## Estimation of the Sobolev embedding constant on domains with minimally smooth boundary

Kazuaki Tanaka<sup>1,∗</sup>, Kouta Sekine<sup>2</sup>, Makoto Mizuguchi<sup>1</sup>, Shin'ichi Oishi<sup>2,3</sup>

 $1$  Graduate School of Fundamental Science and Engineering, Waseda University,  $2$ Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, <sup>3</sup>CREST, JST

Abstract. In this paper, we propose a method for estimating the Sobolev type embedding constant from  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$  on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$  with minimally smooth boundary, where  $p \in (n/(n-1), \infty)$  and  $q = np/(n+p)$ . We estimat the embedding constant by constructing an extension operator from  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  to  $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and computing its operator norm. We also present some examples of estimating the embedding constant for certain domains.

Key words: embedding constant; extension operator; Sobolev inequality

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$  be a domain with minimally smooth boundary, whose definition will be introduced in Definition [2.4.](#page-2-0) We are concerned with a concrete value of the embedding constant  $C_p(\Omega)$  from  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$ , i.e.,  $C_p(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$
||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}(\Omega) ||u||_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega),
$$
\n(1)

where  $p \in (n/(n-1), \infty)$ ,  $q = np/(n+p)$ , and the norm  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -weighted  $W^{1,q}$ norm defined as

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q := \|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q + \sigma \, \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q \tag{2}
$$

for given  $\sigma > 0$ .

Since the Sobolev type embedding theorems are important in studies on partial differential equations (PDEs), there have been a lot of works on such theorems and their applications, e.g., [\[2,](#page-19-0) [3,](#page-20-0) [4,](#page-20-1) [6,](#page-20-2) [7,](#page-20-3) [8,](#page-20-4) [11,](#page-20-5) [12,](#page-20-6) [13,](#page-20-7) [14,](#page-20-8) [15,](#page-20-9) [17,](#page-20-10) [18,](#page-20-11) [19,](#page-20-12) [20,](#page-21-0) [21\]](#page-21-1). In particular, a concrete value of the embedding constant is indispensable for verified numerical computation and computeassisted proof for PDEs; see, e.g., [\[13,](#page-20-7) [14,](#page-20-8) [15,](#page-20-9) [18\]](#page-20-11). We shall remark that the best constant in the classical Sobolev inequality on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was independently shown by Aubin [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and Talenti [\[19\]](#page-20-12) in 1976 (see Theorem [A.1\)](#page-18-0). Moreover, since all elements u in  $W_0^{k,q}$  $C_0^{k,q}(\Omega)$ , the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ commonly defined, can be regarded as elements of  $W^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by zero extension outside  $\Omega$ , the embedding constant satisfying [\(1\)](#page-0-0) with the restriction  $u \in W_0^{k,q}$  $\binom{\kappa}{0}$  can be also estimated for a general domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  by calculating the classical embedding constant on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Removing the restriction, however, such a simple extension cannot be constructed. To estimate the embedding constant without the restriction, we construct a linear and bounded operator E from  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ to  $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $(Eu)(x) = u(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , which is called the extension operator from  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  to  $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We then estimate bounds for the operator norm  $A_q(\Omega)$  of E satisfying

$$
\|\nabla (Eu)\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq A_q(\Omega) \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} + \sigma^{1/q} \|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \right), \quad \forall u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega), \tag{3}
$$

which will lead bounds for the embedding constant. There have been some construction methods for the extension operators. For example, the reflection method originates from Whitney [\[21\]](#page-21-1) and

 $E\text{-}mail\;addresse\text{: "imahazimari@fuji.waseda.jpg}$  $E\text{-}mail\;addresse\text{: "imahazimari@fuji.waseda.jpg}$  $E\text{-}mail\;addresse\text{: "imahazimari@fuji.waseda.jpg}$ 

Hestenes  $[11]$ , whose summary can be found in, e.g.,  $[1, 5]$  $[1, 5]$ . The Calder $\acute{o}n$  extension theorem originates from [\[7\]](#page-20-3), which is summarized in, e.g., [\[1\]](#page-19-1). Moreover, Stein [\[17\]](#page-20-10) has shown that extension operators can be constructed on domains with minimally smooth boundary.

The main contribution of this paper is to propose a formula giving a concrete value of  $A_q(\Omega)$ for the extension operator constructed by Stein's method. Stein first constructed an extension operator on the special Lipschitz domain and then expanded this to that on domains with minimally smooth boundary. In his method, the regularized distance plays an important role, which is a  $C^{\infty}$  function approximating the distance from a given closed set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to any point in its complement  $S<sup>c</sup>$ . After the appearance of Stein's construction method, the regularized distance was generalized to a one-parameter family of smooth functions by Fraenkel [\[9\]](#page-20-14). We will construct extension operators using Stein's method with the generalized regularized distance to derive the embedding constant.

# 2 Preparation

Through out this paper, the following notation is used:

- $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\};$
- $B(x, r)$  is the open ball whose center is x and whose radius is  $r \geq 0$ ;
- for any point  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $|x| := (|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;
- for any set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S^c$  is its complementary set and  $\overline{S}$  is its closure set;
- for any set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define  $S^{\varepsilon} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : B(x, \varepsilon) \subset S\};$
- for any point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , define dist  $(x, S) := \inf\{|x y| : y \in S\};$
- for any function f, supp f denotes the support of f;
- for any function f over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f'$  denotes the ordinary derivative of f;
- for any function f over  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots), \partial_{x_i} f$  denotes the partial derivative of f with respect to the *i*-th component  $x_i$  of  $x$ .

Let  $L^p(\Omega)$   $(1 \leq p < \infty)$  be the functional space of p-th power Lebesgue integrable functions over Ω. Let  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le p < \infty$ ) be the k-th order  $L^p$  Sobolev space on Ω; in particular, we denote  $H^k(\Omega) := W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Mollifier). A nonnegative function  $\rho \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be a mollifier if

$$
\rho(x) = 0
$$
 for  $|x| \ge 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x) dx = 1$ .

For example, the function

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\rho(x) := \begin{cases} c \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1-|x|^2}\right), & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \ge 1 \end{cases} \tag{4}
$$

becomes a mollifier, where c is chosen so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x) dx = 1$ .

In the following lemma, existence of a  $C^{\infty}$  function approximating Lipschitz continuous functions is guaranteed.

**Lemma 2.1** (L.E. Fraenkel [\[9\]](#page-20-14)). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying Lipschitz continuous condition, i.e.,

$$
\left|f\left(x\right)-f\left(y\right)\right|\leq M\left|x-y\right|,\ \ \forall x,y\in\mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

holds for some  $M > 0$ . Suppose that there is an open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , s.t.,  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in G$ . Then, for given any  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ , there is a function  $g \in C^{\infty}(G)$  such that, for all  $x \in G$ 

$$
(1+\varepsilon)^{-2} f(x) \le g(x) \le (1-\varepsilon)^{-2} f(x),
$$
\n(5)

and

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}g(x)\right| \le P_{\alpha}M^{\alpha}\left\{\varepsilon f\left(x\right)\right\}^{1-|\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n} \text{ with } |\alpha| \ge 1. \tag{6}
$$

Here,  $P_{\alpha}$  is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$ .

**Remark 2.1.** One of the concrete values of  $P_\alpha$  can be derived as follows: Let  $\rho$  be the mollifier defined in [\(4\)](#page-1-0). Let  $\rho_* : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function, s.t.,  $\rho_*(|x|) = \rho(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The multi-index  $\alpha$  is written as  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$  for  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\gamma| = 1$ . Then, the inequality [\(6\)](#page-2-1) holds for

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
P_{\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\beta}} \rho_1(y) \right| (1+|y|)^{|\beta|}}{(1-|y|)} dy,
$$
\n
$$
(7)
$$

where  $\rho_1(y) := (n-1) \rho_*(|y|) + |y| \rho'_*(|y|)$ .

By applying the above lemma to the distance function, the regularized distance for any closed set can be derived:

**Definition 2.2** (Regularized distance). Let S be a closed set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For given any  $\xi \in (0,1)$ , there exists a function  $\text{RD}_{S,\xi} \in C^{\infty}$  (S<sup>c</sup>) such that, for all  $x \in S^c$ ,

$$
(1 + \xi)^{-2} \text{dist}(x, S) \le \text{RD}_{S, \xi}(x) \le (1 - \xi)^{-2} \text{dist}(x, S)
$$
\n(8)

and

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\text{RD}_{S,\xi}(x)\right| \le P_{\alpha}\left(\xi\,\text{dist}(x, S)\right)^{1-|\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n} \text{ with } |\alpha| \ge 1. \tag{9}
$$

The function  $R\text{D}_{S,\xi}$  is called regularized distance from S.

Next, we introduce two types of open sets:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Definition 2.3** (Special Lipschitz domain [\[17\]](#page-20-10)). Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$  be a function satisfying the Lipschitz condition, i.e.,

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \le M|x - y|, \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}
$$

holds for some  $M > 0$ . Then,  $\Omega$  is called a special Lipschitz domain if it is written as  $\Omega :=$  $\{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \phi(x')\}$  with  $x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .

The positive number M in Definition [2.3](#page-2-2) is called the Lipschitz constant of  $\Omega$ . Generalizing the special Lipschitz domain, the domain with minimally smooth boundary is defined as follows:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Definition 2.4** (Domain with minimally smooth boundary [\[17\]](#page-20-10)). An open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n =$  $2, 3, \dots$ ) is said to be a domain with minimally smooth boundary if there exist  $\varepsilon > 0, N \in$  $\mathbb{N}, M > 0$ , and a sequence  $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

- 1. for any  $x \in \partial \Omega$ ,  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset U_i$  holds for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- 2. no point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to more than N of the  $U_i$ ;
- 3. for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a special Lipschitz domain  $\Omega_i$ , whose Lipschitz bound is not more than M, such that  $U_i \cap \Omega = U_i \cap \Omega_i$ .

The positive number M in Definition [2.4](#page-2-0) is called the Lipschitz constant of  $\Omega$ , and N in Definition [2.4](#page-2-0) is called the overlap number of  $\Omega$ . To avoid confusion, M and N are sometimes denoted by  $M_{\Omega}$  and  $N_{\Omega}$ , respectively.

### <span id="page-3-2"></span>3 Construction of extension operator

Here, we describe Stein's construction method for extension operators [\[17\]](#page-20-10). Stein first constructed an extension operator on a special Lipschitz domain. He then expanded this to that on a domain with minimally smooth boundary.

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>3.1 Extension operator on special Lipschitz domain

Let  $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$  be a special Lipschitz domain; namely,  $\Omega'$  is written as the form  $\Omega' := \{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \phi(x')\},\ x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  with a Lipschitz continuous function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  whose Lipschitz constant is  $M_{\Omega'}$ . For given  $\xi > 0$ , let  $RD_{\Omega',\xi}$  be the regularized distance with the bound  $P_{\alpha}$  as in [\(9\)](#page-2-3). Moreover, for given  $\tau > 0$ , let us define  $g_{\Omega',\tau,\xi}^* := (1+\tau) C_{\Omega',\xi} \text{RD}_{\Omega',\xi} \text{ with } C_{\Omega',\xi} := (1+\xi)^2 \sqrt{1+M_{\Omega'}^2}$ . Then, for any  $k \in N_0$  and any  $p \in [1,\infty)$ , the operator  $E_{\Omega',\tau,\xi}$  defined by

$$
(E_{\Omega',\tau,\xi}u) (x',x_n)
$$
  

$$
:= \begin{cases} u(x',x_n), & \forall (x',x_n) \in \overline{\Omega'}, \\ \int_1^{\infty} u (x',x_n + t g_{\Omega',\tau,\xi}^* (x',x_n)) \psi(t) dt, & \forall (x',x_n) \in (\overline{\Omega'})^c \end{cases}
$$
(10)

becomes extension operator from  $W^{k,p}(\Omega')$  to  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying the following property

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \psi(t) dt = 1, \quad \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{m} \psi(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
 (11)

Note that, since  $(1 + M_{\Omega'}^2)^{-1/2}$  dist  $(x, (\overline{\Omega'})^c) \ge \phi(x') - x_n$  for all  $(x', x_n) \in (\overline{\Omega'})^c$ , we have  $g_{\Omega',\tau,\xi}^*(x',x_n) \ge (1+\tau)(\phi(x')-x_n).$ 

**Remark 3.1.** The extension operator on a special Lipschitz domain presented here is a little general one. That is to say, Stein set  $\tau$  and  $\xi$  in concrete values because he focused on just proving the existence of the extension operators in his original theory [\[17\]](#page-20-10). However, the selections of  $\tau$ and  $\xi$  are important for accuracy of the corresponding embedding constant.

#### 3.2 Extension operator on domain with minimally smooth boundary

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain with minimally smooth boundary. Let  ${U_i}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence as in Def-inition [2.4.](#page-2-0) Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number satisfying that  $U_i^{\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon}$  $i^{\frac{3}{4}\epsilon}$  are not empty for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and if dist  $(x, \partial \Omega) \leq \varepsilon/2$  then  $x \in U_i^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$  $i^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$  holds for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\rho$  be a mollifier, and put  $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) :=$  $\varepsilon^{-n} \rho \left(x \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ . Let  $\chi_i$  be the characteristic function of  $U_i^{\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon}$  $\lambda_i^{\bar{z}}$ <sup> $\bar{z}$ </sup>, and put  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}(x) := (\chi_i * \rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon})(x)$ . Put

$$
U_0 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, \Omega) < \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon \right\},
$$
\n
$$
U_+ = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) < \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon \right\},
$$

 $U_-=\begin{cases} x\in\Omega \; : \; \text{dist}\,(x,\partial\Omega)>\frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$  $\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ .

and

Let  $\chi_0$ ,  $\chi_+$ , and  $\chi_-$  be the corresponding characteristic functions of  $U_0$ ,  $U_+$ , and  $U_-$ , respectively. Let  $\lambda_0^{\varepsilon} := \chi_0 * \rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_+^{\varepsilon} := \chi_+ * \rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}$ , and  $\lambda_-^{\varepsilon} := \chi_- * \rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}$ . Put

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\Lambda_+^\varepsilon:=\lambda_0^\varepsilon\frac{\lambda_+^\varepsilon}{\lambda_+^\varepsilon+\lambda_-^\varepsilon}\ \ \text{and}\ \ \Lambda_-^\varepsilon:=\lambda_0^\varepsilon\frac{\lambda_-^\varepsilon}{\lambda_+^\varepsilon+\lambda_-^\varepsilon}.
$$

To each  $U_i$  there corresponds a special Lipschitz domain  $\Omega_i$  as in Definition [2.4.](#page-2-0) Let  $E^i_{\Omega_i, \tau, \xi}$  be the extension operator for each  $\Omega_i$  constructed by [\(10\)](#page-3-0). For any  $k \in N_0$  and any  $p \in [1,\infty)$ , the following operator  $E_{\Omega,\tau,\xi,\varepsilon}$  becomes extension operator from  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  to  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

$$
(E_{\Omega,\tau,\xi,\varepsilon}u)(x) := \Lambda^{\varepsilon}_{+}(x) \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x) E_{\Omega_{i},\tau,\xi}^{i}(\lambda_{i}^{\varepsilon}u)(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x)^{2}} \right) + \Lambda^{\varepsilon}_{-}(x) u(x)
$$
(12)

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Here, one can observe that

- supp  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon} \subset U_i$ , and  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon} (x) = 1$  if  $x \in U_i^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$  $i^{2}$ ;
- if  $x \in \text{supp }\Lambda^{\varepsilon}_+$ , then  $\sum$ i∈N  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq 1;$
- bounds of the derivatives of  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}$  are independent on i but depend only on the  $L^1$  norm of the corresponding derivatives of  $\rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}$ ;
- $\lambda_0^{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ;
- $\lambda^{\varepsilon}_+(x) = 1$  if dist  $(x, \partial \Omega) \leq \varepsilon/2$ ;
- $\lambda_{-}^{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \Omega$  and dist  $(x, \partial \Omega) \geq \varepsilon/2$ ;
- the supports of  $\lambda_0^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_+^{\varepsilon}$ , and  $\lambda_-^{\varepsilon}$  are contained in the  $\varepsilon/2$ -neighborhood of  $\Omega$ , in the  $\varepsilon$ neighborhood of  $\partial Ω$ , and in  $Ω$ , respectively;
- the functions  $\lambda_0^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\lambda_+^{\varepsilon}$ , and  $\lambda_-^{\varepsilon}$  are bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and all their partial derivatives are also bounded;
- all the derivatives of  $\Lambda^{\varepsilon}_{+}$  and  $\Lambda^{\varepsilon}_{-}$  are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ;
- $\Lambda_{+}^{\varepsilon} + \Lambda_{-}^{\varepsilon}$  is 1 on  $\overline{\Omega}$  and is 0 outside the  $\varepsilon/2$ -neighborhood of  $\Omega$ .

**Remark 3.2.** In Stein's original method [\[17\]](#page-20-10), the assumption for  $\varepsilon > 0$  is just to be small enough. However, since bounds for the derivatives of  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}$  increase with decreasing  $\varepsilon$ , a small  $\varepsilon$ makes the corresponding extension constant large. Due to this, we should select the value of  $\varepsilon$ with taking this property in consideration. The selections of  $\varepsilon$  for concrete domains  $\Omega$  can be seen in Subsection [5.2.](#page-15-0)

### 4 Formula for estimating operator norm

Let us first present the following lemma, which gives bounds for the operator norm of the extension operator on special Lipschitz domains constructed by the method in Subsection [3.1.](#page-3-1)

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Lemma 4.1.** For a special Lipschitz domain  $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n = 2, 3, \dots)$ , let  $E = E_{\Omega', \tau, \xi}$  be the extension operator constructed by [\(10\)](#page-3-0). Then,

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
||Eu||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq A_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega') ||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega')}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(\Omega')
$$
\n(13)

and

$$
\|\nabla \left(Eu\right)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le A'_{p,\tau,\xi}\left(\Omega'\right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega')}, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega')\tag{14}
$$

hold for

$$
A_{p,\tau,\xi}\left(\Omega'\right) = \left\{(A_0Q)^p + 1\right\}^{1/p}
$$

and

$$
A'_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega')
$$
  
= max  $\left\{2^{p-1} (A_0 Q)^p + 1, [(n-1) 2^{p-1} (BQ)^p + ((A_0 + B) Q)^p + 1]^{1/p}\right\}$ 

respectively. Here:

 $-$  A<sub>0</sub> and A<sub>1</sub> are constants satisfying  $|\psi(t)| \leq A_0/t^2$   $(t \geq 1)$  and  $|\psi(t)| \leq A_1/t^3$   $(t \geq 1)$ , respectively;

<span id="page-5-1"></span>,

 $-P$  is corresponding to  $P_{\alpha}$  with  $|\alpha|=1$ .

 $-$  Q and B are defined as

$$
Q (= Q_{\Omega', \tau, \xi, p}) := \frac{p (1 + \tau) (1 + \xi)^2}{(p + 1)\tau^{1 + 1/p} (1 - \xi)^2} \sqrt{1 + M_{\Omega'}^2}
$$

and

$$
B (= B_{\Omega',\xi,\tau}) := A_1 P (1+\xi)^2 (1+\tau) \sqrt{1 + M_{\Omega'}^2}.
$$

Proof. Since  $C^{\infty}(\Omega')$  is dense in  $H^1(\Omega')$ , it suffices to consider  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega')$ . Moreover,  $\Omega'$  is written as the form  $\Omega' := \{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \phi(x')\}, x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  with a Lipschitz continuous function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  whose Lipschitz constant is  $M_{\Omega'}$ . Hereafter, we write  $u_y = \partial_y u$ ,  $g^* = g^*_{\Omega', \tau, \xi}$ ,  $g^*_y = \partial_y g^*$ , for simplicity.

# The first step: estimating  $A_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega')$

If  $y < \phi(x)$  with  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,

$$
|(Eu)(x,y)| = \left| \int_{1}^{\infty} u(x, y + tg^*(x, y)) \psi(t) dt \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq A_0 \int_{1}^{\infty} |u(x, y + tg^*(x, y))| \frac{dt}{t^2}.
$$
\n(15)

Setting  $z := y - \phi(x)$ , we have  $g^*(x, y) \ge (1 + \tau)(\phi(x) - y) = (1 + \tau) |z|$ . We also have  $\phi(x) - y$  $y \geq \text{dist}((x, y), \overline{\Omega'})$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since dist  $((x, y), \overline{\Omega'}) \geq (1 - \xi)^2 \text{RD}_{\Omega', \xi}(x, y)$ holds, it follows that

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
|z| = \phi(x) - y \ge \text{dist}((x, y), \overline{\Omega'})
$$
  
 
$$
\ge (1 - \xi)^2 \text{RD}_{\Omega', \xi}(x, y)
$$
  
 
$$
= (1 - \xi)^2 (1 + \tau)^{-1} C_{\Omega', \xi}^{-1} g^*(x, y).
$$
 (16)

Now, recall that  $g^* = (1 + \tau) C_{\Omega', \xi} R D_{\Omega', \xi}$ . From [\(16\)](#page-5-0), we obtain  $g^*(x, y) \leq a |z|$ , where  $a$  (=  $a_{\Omega',\tau,\xi}:=(1+\tau)(1+\xi)^2(1-\xi)^{-2}\sqrt{1+M_{\Omega'}^2}$ . Putting  $s=z+tg^*(x,y)$ , it follows from [\(15\)](#page-5-1) that

$$
|(Eu)(x,y)| \le A_0 \int_1^{\infty} |u(x, y + tg^*(x, y))| \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
  
=  $A_0 g^*(x, y) \int_{z+g^*(x, y)}^{\infty} |u(x, s + \phi(x))| (s - z)^{-2} ds$   
 $\le A_0 a |z| \int_{\tau|z|}^{\infty} |u(x, s + \phi(x))| (s - z)^{-2} ds$ 

$$
\leq A_0 a |z| \int_{\tau|z|}^{\infty} |u(x, s + \phi(x))| s^{-2} ds.
$$

By changing the variable integration as  $(\tau(y - \phi(x)) = \tau z = w$ , we have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\phi(x)} |(Eu)(x, y)|^p dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\frac{aA_0}{\tau}\right)^p \int_{-\infty}^{\phi(x)} \left(\tau |z| \int_{\tau |z|}^{\infty} |u(x, s + \phi(x))| s^{-2} ds\right)^p dy, \ z = y - \phi(x)
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{aA_0}{\tau^{1+1/p}}\right)^p \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(|w| \int_{|w|}^{\infty} |u(x, s + \phi(x))| s^{-2} ds\right)^p dw
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{aA_0}{\tau^{1+1/p}}\right)^p \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_{|w|}^{\infty} |u(x, s + \phi(x))| s^{-2} ds\right)^p |w|^{(p+1)-1} dw.
$$

Hardy's inequality, which can be found in Lemma [B.1,](#page-18-1) gives

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\phi(x)} |(Eu)(x, y)|^p dy \le \left(\frac{pA_0a}{(p+1)\tau^{1+1/p}}\right)^p \int_0^\infty \left(|u(x, s+\phi(x))| s^{-1}\right)^p s^p ds
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{pA_0a}{(p+1)\tau^{1+1/p}}\right)^p \int_0^\infty |u(x, s+\phi(x))|^p ds
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{pA_0a}{(p+1)\tau^{1+1/p}}\right)^p \int_{\phi(x)}^\infty |u(x, y)|^p dy. \tag{17}
$$

Moreover, from the definition [\(10\)](#page-3-0) of the extension operator, we have

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |(Eu)(x,y)|^p dy = \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u(x,y)|^p dy.
$$
 (18)

From [\(17\)](#page-6-0) and [\(18\)](#page-6-1), it follows that

$$
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(Eu)(x,y)|^p dy\right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq \left\{(A_0Q)^p + 1\right\}^{1/p} \left(\int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u(x,y)|^p dy\right)^{1/p},
$$
 (19)

where  $Q = Q_{\Omega', \tau, \xi, p} := pa_{\Omega', \tau, \xi}/\{(p+1)\tau^{1+1/p}\}\.$  Integrating the both side of [\(19\)](#page-6-2) by x, we find that [\(13\)](#page-4-0) holds for

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
A_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega') = \{(A_0Q)^p + 1\}^{1/p}.
$$

The second step: estimating  $A'_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega')$ 

The inequality [\(9\)](#page-2-3) ensures that  $|g_{x_j}^*(x,y)| \leq B/A_1$  for  $j \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}$ . If  $y < \phi(x)$  with  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,

$$
\partial_y \left( Eu\right)(x, y) = \partial_y \int_1^\infty u\left(x, y + tg^* \left(x, y\right)\right) \psi\left(t\right) dt
$$

$$
= \int_1^\infty u_y\left(x, y + tg^* \left(x, y\right)\right) \left(1 + tg^*_y\left(x, y\right)\right) \psi\left(t\right) dt
$$

$$
= \int_{1}^{\infty} u_y(x, y + t g^*(x, y)) \psi(t) dt
$$
  
+  $g_y^*(x, y) \int_{1}^{\infty} u_y(x, y + t g^*(x, y)) t \psi(t) dt.$ 

Therefore, we have

$$
\left| \frac{\partial_y (Eu)(x, y)}{\partial x} \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left| \int_1^\infty u_y (x, y + t g^* (x, y)) \psi(t) dt \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left| g^*_y (x, y) \right| \left| \int_1^\infty u_y (x, y + t g^* (x, y)) t^3 \psi(t) \frac{dt}{t^2} \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (A_0 + B) \int_1^\infty |u_y (x, y + t g^* (x, y))| \frac{dt}{t^2}, \quad y < \phi(x).
$$

From the similar discussion in the first step, we have

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_y (Eu)(x, y)|^p dy \le \left[ \{ (A_0 + B) Q \}^p + 1 \right] \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u_y (x, y)|^p dy. \tag{20}
$$

On the other hand, for  $j\in\{1,2,\cdots,n-1\}$  and  $y<\phi\left(x\right),$ 

$$
\partial_{x_j} (Eu)(x, y) \n= \partial_{x_j} \int_1^{\infty} u(x, y + t g^*(x, y)) \psi(t) dt \n= \int_1^{\infty} \left\{ u_{x_j} (x, y + t g^*(x, y)) + u_y (x, y + t g^*(x, y)) t g_{x_j}^*(x, y) \right\} \psi(t) dt \n= \int_1^{\infty} u_{x_j} (x, y + t g^*(x, y)) \psi(t) dt \n+ g_{x_j}^*(x, y) \int_1^{\infty} u_y (x, y + t g^*(x, y)) t \psi(t) dt.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left| \partial_{x_j} (Eu)(x, y) \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left| \int_1^{\infty} u_{x_j} (x, y + t g^* (x, y)) \psi(t) dt \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left| g_{x_j}^* (x, y) \right| \left| \int_1^{\infty} u_y (x, y + t g^* (x, y)) t \psi(t) dt \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq A_0 \int_1^{\infty} \left| u_{x_j} (x, y + t g^* (x, y)) \right| \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ B \int_1^{\infty} \left| u_y (x, y + t g^* (x, y)) \right| \frac{dt}{t^2}, y < \phi(x).
$$

Since  $(s+t)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(s^p+t^p)$  holds for  $s, t > 0$  and  $p > 1$ , it follows from the similar discussion in [\(17\)](#page-6-0) that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\phi(x)} \left| \partial_{x_j} \left( E u \right) (x, y) \right|^p dy
$$
  

$$
\leq 2^{p-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\phi(x)} \left| A_0 a \right| z \left| \int_{\tau |z|}^{\infty} \left| u_{x_j} \left( x, s + \phi(x) \right) \right| s^{-2} ds \right|^p dy
$$

$$
+ 2^{p-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\phi(x)} \left| Ba \left| z \right| \int_{\tau|z|}^{\infty} \left| u_y \left( x, s + \phi(x) \right) \right| s^{-2} ds \right|^p dy
$$
  

$$
\leq 2^{p-1} \left( A_0 Q \right)^p \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} \left| u_{x_j} \left( x, y \right) \right|^p dy + 2^{p-1} \left( B Q \right)^p \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} \left| u_y \left( x, y \right) \right|^p dy.
$$

Therefore,

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \partial_{x_j} \left( E u \right) (x, y) \right|^p dy \leq \left\{ 2^{p-1} \left( A_0 Q \right)^p + 1 \right\} \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} \left| u_{x_j} \left( x, y \right) \right|^p dy
$$

$$
+ 2^{p-1} \left( B Q \right)^p \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} \left| u_y \left( x, y \right) \right|^p dy \tag{21}
$$

for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . From [\(20\)](#page-7-0) and [\(21\)](#page-8-0), we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_{x_j} (Eu)(x, y)|^p dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_{x_j} (Eu)(x, y)|^p dy + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_{y} (Eu)(x, y)|^p dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \{2^{p-1} (A_0 Q)^p + 1\} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u_{x_j} (x, y)|^p dy
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (n - 1) 2^{p-1} (BQ)^p \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u_y (x, y)|^p dy
$$
  
\n
$$
+ [{(A_0 + B) Q}^p + 1] \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u_y (x, y)|^p dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \{2^{p-1} (A_0 Q)^p + 1\} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u_{x_j} (x, y)|^p dy
$$
  
\n
$$
+ [(n - 1) 2^{p-1} (BQ)^p + {(A_0 + B) Q}^p + 1] \int_{\phi(x)}^{\infty} |u_y (x, y)|^p dy
$$

This ensures that the inequality [\(14\)](#page-4-1) holds for

$$
A'_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega')
$$
  
= max  $\{2^{p-1} (A_0 Q)^p + 1, [(n-1) 2^{p-1} (BQ)^p + {(A_0 + B) Q}^p + 1]^{1/p}\}.$ 

The following formula enable us to estimate the operator norm  $A_q(\Omega)$  for the extension operator on domains with minimally smooth boundary constructed by the method in Section [3.](#page-3-2)

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Theorem 4.1.** For a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n = 2, 3, \cdots)$  with minimally smooth boundary, let  $E = E_{\Omega, \tau, \xi, \varepsilon}$  be the extension operator constructed by [\(12\)](#page-4-2). Then, letting  $\gamma$  be a given positive number,

$$
\|\nabla \left( Eu\right)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le A_p\left(\Omega\right) \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \gamma \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right), \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \tag{22}
$$

holds for

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
A_p(\Omega) = \begin{cases} NA' + 1, & R \le \gamma, \\ b_\varepsilon \left(6NA + NA' + 3\right) n^{1/p} / \gamma, & R > \gamma, \end{cases} \tag{23}
$$

where N is the overlap number of  $\Omega$ ,  $b_{\varepsilon}$  is a positive number satisfying  $b_{\varepsilon} \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{x_j} \rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}(x)| dx$ for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ , and  $R := b_{\varepsilon} (6NA + NA' + 3)n^{1/p}/(NA' + 1)$ . The constants A and A' are determined by  $A = \sup\{A_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ and  $A' = \sup\{A'_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ for the operator norms  $A_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega_i)$  and  $A'_{p,\tau,\xi}(\Omega_i)$  of  $E^i$  (=  $E^i_{\Omega_i,\tau,\xi}$ ) satisfying [\(13\)](#page-4-0) and [\(14\)](#page-4-1) with the notational replacement  $\Omega' = \Omega_i$ , respectively.

*Proof.* For any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$
\left|\partial_{x_j}\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}\right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\partial_{x_j}\rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}(x)\right| dx \le b_{\varepsilon};\tag{24}
$$

this bound does not depend on the index *i*. Likewise,  $|\partial_{x_j} \lambda_0^{\varepsilon}|$ ,  $|\partial_{x_j} \lambda_1^{\varepsilon}|$ , and  $|\partial_{x_j} \lambda_1^{\varepsilon}|$  are bounded by  $b_{\varepsilon}$ . Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{x_j} \Lambda_+^\varepsilon \right| &= \left| \left( \partial_{x_j} \lambda_0^\varepsilon \right) \frac{\lambda_+^\varepsilon}{\lambda_+^\varepsilon + \lambda_-^\varepsilon} + \lambda_0^\varepsilon \frac{\left( \partial_{x_j} \lambda_+^\varepsilon \right) \left( \lambda_+^\varepsilon + \lambda_-^\varepsilon \right) - \lambda_+^\varepsilon \left( \partial_{x_j} \lambda_+^\varepsilon + \partial_{x_j} \lambda_-^\varepsilon \right)}{\left( \lambda_+^\varepsilon + \lambda_-^\varepsilon \right)^2} \right| \\ & \leq 3 b_\varepsilon =: b_+. \end{aligned}
$$

It is easily confirmed that  $\left|\partial_{x_j}\Lambda^{\varepsilon}_-\right|$  is also bounded by  $3b_{\varepsilon}=:b_{-}$  (we distinguish  $b_{+}$  and  $b_{-}$  to avoid confusion in the following proof). Hereafter, we simply denote  $\begin{array}{c} \Box \end{array}$ i  $U_i^{\varepsilon/2}$  $\int_i^{\varepsilon/2}$  by  $U^*, \sum$ i∈N by  $\sum$ ,

 $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}$  by  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\Lambda_+^{\varepsilon}$  by  $\Lambda_+$ , and  $\Lambda_-^{\varepsilon}$  by  $\Lambda_-$ . For  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$
\|\nabla (Eu)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}\n= \left(\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\partial_{x_{j}} \left(Eu\right)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}\n\leq \left(\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\left(\partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda_{+}\right) \left(\frac{\sum \lambda_{i} E^{i} \left(\lambda_{i} u\right)}{\sum \lambda_{i}^{2}}\right)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}\n+\left(\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\Lambda_{+} \left(\circ\right)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}\n+\left(\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\left(\partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda_{-}\right) u\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}+\left(\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\Lambda_{-} \left(\partial_{x_{j}} u\right)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p},\n\tag{25}
$$

where

$$
\circ := \frac{\left(\partial_{x_j} \sum \lambda_i E^i \left(\lambda_i u\right)\right) \left(\sum \lambda_i^2\right) - \left(\sum \lambda_i E^i \left(\lambda_i u\right)\right) \left(\partial_{x_j} \sum \lambda_i^2\right)}{\left(\sum \lambda_i^2\right)^2}.
$$

From Lemma [B.2,](#page-18-2) the first term of [\(25\)](#page-9-0) is evaluated as

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\left(\sum_{j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|(\partial_{x_{j}}\Lambda_{+})\left(\frac{\sum\lambda_{i}E^{i}\left(\lambda_{i}u\right)}{\sum\lambda_{i}^{2}}\right)\right|^{p}dx\right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{+}\left(\sum_{j}\int_{U^{*}}\left|\frac{\sum\lambda_{i}E^{i}\left(\lambda_{i}u\right)}{\sum\lambda_{i}^{2}}\right|^{p}dx\right)^{1/p}
$$

$$
\leq b_{+} n^{1/p} \left( \int_{U^*} \left| \sum \lambda_i E^i (\lambda_i u) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{+} N^{1-1/p} n^{1/p} \left( \sum \int_{U_i} \left| E^i (\lambda_i u) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{+} N^{1-1/p} A n^{1/p} \left( \sum \int_{\Omega} |\lambda_i u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{+} N A n^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.
$$

The second term of [\(25\)](#page-9-0) is evaluated as

$$
\left(\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\Lambda_{+}(0)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^{*}} \left|\frac{\partial_{x_{j}} \sum \lambda_{i} E^{i} (\lambda_{i} u)}{\sum \lambda_{i}^{2}}\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^{*}} \left|\frac{\left(\sum \lambda_{i} E^{i} (\lambda_{i} u)\right) \left(\partial_{x_{j}} \sum \lambda_{i}^{2}\right)}{\left(\sum \lambda_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}.
$$
\n(26)

The first term of [\(26\)](#page-10-0) is evaluated as

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \frac{\partial_{x_j} \sum \lambda_i E^i(\lambda_i u)}{\sum \lambda_i^2} \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \frac{\sum (\partial_{x_j} \lambda_i) E^i(\lambda_i u) + \sum \lambda_i (\partial_{x_j} E^i(\lambda_i u))}{\sum \lambda_i^2} \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \sum (\partial_{x_j} \lambda_i) E^i(\lambda_i u) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \sum \lambda_i (\partial_{x_j} E^i(\lambda_i u)) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.
$$
\n(27)

The first term of [\(27\)](#page-10-1) is evaluated as

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \sum_{i} \left( \partial_{x_j} \lambda_i \right) E^i \left( \lambda_i u \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq \left( \sum_{j} N^{p-1} \sum_{i} \int_{U^*} \left| \left( \partial_{x_j} \lambda_i \right) E^i \left( \lambda_i u \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq N^{1-1/p} \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \int_{U_i} b_{\varepsilon}^p \left| E^i \left( \lambda_i u \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$

$$
\leq b_{\varepsilon} N^{1-1/p} \left( \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \int_{U_{i}} \left| E^{i} \left( \lambda_{i} u \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{\varepsilon} N^{1-1/p} n^{1/p} \left( \sum_{i} \int_{U_{i}} \left| E^{i} \left( \lambda_{i} u \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{\varepsilon} N^{1-1/p} An^{1/p} \left( \sum_{i} \int_{\Omega} |\lambda_{i} u|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq b_{\varepsilon} N An^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}.
$$

The second term of [\(27\)](#page-10-1) is evaluated as

$$
\left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^{*}} \left| \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \left( \partial_{x_{j}} E^{i} \left( \lambda_{i} u \right) \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\sum_{j} N^{p-1} \sum_{i} \int_{U^{*}} \left| \lambda_{i} \left( \partial_{x_{j}} E^{i} \left( \lambda_{i} u \right) \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
\leq N^{1-1/p} \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \int_{U_{i}} \left| \partial_{x_{j}} E^{i} \left( \lambda_{i} u \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
\leq N^{1-1/p} A' \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \int_{U_{i}} \left| \partial_{x_{j}} \left( \lambda_{i} u \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
= N^{1-1/p} A' \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \int_{\Omega} \left| \left( \partial_{x_{j}} \lambda_{i} \right) u + \lambda_{i} \left( \partial_{x_{j}} u \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
\leq N^{1-1/p} A' \left(\sum_{i} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j} \left| \left( \partial_{x_{j}} \lambda_{i} \right) u \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
+ N^{1-1/p} A' \left(\sum_{i} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j} \left| \lambda_{i} \left( \partial_{x_{j}} u \right) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
\n
$$
\leq N A' \left\{ \left( \sum_{j} b_{\varepsilon}^{p} \int_{\Omega} \left| u \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j} \left| \partial_{x_{j}} u \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\leq N A' \left\{ b_{\varepsilon} n^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| u \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j} \left| \partial_{x_{j}} u \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} \right\}.
$$

The second term of [\(26\)](#page-10-0) is evaluated as

$$
\left(\sum_{j}\int_{U^*}\left|\frac{\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_i E^i\left(\lambda_i u\right)\right)\left(\partial_{x_j}\sum_{i} \lambda_i^2\right)}{\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_i^2\right)^2}\right|^p dx\right)^{1/p}
$$

$$
\leq \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \frac{\left(\sum \lambda_i E^i \left(\lambda_i u\right)\right) \left(2 \sum \frac{\partial_{x_j} \lambda_i}{\lambda_i} \lambda_i^2\right)}{\left(\sum \lambda_i^2\right)^2} \right|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \n\leq \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \frac{\left(\sum \lambda_i E^i \left(\lambda_i u\right)\right) \left(2b_{\varepsilon} \sum \lambda_i^2\right)}{\left(\sum \lambda_i^2\right)^2} \right|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \n\leq 2b_{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j} \int_{U^*} \left| \sum \lambda_i E^i \left(\lambda_i u\right) \right|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \n\leq 2b_{\varepsilon} N^{1-1/p} n^{1/p} \left(\sum \int_{U_i} \left| E^i (\lambda_i u) \right|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \n\leq 2b_{\varepsilon} N^{1-1/p} An^{1/p} \left(\sum \int_{\Omega} |\lambda_i u|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \n\leq 2b_{\varepsilon} N An^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}.
$$

From the above evaluations, we have

$$
\|\nabla (Eu)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq b_+ N An^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + N b_{\varepsilon} An^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ N A' \left\{ b_{\varepsilon} n^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_j |\partial_{x_j} u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2 N b_{\varepsilon} An^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + b_{-} n^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_j |\partial_{x_j} u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  
\n
$$
= (N A' + 1) \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_j |\partial_{x_j} u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (b_+ N A + b_{\varepsilon} N A + 2 b_{\varepsilon} N A + b_{\varepsilon} N A' + b_{-}) n^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}
$$
  
\n
$$
= (N A' + 1) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + b_{\varepsilon} (6 N A + N A' + 3) n^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.
$$

Hence, the inequality [\(22\)](#page-8-1) holds for

$$
A_p(\Omega) = \begin{cases} (NA' + 1), & R \le \gamma, \\ b_{\varepsilon} (6NA + NA' + 3) n^{1/p} / \gamma, & R > \gamma, \end{cases}
$$

where  $R := b_{\varepsilon} (6NA + NA' + 3) n^{1/p} / (NA' + 1)$ .

**Remark [4.1](#page-8-2).** The value  $A_p(\Omega)$  derived by Theorem 4.1 monotonically decreases with decreasing  $\xi \in (0,1)$ . Moreover,  $A_p(\Omega) \to A_p(\Omega) |_{\xi=0} (\xi \downarrow 0)$  holds. Therefore,  $A_p(\Omega) |_{\xi=0} + \delta$  with any positive number  $\delta$  becomes an upper bound of the operator norm, while the range of  $\xi$  is  $(0,1)$ .

 $\Box$ 

The operator norm derived by Theorem [4.1](#page-8-2) leads bounds for the embedding constant as in the following corollary.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Corollary 4.1. For given  $n \in \{2, 3 \cdots\}$  and  $p \in (n/(n-1), \infty)$ , let  $T_p$  be a constant in the classical Sobolev inequality, i.e.,  $||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq T_p ||\nabla u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  for all  $u \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $q = np/(n+p)$ . Moreover, let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain with minimally smooth boundary. Then,

$$
||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}(\Omega) ||u||_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}, \ \forall u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)
$$
\n(28)

holds for

$$
C_p(\Omega) = 2^{\frac{q-1}{q}} T_p A_q(\Omega).
$$

Here,  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -weighted  $W^{1,q}$  norm [\(2\)](#page-0-1) for given  $\sigma > 0$ , and  $A_q(\Omega)$  is the upper bound for the operator norm derived by Theorem [4.1](#page-8-2) with  $\gamma = \sigma^{1/q}$ .

Proof. We have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq \|Eu\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \n\leq T_{p} \|\nabla Eu\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \n\leq T_{p} A_{q}(\Omega) \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} + \sigma^{1/q} \|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \right) \n\leq 2^{\frac{q-1}{q}} T_{p} A_{q}(\Omega) \|u\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}
$$
\n(29)

<span id="page-13-2"></span> $\Box$ 

for all  $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** The constant  $C_p'(\Omega)$  such that  $||u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_p'(\Omega) ||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}$  for all  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  is also important especially for verified numerical computation method and compute-assisted proof for PDEs summarized in, e.g., [\[13,](#page-20-7) [14,](#page-20-8) [15,](#page-20-9) [18\]](#page-20-11). We can obtain a formula giving a concrete value of  $C'_p(\Omega)$  with additional assumptions for  $\Omega$  and p (see Corollary [C.1\)](#page-19-2).

### 5 Examples

In this section, we present some examples of estimating the embedding constant  $C_p(\Omega)$  defined in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) using Theorem [4.1](#page-8-2) and Corollary [4.1.](#page-13-0) Through out this section, we set  $\rho$  as the mollifier defined in [\(4\)](#page-1-0) and set  $\sigma = 1$ .

#### 5.1 Calculation of the constants

The constants  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $P$ , and  $b_{\varepsilon}$  in Lemma [4.1](#page-8-2) and Theorem 4.1 were numerically calculated. All computations were carried out on a computer with Intel Core i7 860 CPU 2.80 GHz, 16.0 GB RAM, Windows 7, and MATLAB 2012b. Since all rounding errors were strictly estimated using INTLAB version 6 [\[16\]](#page-20-15), a toolbox for verified numerical computations, the accuracy of all results is mathematically guaranteed.

The constants  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  can be respectively computed as

$$
A_0 = \sup \{|t^2 \psi(t)| \; : \; t \ge 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = \sup \{|t^3 \psi(t)| \; : \; t \ge 1\}
$$
 (30)

with the function  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  constructing the extension operator [\(10\)](#page-3-0) which satisfies the property [\(11\)](#page-3-3). For example, the function

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\psi(t) := \frac{e}{\pi t} \operatorname{Im} \left( e^{-\omega(t-1)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right), \quad \omega = C_{\omega} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}} = \frac{C_{\omega}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 - i \right) \tag{31}
$$



<span id="page-14-1"></span>Figure 1: (a): The domain  $\Omega$  of Example A. (b) and (c): open sets  $U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ ).

satisfies that property for any  $C_{\omega} > 0$ ; a simple proof can be seen in, e.g., [\[8,](#page-20-4) [17\]](#page-20-10). For the function  $\psi$  in [\(31\)](#page-13-1) with  $C_{\omega} = 4.83$ , we derived the following estimation results:

$$
A_0 \in [12.8860, 12.8861]
$$
 and  $A_1 \in [12.9325, 12.9326]$ .

Moreover, recall that  $b_{\varepsilon}$  is a positive number satisfying

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
b_{\varepsilon} \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial_{x_j} \rho_{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}(x) \right| dx \left( = \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{x_1} \rho(x)| dx \right). \tag{32}
$$

For the mollifier defined in  $(4)$ , the bounds for the integration in  $(32)$  is independent of the index j. Furthermore, one of the concrete values of  $P$  can be derived by  $(7)$  with the condition  $|\alpha| = 1$ , i.e., it can be computed as

$$
P = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ (n-1) \, \rho_* \left( |x| \right) + |x| \, \rho'_* \left( |x| \right) \right\} (1 - |x|)^{-1} \, dx.
$$

Using verified numerical computation, we derived the following estimation results:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_{x_1} \rho(x)| dx \in [1.86412, 1.92770] \text{ and } P \in [7.45592, 7.50131]
$$

for the case of  $n = 2$ .



<span id="page-15-1"></span>Figure 2: (a): The relationship between  $\tau$  and  $A_q(\Omega)$  with  $p = 4, 6$ , and 8. (b): between p and  $\tau$  minimizing  $A_q(\Omega)$ . (c): between p and  $C_p(\Omega)$ .

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>5.2 Examples of estimating the embedding constant

Here, we present estimation results for the following two concrete domains:

#### Example A

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the domain as in Fig. [1](#page-14-1) (a). We set  $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  as follows: we first define the two sets among  $U_i$ 's as in Fig. [1](#page-14-1) (b); then,  $U_i$ 's  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, 8)$  were obtained by symmetry reflections; finally, we defined the other  $U_i$ 's  $(i = 9, 10, 11, \dots)$  as empty sets. In this case, we chose  $M = 1$  $M = 1$ ,  $N = 2$ , and  $\varepsilon = 0.25$ . One can find in Fig. 1 (c) that these constants satisfy the required conditions mentioned in Theorem [4.1.](#page-8-2)

Figure [2](#page-15-1) (a) shows the relationship between  $\tau$  and  $A_q(\Omega)$  in the cases of  $p=4, 6,$  and 8; recall that  $q = 2p/(2+p)$ . One can observe that  $A_q(\Omega)$  first decreases with increasing  $\tau$ , then it reaches a minimum point, and thereafter it monotonically increases with increasing  $\tau$ . The relationship between p and the value of  $\tau$  minimizing  $A_q(\Omega)$  can be seen in Fig. [2](#page-15-1) (b). For example, in the cases of  $p = 4$ , 6, and 8, each  $A_q(\Omega)$  is minimized at the points  $\tau \approx 8.12, 5.83,$  and 5.06, respectively.

Figure [2](#page-15-1) (c) shows the relationship between p and  $C_p(\Omega)$ ; we chose  $\tau$  which makes  $A_q(\Omega)$ (and  $C_p(\Omega)$ ) as small as possible. Recall that all results in Fig. [2](#page-15-1) were mathematically guaranteed with verified numerical computation.

#### Example B

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the domain as in Fig. [3](#page-16-0) (a), of which boundary is composed of five semicircles and a straight line. We set  ${U_i}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  as follows: we first set  $U_i$ 's  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$  as in Fig. [3](#page-16-0) (b)–(d); then, we got the other  $U_i$ 's  $(i = 7, 8, \dots, 10)$  by symmetrical reflection; the other  $U_i$ 's  $(i = 11, 12, \dots)$  were defined as empty sets. In this case, we chose  $M = 1, N = 2$ , and  $\varepsilon = 2\sin(\pi/8)/\{\sin(\pi/8) + 1\}$ . The selection of  $\varepsilon$  depends on the smallest semicircle that composes the boundary of Ω. One can find in Fig. [4](#page-17-0) that  $\varepsilon = 2 \sin(\pi/8)/\{\sin(\pi/8) + 1\}$  satisfies the required condition in Theorem [4.1.](#page-8-2) The graphs of  $A_q(\Omega)$ ,  $\tau$  minimizing  $A_q(\Omega)$ , and  $C_p(\Omega)$ are also displayed in Fig. [5.](#page-17-1)



<span id="page-16-0"></span>Figure 3: (a): the domain  $\Omega$  of Example B. (b)–(d):  $U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ ) are displayed; the other  $U_i$  ( $i = 7, 8, \dots, 10$ ) can be obtained by symmetrical reflection.



<span id="page-17-0"></span>Figure 4: How to determine  $\varepsilon$ .



<span id="page-17-1"></span>Figure 5: Same as Fig. [2,](#page-15-1) but in the case of the domain  $\Omega$  in Fig. [3](#page-16-0) (a).

### 6 Conclusion

We proposed the method for estimating the operator norm  $A_q(\Omega)$  (defined in [\(3\)](#page-0-2)) of the extension operator constructed by Stein [\[17\]](#page-20-10). The concrete bounds for the operator norm leads to estimate the embedding constant  $C_p(\Omega)$  from  $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$  defined in [\(1\)](#page-0-0). Here,  $\Omega$  is only assumed to be a domain with minimally smooth boundary.

In addition, we presented some estimation results of the embedding constants. All estimation results are mathematically guaranteed with verified numerical computation, while the derived constants may not be sharp because of some over-estimations.

### A The best constant in the classical Sobolev inequality

The following theorem gives the best constant in the classical Sobolev inequality.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Theorem A.1** (T. Aubin [\[2\]](#page-19-0) and G. Talenti [\[19\]](#page-20-12)). Let u be any function in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (n = 2, 3,  $\cdots$ ). Moreover, let q be any real number such that  $1 < q < n$ , and set  $p = nq/(n-q)$ . Then,

$$
||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le T_p ||\nabla u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

holds for

$$
T_p = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{q-1}{n-q}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(1+n-\frac{n}{q}\right)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

with the Gamma function Γ.

### B Lemmas for proving Lemma [4.1](#page-4-3) and Theorem [4.1](#page-8-2)

The following two lemmas were used in the proof of Lemma [4.1](#page-4-3) and Theorem [4.1.](#page-8-2)

<span id="page-18-1"></span>**Lemma B.1** (G.H. Hardy, et al. [\[10\]](#page-20-16)). Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $r > 0$ . Suppose that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, it follows that

$$
\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x f(y) dy\right)^p x^{-r-1} dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{p}{r} \left(\int_0^\infty (y f(y))^p y^{-r-1} dy\right)^{1/p},
$$

and

$$
\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty f\left(y\right) dy\right)^p x^{r-1} dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{p}{r} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(yf\left(y\right)\right)^p y^{r-1} dy\right)^{1/p}.
$$

<span id="page-18-2"></span>**Lemma B.2.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $p \in [1,\infty)$ . Moreover, let  $\{a_i(x)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(S)$  satisfy that at most N of  $a_i(x)$  are not zero for each x. Then, it follows that

$$
\left(\int_{S}\left|\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}a_{i}\left(x\right)\right|^{p}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq N^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{S}\left|a_{i}\left(x\right)\right|^{p}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

Proof. This lemma follows from the following inequality:

$$
\left|\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}a_i\left(x\right)\right|^p\leq N^{p-1}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\left|a_i\left(x\right)\right|^p.
$$

 $\Box$ 

# C The embedding constant from  $H^1(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$

Corollary [C.1,](#page-19-2) which comes from Theorem [4.1,](#page-8-2) gives a concrete estimation of the embedding constant from  $H^1(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$  under the suitable assumptions for  $\Omega$  and p.

<span id="page-19-2"></span>Corollary C.1. Let  $n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$  and let p be given, s.t.,  $p \in (n/(n-1), 2n/(n-2))$  if  $n \geq 3$ and  $p \in (n/(n-1), \infty)$  if  $n = 2$ . Let  $T_p$  be a constant in the classical Sobolev inequality, i.e.,  $||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq T_p ||\nabla u||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  for all  $u \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $q = np/(n+p)$ . Moreover, let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with minimally smooth boundary. Then,

$$
||u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C'_p(\Omega) ||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}, \ \forall u \in H^1(\Omega),
$$

holds for

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
C'_{p}(\Omega) = 2^{1/2} |\Omega|^{\frac{2-q}{2q}} T_{p} A_{q}(\Omega).
$$

Here,  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -weighted  $W^{1,q}$  norm [\(2\)](#page-0-1) for given  $\sigma > 0$ , and  $A_q(\Omega)$  is the upper bound for the operator norm derived by Theorem [4.1](#page-8-2) with  $\gamma = \sigma^{1/2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . From the same discussion in [\(29\)](#page-13-2), it follows that

$$
||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq T_{p}A_{q}(\Omega) \left( ||\nabla u||_{L^{q}(\Omega)} + \sigma^{1/2} ||u||_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \right).
$$
\n(33)

Since  $q \in (1,2)$  holds when  $p \in (n/(n-1), 2n(n-2))$ , Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{q} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{q\cdot\frac{2}{q}} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |1|^{\frac{2}{2-q}} dx\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}
$$

$$
= |\Omega|^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{2}},
$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the measure of  $\Omega$ . Therefore,

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.
$$
\n(34)

In the same manner, we have

$$
||u||_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}} ||u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.
$$
\n(35)

From [\(33\)](#page-19-3), [\(34\)](#page-19-4), and [\(35\)](#page-19-5),

$$
||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{2-q}{2q}} T_p A_q(\Omega) \left( ||\nabla u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \sigma^{1/2} ||u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right)
$$
  

$$
\leq 2^{1/2} |\Omega|^{\frac{2-q}{2q}} T_p A_q(\Omega) ||u||_{H^{1}(\Omega)}.
$$

<span id="page-19-5"></span><span id="page-19-4"></span> $\Box$ 

### References

- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[1] Robert A Adams and John JF Fournier, Sobolev spaces, second ed., Pure and applied mathematics, Academic Press, New York, 2003.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[2] Thierry Aubin, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, Journal of Differential Geometry 11 (1976), no. 4, 573–598.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[3] \_\_\_\_, Nonlinear analysis on manifold. Monge-Ampère equations, Springer, New York, 1982.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[4] William Beckner, Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser–Trudinger inequality, Annals of Mathematics 138 (1993), no. 1, 213–242.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>[5] Haim Brezis, Haim Brézis, and Haïm Brezis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial* differential equations, Springer, New York, 2011.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[6] Haim Brezis and Louis Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 36 (1983), no. 4, 437–477.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[7] Alberto P Calderón, Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, vol. 4, 1961, pp. 33–49.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[8] David Eric Edmunds and WD Evans, Spectral theory and differential operators, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>[9] LE Fraenkel, On regularized distance and related functions, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics 83 (1979), no. 1-2, 115–122.
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>[10] Godfrey Harold Hardy, John Edensor Littlewood, and George Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 1952.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[11] Magnus Rudolph Hestenes et al., Extension of the range of a differentiable function, Duke Mathematical Journal 8 (1941), no. 1, 183–192.
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[12] Elliott H Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities, Annals of Mathematics (1983), 349–374.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[13] Mitsuhiro T Nakao, Numerical verification methods for solutions of ordinary and partial differential equations, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization 22 (2001), no. 3-4, 321–356.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[14] Michael Plum, Computer-assisted enclosure methods for elliptic differential equations, Linear Algebra and its Applications 324 (2001), no. 1, 147–187.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[15] , Existence and multiplicity proofs for semilinear elliptic boundary value problems by computer assistance, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker Vereinigung 110 (2008), no. 1, 19–54.
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>[16] S.M. Rump, INTLAB - INTerval LABoratory, Developments in Reliable Computing (Tibor Csendes, ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, [http://www.ti3.tuhh.de/](http://www.ti3.tuhh.de/rump/) [rump/](http://www.ti3.tuhh.de/rump/), pp. 77–104.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>[17] EM Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability of functions, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1970.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[18] Akitoshi Takayasu, Xuefeng Liu, and Shin'ichi Oishi, Verified computations to semilinear elliptic boundary value problems on arbitrary polygonal domains, Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, IEICE 4 (2013), no. 1, 34–61.
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>[19] Giorgio Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, Annali di Matematica pura ed Applicata 110 (1976), no. 1, 353–372.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[20] Kohtaro Watanabe, Yoshinori Kametaka, Atsushi Nagai, Hiroyuki Yamagishi, and Kazuo Takemura, Symmetrization of functions and the best constant of 1-dim sobolev inequality, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2009 (2009), no. 1, 874631.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[21] Hassler Whitney, Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 36 (1934), no. 1, 63–89.