q+1}.
$$
\n(3.2)

(2) On the contrary, assume $u \in C^2(R^n)$ solves [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0), and $|x|^{-b}u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$. If

$$
\int_{R^n} (\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n+p(b-a-1)}} dx < \infty.
$$

then $u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$ and (3.2) still holds.

Proof. Step 1. If $u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$ is a weak solution of [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0), testing by $u \zeta_R^p$ yields

$$
\int_{R^n} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla (u \zeta_R^p) dx = \int_{R^n} |x|^{-b(q+1)} u^{q+1} \zeta_R^p dx.
$$
\n(3.3)

By the Young inequality, it follows

$$
\int_{R^n} |x|^{-b(q+1)} u^{q+1} \zeta_R^p dx \leq C \int_{R^n} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p \zeta_R^p dx + C \int_{R^n} |x|^{-ap} u^p |\nabla \zeta_R|^p dx.
$$

Using the Hölder inequality and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, we get

$$
\int_{R^n} \frac{u^p}{|x|^{ap}} |\nabla \zeta_R|^p dx \leq \frac{C}{R^p} \Big[\int_{R^n} (\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n+p(b-a-1)}} dx \Big]^{1-\frac{p(1+a-b)}{n}} (\int_{B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{\frac{(b-a)n}{a+1-b}} dx)^{\frac{p(1+a-b)}{n}} dx
$$

$$
\leq C \Big[\int_{R^n} (\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n+p(b-a-1)}} dx \Big]^{1-\frac{p(1+a-b)}{n}} \leq C \int_{R^n} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx.
$$

Combining two results above and letting $R \to \infty$, we obtain $|x|^{-b}u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$.

In addition, if $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0), multiplying by u and integrating on $B_{2R}(0)$, we have

$$
\int_{B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx - \int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-ap} u |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u ds = \int_{B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-b(q+1)} u^{q+1} dx. \tag{3.4}
$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{split}\n&\int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-ap} u |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u ds| \\
&\leq (\int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p ds)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} [\int_{\partial B_{2R}} (\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n-p(a+1-b)}} ds]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{(1+a-b)}{n}} \\
&\cdot (\int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{\frac{(b-a)n}{a+1-b}} ds)^{\frac{a+1-b}{n}} \\
&\leq C(R \int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p ds)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} [R \int_{\partial B_{2R}} (\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n-p(a+1-b)}} ds]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{(1+a-b)}{n}} \\
&\cdot R^{[n-1+\frac{(b-a)n}{a+1-b}]\frac{a+1-b}{n} - 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{a+1-b}{n}}.\n\end{split} \tag{3.5}
$$

In view of $u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$, by the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, there holds

$$
\int_{R^n} \left(\frac{u}{|x|^b}\right)^{\frac{np}{n-p(a+1-b)}} dx < \infty,
$$

and hence we can find $R = R_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
R\int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)}|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^p ds + R\int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)}(\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n-p(a+1-b)}} ds \to 0.
$$

Therefore, it follows from [\(3.5\)](#page-16-2) that

$$
\left|\int_{\partial B_{2R}(0)} |x|^{-ap} u |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u ds\right| \to 0
$$

as $R \to \infty$. Inserting this into [\(3.4\)](#page-16-3) and letting $R = R_j \to \infty$, we get [\(3.2\)](#page-16-1).

Step 2. If $u \in C^2(R^n)$, multiplying [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0) by $u\zeta_R^p$ and integrating, we also obtain [\(3.3\)](#page-16-4). Using the Young inequality, we get

$$
\int_{R^n}\frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}}\zeta_R^pdx\leq \int_{R^n}\frac{u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}}\zeta_R^pdx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{R^n}\frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}}\zeta_R^pdx+C\int_{R^n}\frac{u^p}{|x|^{ap}}|\nabla \zeta_R|^pdx.
$$

This result, together with $|x|^{-b}u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$, implies

$$
\int_{R^n} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} \zeta_R^p dx \le C + C \int_{R^n} \frac{u^p}{|x|^{ap}} |\nabla \zeta_R|^p dx.
$$
\n(3.6)

If $\int_{R^n} \left(\frac{u}{|x|^b} \right)^{\frac{np}{n+p(b-a-1)}} dx < \infty$, Z u p ap $|\nabla \zeta_R|$ p $dx \leq$ 1 (Z

$$
\int_{R^n} \frac{u^p}{|x|^{ap}} |\nabla \zeta_R|^p dx \le \frac{1}{R^p} (\int_{R^n} (\frac{u}{|x|^b})^{\frac{np}{n-p(a+1-b)}} dx)^{1-\frac{p(a+1-b)}{n}} (\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^{\frac{n(b-a)}{a+1-b}} dx)^{\frac{p(a+1-b)}{n}} \le C.
$$

Thus, we can see $\int_{R^n} \frac{u^p}{|x|^{ap}} |\nabla \zeta_R|^p dx < \infty$. Inserting this into [\(3.6\)](#page-17-0), we have $|x|^{-a} \nabla u \in L^p(R^n)$, and hence $u \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{1,p}(R^{n})$. Similar to Step 1, we also obtain [\(3.2\)](#page-16-1). Theorem [3.1](#page-16-5) is proved.

Theorem 3.2. Eq. [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0) has a solution in $C^2(R^n) \cap \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$ if and only if $q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$. *Proof.* If $q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$, we know that the following extremal function of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality is a finite energy solution

$$
U_{a,b}(x) = c_0 \left(\frac{n - p - pa}{1 + |x|^{\frac{p(n - p - pa)(1 + a - b)}{(p - 1)[n - (1 + a - b)]}}} \right)^{\frac{n - p(1 + a - b)}{p(1 + a - b)}}
$$

with $c_0 = [n(p-1)^{1-p}(n-p(1+a-b))^{-1}]^{\frac{n-p(1+a-b)}{p^2(1+a-b)}}$. We verify the sufficiency. Next, we prove the necessity. Multiplying [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0) by $(x \cdot \nabla u)$ and integrating on $B_R(0)$, we get

$$
\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{ap}} \nabla u \nabla (x \cdot \nabla u) dx - \int_{\partial B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{ap}} |\partial_\nu u|^2 ds = \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{u^q(x \cdot \nabla u)}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx. \tag{3.7}
$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{ap}} \nabla u \nabla (x \cdot \nabla u) dx = \int_{B_R(0)} |x|^{-ap} [|\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{p} x \cdot \nabla (|\nabla u|^p)] dx \n= \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} + \frac{R}{p} \int_{\partial B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} ds - \frac{n - ap}{p} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} dx,
$$
\n(3.8)

and

$$
\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{u^q(x \cdot \nabla u)}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx = \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{x \cdot \nabla u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx \n= \frac{R}{q+1} \int_{\partial B_R(0)} \frac{u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} ds - \frac{n-b(q+1)}{q+1} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx.
$$
\n(3.9)

According to the first conclusion of Theorem [3.1,](#page-16-5) $\frac{\nabla u}{|x|^a} \in L^p(R^n)$ implies $\frac{u}{|x|^b} \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$. We can find $R = R_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
R\int_{\partial B_R(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} ds + R\int_{\partial B_R(0)} \frac{u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} ds \to 0.
$$
 (3.10)

Inserting (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.7) , and using (3.10) , we have

$$
(1 - \frac{n - ap}{p}) \int_{R^n} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{ap}} dx = -\frac{n - b(q+1)}{q+1} \int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q+1)}} dx.
$$

Combining with [\(3.2\)](#page-16-1) yields $1 - \frac{n-ap}{p} = -\frac{n-b(q+1)}{q+1}$, which implies $q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$. \Box

Next we consider the system with weight

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = |x|^{-b(q_2+1)}v^{q_2}, & u > 0 \text{ in } R^n; \\
-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) = |x|^{-b(q_1+1)}u^{q_1}, & v > 0 \text{ in } R^n.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.11)

Theorem 3.3. Under the scaling transformation (1.8) , the system (3.11) and the energy functionals

$$
\int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1+1}}{|x|^{b(q_1+1)}} dx \quad and \int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2+1}}{|x|^{b(q_2+1)}} dx,
$$

are invariant if and only if one of the two degenerate conditions holds: $p = 2$ and $q_1 = q_2$.

Moreover, if $p = 2$, the critical condition is

$$
\frac{1}{q_1+1} + \frac{1}{q_2+1} = \frac{n+2(b-a-1)}{n}.
$$
\n(3.12)

If $q_1 = q_2$, the critical condition is $q_1 = q_2 = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$. In addition, [\(3.11\)](#page-18-3) is reduced to a single equation [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0) in the weak sense (i.e. $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$).

Proof. Step 1. By calculation, we have

$$
-div[|x|^{-ap}|\nabla u_\mu(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u_\mu(x)] = -\mu^{(p-1)\sigma_1 + (a+1)p - b(q_2+1) - \sigma_2 q_2} |x|^{-b(q_2+1)} v_\mu^{q_2}(x).
$$

If [\(3.11\)](#page-18-3) is invariant under the scaling [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3), there hold $(p-1)\sigma_1 + (a+1)p - b(q_2 + 1) = \sigma_2 q_2$ and $(p-1)\sigma_2 + (a+1)p - b(q_1 + 1) - \sigma_1 q_1$. Thus,

$$
\sigma_1 = \frac{p(q_2 + p - 1)(a + 1 - b)}{q_1 q_2 - (p - 1)^2} - b, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{p(q_1 + p - 1)(a + 1 - b)}{q_1 q_2 - (p - 1)^2} - b.
$$

In addition, the energy functionals $\int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q_1+1}}{|x|^{b(q_1+1)}}$ $\frac{u^{q_1+1}}{|x|^{b(q_1+1)}} dx$ and $\int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q_2+1}}{|x|^{b(q_2+1)}}$ In addition, the energy functionals $\int_{R^n} \frac{u^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q_1+1)}} dx$ and $\int_{R^n} \frac{v^{q+1}}{|x|^{b(q_2+1)}} dx$ are invariant implies $\sigma_1 = \frac{n}{q_1+1} - b$ and $\sigma_2 = \frac{n}{q_2+1} - b$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{n[q_1q_2 - (p-1)^2]}{p(a+1-b)} = (q_1+1)(q_2+p-1) = (q_2+1)(q_1+p-1).
$$
\n(3.13)

The latter equality implies $p = 2$ or $q_1 = q_2$.

On the contrary, the argument above also shows that if $p = 2$ or $q_1 = q_2$ holds, then system and the energy functionals are invariant under the scaling [\(1.8\)](#page-1-3).

Step 2. If $p = 2$, [\(3.11\)](#page-18-3) becomes a Laplace system. Thus, the former equality of [\(3.13\)](#page-18-4) implies (3.12) . In particular, if $a = 0$, it is identical with (1) of Remark 2.1.

If $q_1 = q_2$, we denote them by q. The former equality of [\(3.13\)](#page-18-4) implies $q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)} - 1$. In addition, by the definition of weak solutions, for any $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(R^n)$, there holds

$$
\int_{R^n} |x|^{-ap} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{R^n} |x|^{-b(q+1)} (v^q - u^q) \phi dx.
$$

Since $C_0^{\infty}(R^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(R^n)$, we can take $\phi = u - v$. Noting the monotonicity inequality $(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \cdot \nabla (u - v) \geq 0$, we get

$$
\int_{R^n} |x|^{-b(q+1)} (u^q - v^q)(u - v) dx \le 0.
$$

By the integral mean value theorem we have $u = v$ a.e. on $Rⁿ$. Namely, [\(3.11\)](#page-18-3) is reduced to [\(3.1\)](#page-16-0). П

Remark 3.1. Different from the cases $p = 2$ and $q_1 = q_2$, [\(3.11\)](#page-18-3) has no variational structure.

4 k-Hessian equations

Tso [\[28\]](#page-25-3) obtained the critical exponent and the existence/nonexistence results for the k-Hessian equation on the bounded domain. Other related work can be seen in [\[6\]](#page-23-5) and the references therein. Here we consider the following k-Hessian equation on $Rⁿ$

$$
F_k(D^2u) = (-u)^q, \quad u < 0 \text{ in } R^n. \tag{4.1}
$$

Here $F_k[D^2u] = S_k(\lambda(D^2u))$, $\lambda(D^2u) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with λ_i being eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix (D^2u) , and $S_k(\cdot)$ is the k-th symmetric function:

$$
S_k(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dotsm \lambda_{i_k}.
$$

Two special cases are $F_1[D^2u] = \Delta u$ and $F_n[D^2u] = det(D^2u)$.

In this section, we assume $1 < k < n/2$,

When q is not larger than the Serrin exponent: $q \leq \frac{nk}{n-2k}$, [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0) has no negative solution (cf. [\[20\]](#page-24-15), [\[21\]](#page-24-16) and [\[22\]](#page-24-17)). Furthermore, if $R(x)$ is double bounded, namely there exists $C > 1$ such that $C^{-1} \leq R(x) \leq C$, we can see the analogous result still holds.

Theorem 4.1. If $q \leq \frac{nk}{n-2k}$, then

$$
F_k(D^2u) = R(x)(-u)^q, \quad u < 0 \text{ in } R^n,\tag{4.2}
$$

has no negative solution satisfying $inf_{R^n}(-u) = 0$ for any double bounded coefficient $R(x)$.

Proof. If [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) has some negative solution u satisfying $\inf_{R^n} (-u) = 0$ for some double bounded $R(x)$, then $-u$ solves an integral equation

$$
-u(x) = K(x) \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{\int_{B_t(x)} (-u)^q(y) dy}{t^{n-2k}} \right]^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad u < 0 \text{ in } R^n,
$$

where $K(x)$ is also double bounded. However, by the Wolff potential estimates (cf. [\[14\]](#page-24-5)), we know this integral equation has no positive solution for any double bounded fonction. \Box

Hereafter, we always assume that q is larger than the Serrin exponent $\frac{nk}{n-2k}$:

$$
q > \frac{nk}{n - 2k}.\tag{4.3}
$$

Theorem 4.2. If (4.3) holds, then (4.2) has radial solutions with the fast and the slow decay rates respectively for some double bounded functions $R(x)$.

Proof. Clearly, if the following ODE has solution $U(r)$

$$
C_{n-1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{U_r}{r}\right)^{k-1} U_{rr} + C_{n-1}^k \left(\frac{U_r}{r}\right)^k = R(r)(-U)^q,
$$
\n(4.4)

then $u(x) = U(r)$ solves [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1). Here C_{n-1}^{k-1} and C_{n-1}^k are combinatorial constants, and $R(r)$ is a double bounded function.

We search the radial solution as the form

$$
u(x) = U(r) = -(1+r^2)^{-\theta}, \quad r = |x|,
$$
\n(4.5)

where $\theta > 0$ will be determined later.

By a direct calculation, we get

$$
U_r = \frac{2\theta r}{(1+r^2)^{\theta+1}}, \quad U_{rr} = \frac{2\theta}{(1+r^2)^{\theta+1}} \left[1 - 2(\theta+1)\frac{r^2}{1+r^2}\right].
$$

Thus, the left hand side of [\(4.4\)](#page-20-1)

$$
C_{n-1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{U_r}{r}\right)^{k-1} U_{rr} + C_{n-1}^k \left(\frac{U_r}{r}\right)^k
$$

=
$$
\left[\frac{2\theta}{(1+r^2)^{\theta+1}}\right]^k \left[\frac{C_{n-1}^{k-1} + C_{n-1}^k + [C_{n-1}^k - C_{n-1}^{k-1}(2\theta+1)]r^2}{1+r^2}\right].
$$
 (4.6)

In view of [\(4.3\)](#page-20-0), it follows

=

$$
\frac{2k}{q-k} < \frac{n-2k}{k}.
$$

We next determine that the decay rate 2θ is either the fast rate $\frac{n-2k}{k}$ or the slow rate $\frac{2k}{q-k}$.

In fact, if $C_{n-1}^k - C_{n-1}^{k-1}(2\theta + 1) > 0$, then $2\theta < \frac{n-2k}{k}$. We choose θ such that $2\theta = \frac{2k}{q-k}$, and from [\(4.6\)](#page-20-2) we can see that

$$
C_{n-1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{U_r}{r}\right)^{k-1} U_{rr} + C_{n-1}^k \left(\frac{U_r}{r}\right)^k = R(r)(1+r^2)^{-(\theta+1)k} = R(r)(1+r^2)^{-\theta q}.
$$

This result shows that $U(r)$ as the form [\(4.5\)](#page-20-3) with $2\theta = \frac{k+1}{q-k}$ solves [\(4.4\)](#page-20-1).

In addition, if $C_{n-1}^k - C_{n-1}^{k-1}(2\theta + 1) = 0$, then $2\theta = \frac{n-2k}{k}$. Let $q = \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$ $\frac{n+2\kappa}{n-2k}$. Thus, from (4.6) we can also deduce

$$
C_{n-1}^{k-1}(U_r)^{k-1}U_{rr} + C_{n-1}^k r^{-1}(U_r)^k = R(r)(1+r^2)^{-(\theta+1)k-1} = R(r)(1+r^2)^{-\theta q},\tag{4.7}
$$

 \Box

and hence $U(r)$ as the form [\(4.5\)](#page-20-3) with $2\theta = \frac{n-2k}{k}$ also solves [\(4.4\)](#page-20-1).

Come back the equation [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0). We consider the critical and the supercritical cases.

Theorem 4.3. Under the scaling transformation (1.2) , the equation (4.1) and the energy functional $\|(-u)\|_{L^{q+1}(R^n)}$ are invariant if and only if $q = \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$ $\frac{n+2}{n-2k}$.

Proof. Clearly, if we denote μx by y, then

$$
F_k(D^2u_\mu(x)) = \mu^{-k(\sigma+2)}F_k(D^2u(y)) = \mu^{-k(\sigma+2)}(-u)^q(y) = \mu^{q\sigma-k(\sigma+2)}(-u_\mu)^q(x)
$$

implies $\sigma = \frac{2k}{q-k}$. On the other hand, $\int_{R^n} (-u_\mu)^{q+1} dx = \mu^{(q+1)\sigma-n} \int_{R^n} (-u)^{q+1} dx$ implies $\sigma =$ $\frac{n}{q+1}$. Eliminating σ yields $q = \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$ $\frac{n+2\kappa}{n-2k}$. The necessity is complete. \Box

On the contrary, the argument above still works for the sufficiency.

The following result shows that (4.1) has no finite energy solution when q is not the critical exponent.

Theorem 4.4. Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0) has negative solution u belonging to $C^2(R^n) \cap L^{q+1}(R^n)$ if and only if $q = \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$ $\frac{n+2\kappa}{n-2k}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. If $q = \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$ $\frac{n+2\kappa}{n-2k}$, Theorem [4.2](#page-20-4) implies [\(4.4\)](#page-20-1) has a radial solution as the form [\(4.5\)](#page-20-3) with the fast decay rate $2\theta = \frac{n-2k}{k}$. In addition, [\(4.6\)](#page-20-2) shows that $R(r)$ is a constant

$$
R(x) = C_* := \left(\frac{n-2k}{k}\right)^k (C_{n-1}^{k-1} + C_{n-1}^k).
$$

Thus, setting

$$
L := C_{\ast}^{\frac{1}{q-k}}, \quad and \quad V(r) := LU(r) = -L(1+r^2)^{-\frac{n-2k}{2k}},
$$

we know that $v(x) = V(|x|)$ is a radial solution of [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0) in $C^2(R^n) \cap L^{q+1}(R^n)$.

Necessity. Multiply [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0) by $-u\zeta_R$ and integrate on $B_{2R}(0)$. Noting $u \in L^{q+1}(R^n)$ and then letting $R \to \infty$, we obtain $u F_k(D^2 u) \in L^1(R^n)$, and hence

$$
-\int_{R^n} u F_k(D^2 u) dx = \int_{R^n} (-u)^{q+1} dx.
$$
\n(4.8)

In addition, (4.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional

$$
E_k(u) = \frac{-1}{k+1} \int_{R^n} u F_k(D^2 u) dx - \int_{R^n} \frac{(-u)^{q+1}}{q+1} dx.
$$

By virtue of

$$
E_k(u(\frac{x}{\mu})) = \frac{-\mu^{n-2k}}{k+1} \int_{R^n} u F_k(D^2 u) dx - \frac{\mu^n}{q+1} \int_{R^n} (-u)^{q+1} dx,
$$

the Pohozaev identity $\frac{d}{d\mu} E_k(u(\frac{x}{\mu}))|_{\mu=1} = 0$ shows

$$
\frac{n-2k}{k+1} \int_{R^n} u F_k(D^2 u) dx + \frac{n}{q+1} \int_{R^n} (-u)^{q+1} dx = 0.
$$

Inserting [\(4.8\)](#page-21-2) into this result, we obtain $\frac{n-2k}{k+1} = \frac{n}{q+1}$, which implies $q = \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$ $\frac{n+2}{n-2k}$.

Theorem [4.4](#page-21-1) shows [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0) has a radial solution with fast decay rate $\frac{n-2k}{k}$ when q is a critical exponent.

In the supercritical case, Theorem [4.4](#page-21-1) implies that the solution of (4.1) is not the finite energy solution, and hence the decay rate should be slower than $\frac{n-2k}{k}$.

 \Box

Theorem 4.5. If $q > \frac{(n+2)k}{n-2k}$, then (4.1) has radial solutions with slow decay rate $\frac{2k}{q-k}$. Proof. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{k}C_{n-1}^{k-1}[(f_r)^k r^{n-k}]_r = r^{n-1}(-f)^q, & r > 0, \\
f(r) < 0 & and f'(r) > 0, \quad r > 0, \\
f(0) = -A, & f'(0) = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.9)

where $A > 0$ will be determined later. We prove that this problem has a bounded entire solution $f(r)$. Then $u(x) = f(|x|)$ is a solution of [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0).

Let $t > 0$. Integrating [\(4.9\)](#page-22-0) from 0 to t yields

$$
f_r(t) = \left(\frac{1}{k}C_{n-1}^{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{k}}[t^{k-n}\int_0^t r^n(-f(r))^q\frac{dr}{r}]^{\frac{1}{k}}.
$$

For $s \geq 0$, integrating from s to R again, we get

$$
f(R) = f(s) + \left(\frac{1}{k}C_{n-1}^{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{k}} \int_{s}^{R} [t^{k-n} \int_{0}^{t} r^{n}(-f(r))^{q} \frac{dr}{r}]^{\frac{1}{k}} dt. \tag{4.10}
$$

We claim that $\inf_{r\geq 0}[-f(r)]=0$ as long as f is an entire positive solution of [\(4.9\)](#page-22-0). Otherwise, there exists $c_* > 0$ such that $-f(r) \geq c_*$ for $r \geq 0$. Therefore, [\(4.10\)](#page-22-1) with $s = 0$ shows

$$
f(R) \geq (\frac{kc_*^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}})^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{R^2}{2} - A.
$$

Thus, we can find some R_A such that $f(R_A) = 0$. This shows that [\(4.9\)](#page-22-0) has no entire positive solution.

By virtue of $f'(r) > 0$ and $\inf_{r \geq 0} [-f(r)] = 0$, we know that the global solution $f(r)$ should be bounded and increasing to zero when $r \to \infty$. In the following, we construct the function $f(r)$ with the slow decay rate $\frac{2k}{q-k}$.

First, [\(4.9\)](#page-22-0) admits a local negative solution by the standard argument. Namely, for each $A > 0$, we can find $R^A > 0$ such that the solution $f(r) < 0$ as $r \in (0, R^A)$. We claim $f(R^A) < 0$. Otherwise, $f(R^A) = 0$. Thus, $f(r)$ solves the two point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}C_{n-1}^{k-1}[(f_r)^k r^{n-k}]_r = r^{n-1}(-f)^q, & r \in (0, R^A),\\ f(0) = -A, & f(R^A) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Namely, $u(x) = f(|x|)$ is a classical solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\nF_k(D^2u) = (-u)^q & \text{on} \quad B_{R^A}(0), \\
u|_{\partial B_{R^A}(0)} = 0, \quad u < 0 \quad \text{on} \quad B_{R^A}(0).\n\end{cases}
$$

It contradicts with the nonexistence result in the supercritical case (cf. [\[28\]](#page-25-3)).

Thus, we can extend the solution towards right in succession and hence obtain an entire solution for each A.

Next, by the shooting method, it is easy to find suitable $A > 0$ such that [\(4.9\)](#page-22-0) has solution $f_A(r) < 0$ on [0, 1] satisfying $f_A(0) = -A$ and $f_A(1) = -C_A$. Here

$$
C_A = \left[\frac{C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{k} (n - \frac{2qk}{q-k})\right]^{\frac{1}{q-k}} \left(\frac{q-k}{2k}\right)^{\frac{k}{k-q}}.
$$

By virtue of [\(4.3\)](#page-20-0), we see $C_A > 0$.

Finally, we claim that $f(R) = -C_A R^{-\frac{2k}{q-k}}$ solves [\(4.9\)](#page-22-0) as $r > 1$. It is sufficient to verify [\(4.10\)](#page-22-1) with $s = 1$:

$$
f(R) = f(1) + \left(\frac{1}{k}C_{n-1}^{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{k}} \int_{1}^{R} \left[t^{k-n} \int_{0}^{t} r^{n}(-f(r))^{q} \frac{dr}{r}\right]^{\frac{1}{k}} dt.
$$

In fact, [\(4.3\)](#page-20-0) shows that $n - \frac{2qk}{q-k} > 0$. By a calculation, we get the right hand side of the integral equation above

$$
\begin{split}\n&\left(\frac{kC_A^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \int_1^R (t^{k-n} \int_0^t s^{n-\frac{2qk}{q-k}} \frac{ds}{s})^{\frac{1}{k}} dt - C_A \\
&= \left(\frac{kC_A^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} (n - \frac{2qk}{q-k})^{-\frac{1}{k}} \int_1^R t^{2-\frac{2q}{q-k}} \frac{dt}{t} - C_A \\
&= \left(\frac{kC_A^q}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} (n - \frac{2qk}{q-k})^{-\frac{1}{k}} \frac{q-k}{2k} (1 - R^{-\frac{2k}{q-k}}) - C_A \\
&= -C_A R^{-\frac{2k}{q-k}}.\n\end{split}
$$

It is the left hand side.

Thus, we find a radial solution of [\(4.1\)](#page-19-0) in the supercritical case

$$
u(x) = \begin{cases} f_A(|x|), & \text{for } r \in [0,1]; \\ -C_A |x|^{-\frac{2k}{q-k}}, & \text{for } r \ge 1. \end{cases}
$$

Theorem [4.5](#page-21-0) is proved.

Acknowledgements The author is very grateful to Dr. Xingdong Tang for providing the reference [\[10\]](#page-24-13), and many fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] G. Caristi, L. D'Ambrosio, E. Mitidieri, Representation formulae for solutions to some classes of higher order systems and related Liouville theorems, Milan J. Math., 76, (2008), 27-67.
- [2] T. Cazenave, Semilinear schrödinger equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [3] W. Chen, C. Li, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J., 63 (1991), 615–622.
- [4] W. Chen, C. Li, Super polyharmonic property of solutions for PDE systems and its applications, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 12 (2013), 2497–2514.
- [5] W. Chen, C. Li, B. Ou, Classification of solutions for an integral equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 59 (2006), 330–343.
- [6] K. Chou, X. Wang, A variational theory of the Hessian equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54 (2001), 1029–1064.

 \Box

- [7] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. Tan, Positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., 142 (2012), 1237–1262.
- [8] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 34 (1981), 525–598.
- [9] X. Han, G. Lu, Regularity of solutions to an integral equation associated with Bessel potential, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 10 (2011), 1111–1119.
- [10] S. Ibrahim, N. Masmoudi, K. Nakanishi, Scattering threshold for the focusing nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, Anal. PDE, 4 (2011), 405–460.
- [11] C. Jin, C. Li, Symmetry of solutions to some systems of integral equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2006), 1661–1670.
- [12] C. Jin, C. Li, Qualitative analysis of some systems of integral equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 26 (2006), 447–457.
- [13] Y. Lei, On the regularity of positive solutions of a class of Choquard type equations, Math. Z., 273 (2013), 883–905.
- [14] Y. Lei, C. Li, Sharp criteria of Liouville type for some nonlinear systems, [arXiv:1301.6235,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.6235) 2013.
- [15] Y. Lei, C. Li, C. Ma, Asymptotic radial symmetry and growth estimates of positive solutions to weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev system, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 45 (2012), 43–61.
- [16] Y. Li, Remark on some conformally invariant integral equations: the method of moving spheres, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 6 (2004), 153–180.
- [17] E. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities, Ann. Math., 118 (1983), 349–374.
- [18] L. Ma, D. Chen, Radial symmetry and monotonicity for an integral equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 342 (2008), 943–949.
- [19] E. Mitidieri, Nonexistence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems in $Rⁿ$, Differ. Integral Equations, 9, (1996), 465-479.
- [20] Q. Ou, Nonexistence results for Hessian inequality, Methods Appl. Anal.,17 (2010), 213–224.
- [21] N. Phuc, I. Verbitsky, Local integral estimates and removable singularities for quasilinear and Hessian equations with nonlinear source terms, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqs., 31 (2006), 1779–1791.
- [22] N. Phuc, I. Verbitsky, Quasilinear and Hessian equations of Lane-Emden type, Ann. Math., 168 (2008), 859–914.
- [23] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Existence of positive solutions of the Lane-Emden system, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 46 (1998), suppl., 369–380.
- [24] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities, Acta Math., 189 (2002), 79–142.
- [25] Ph. Souplet, The proof of the Lane-Emden conjecture in 4 space dimensions, Adv. Math., 221 (2009), 1409–1427.
- [26] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of function, Princetion Math. Series, Vol. 30. Princetion University Press, 1970.
- [27] E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, Fractional integrals in n-dimensional Euclidean space, J. Math. Mech., 7 (1958), 503–514.
- [28] K. Tso, Remarks on critical exponents for Hessian operators, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, 7 1990, 113–122.