

A sum form functional equation on a closed domain and its role in information theory

P. Nath and D.K. Singh (India)

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to finding the general solutions of the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m h(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(q_j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n h(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(q_j)$$

valid for all complete probability distributions (p_1, \dots, p_n) , (q_1, \dots, q_m) , $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$, $0 \leq q_j \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^m q_j = 1$; $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ fixed integers; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and the mappings $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$; $I = [0, 1]$, \mathbb{R} denoting the set of all real numbers.

A special case of the above functional equation was treated earlier by L. Losonczi and Gy. Maksa.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B52, 39B82.

Key words and phrases. sum form functional equation, additive function, multiplicative function, entropy of degree α .

1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma_n = \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) : 0 \leq p_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n; \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ denote the set of all discrete n -component complete probability distributions with nonnegative elements. Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of all real numbers and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq x + y \leq 1\}, \text{ the unit triangle;} \\ I &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\} = [0, 1]; I_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

A mapping $a : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be additive on I if

$$a(x + y) = a(x) + a(y)$$

holds for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$. A mapping $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be additive on \mathbb{R} if

$$A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y) \tag{1.1}$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is known [2] that every mapping $a : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, additive on the unit triangle Δ , has a unique additive extension $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the sense that A satisfies the equation (1.1) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

A mapping $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be multiplicative on I if

$$M(0) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

$$M(1) = 1 \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$M(pq) = M(p)M(q) \tag{1.4}$$

holds for all $p \in I_0, q \in I_0$.

The functional equation (see [1])

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j) \tag{1.5}$$

where $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$, $\lambda = 2^{1-\alpha} - 1 \neq 0$ is useful in characterizing the entropy of degree α (see [3]) defined as

$$H_n^\alpha(p_1, \dots, p_n) = (1 - 2^{1-\alpha})^{-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right), \quad (1.6)$$

where $H_n^\alpha : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and $0^\alpha := 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, the general solutions of (1.5), for fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$ have been obtained in [6]. A generalization of (1.5) is the following functional equation (see [5])

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij}(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(q_j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(q_j) \quad (1.7)$$

with $f_{ij} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, m$. For fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$, the measurable (in the sense of Lebesgue) solutions of (1.7) have been obtained in (see [5], Theorem 6 on p-69) but it seems that the general solutions of (1.7), for fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$ are still not known. As mentioned in [5], equations like (1.7) arise while characterizing measures of information concerned with two probability distributions. In this paper, we study the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m h(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(q_j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n h(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(q_j) \quad (1.8)$$

where $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ are fixed integers. The functional equation (1.8) is a special case of (1.7).

If we define $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ as (with $\lambda \neq 0$)

$$f(x) = x + \lambda h(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g_j(x) = x + \lambda k_j(x) \quad (1.9)$$

for all $x \in I$, then (1.8) reduces to the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m g_j(q_j). \quad (1.10)$$

Also, (1.9) and (1.10) yield (1.8). Thus, if the general solutions of (1.10), for fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$ are known;

the corresponding general solutions of (1.8), for fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$ can be determined with the aid of (1.9).

We would like to mention that, on open domain, namely when $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_j : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k_j : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, the general solutions of (1.8) and (1.10) for fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$ have been found in [4]. The object of this paper is to determine the general solutions of (1.8) and (1.10), on the closed domain, namely when $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$; for fixed integers $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ and all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. While investigating these solutions, the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(q_j) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \quad (1.11)$$

arises with $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ fixed integers and $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$.

To deal with equations (1.8), (1.10) and (1.11), we need the results and methods from [5] and [6].

2. Some preliminary results

We require the following two results in sections 3 and 4.

Result 1. [6]. Let $k \geq 3$ be a fixed integer and c be a given constant.

Suppose that a mapping $\psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \psi(p_i) = c \quad (2.1)$$

for all $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \Gamma_k$. Then there exists an additive mapping $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi(p) = B(p) - \frac{1}{k} B(1) + \frac{c}{k} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $p \in I$.

Result 2. [5]. If the mappings $\psi_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, m$ satisfy the functional equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \psi_j(q_j) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for an arbitrary but fixed integer $m \geq 3$ and all $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$, then there exists an additive mapping $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the constants $c_j (j = 1, \dots, m)$ such that

$$\psi_j(p) = A(p) + c_j \tag{2.4}$$

for all $p \in I$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ with

$$A(1) + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

3. The functional equation (1.11)

In this section, we prove:

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ be fixed integers and $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping which satisfies the functional equation (1.11) for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. Then φ is of the form

$$\varphi(p) = a(p) + \varphi(0) \tag{3.1}$$

where $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive mapping with

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } a(1) = -nm\varphi(0) \text{ if } \varphi(1) + (n-1)\varphi(0) \neq 1 \\ \text{or} \\ \text{(ii) } a(1) = 1 - n\varphi(0) \text{ if } \varphi(1) + (n-1)\varphi(0) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

or

$$\varphi(p) = M(p) - B(p) \tag{3.3}$$

where $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive mapping with $B(1) = 0$ and $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is multiplicative on I in the sense that it satisfies (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) for all $p \in I_0, q \in I_0$.

Proof. Let us put $p_1 = 1, p_2 = \dots = p_n = 0$ in (1.11). We obtain

$$[\varphi(1) + (n-1)\varphi(0) - 1] \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(q_j) + m(n-1)\varphi(0) \right] = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for all $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. We divide our discussion into two cases.

Case 1. $\varphi(1) + (n-1)\varphi(0) - 1 \neq 0$.

In this case, (3.4) reduces to

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(q_j) = -m(n-1)\varphi(0) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. By Result 1, there exists an additive mapping $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(p) = a(p) - \frac{1}{m}a(1) - (n-1)\varphi(0) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $p \in I$. The substitution $p = 0$, in (3.6), gives

$$a(1) = -nm\varphi(0). \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), (3.1) follows. Thus, we have obtained the solution (3.1) satisfying (i) in(3.2).

Case 2. $\varphi(1) + (n-1)\varphi(0) - 1 = 0$.

Let us write (1.11) in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i q_j) - \varphi(q_j) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - m(n-1)\varphi(0)q_j \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \right\} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Choose $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and fix it. Define $\psi : \Gamma_n \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\psi(p_1, \dots, p_n; q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i q) - \varphi(q) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - m(n-1)\varphi(0)q \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \quad (3.9)$$

for all $q \in I$. By Result 1, there exists a mapping $A_1 : \Gamma_n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, additive in the second variable, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i q) - \varphi(q) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - m(n-1)\varphi(0)q \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \\ &= A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; q) - \frac{1}{m}A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; 1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The substitution $q = 0$, in (3.10), gives

$$A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; 1) = m \varphi(0) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - n \right] \quad (3.11)$$

as $A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; 0)$. From (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i q) - \varphi(q) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - m(n-1) \varphi(0) q \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \\ = A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; q) - \varphi(0) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) + n \varphi(0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Since $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ was chosen arbitrarily and then fixed, equation (3.12), indeed, holds for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and all $q \in I$.

Let $x \in I$ and $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \Gamma_n$. Putting $q = xr_t$, $t = 1, \dots, n$ in (3.12); adding the resulting n equations and using the additivity of A_1 in the second variable, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(xp_i r_t) - \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(xr_t) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - m(n-1) \varphi(0) x \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \\ = A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) - n \varphi(0) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) + n^2 \varphi(0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Also, if we put $q = x$ and $p_i = r_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ in (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(xr_t) &= \varphi(x) \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) \\ &+ A_1(r_1, \dots, r_n; x) - \varphi(0) \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) + n \varphi(0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), we can obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(xp_i r_t) - [\varphi(x) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x - \varphi(0)] \\ \times \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) - n^2 \varphi(0) \\ = A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \\ + A_1(r_1, \dots, r_n; x) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The symmetry of the left hand side of (3.15), in p_i and r_t , $i = 1, \dots, n$; $t = 1, \dots, n$ gives rise to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) + A_1(r_1, \dots, r_n; x) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \\ &= A_1(r_1, \dots, r_n; x) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) \\ & \quad + A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) \end{aligned}$$

which can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x] \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) - 1 \right] \\ &= [A_1(r_1, \dots, r_n; x) + m(n-1) \varphi(0) x] \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - 1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Equation (3.16) holds for all $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \Gamma_n$, $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and all $x \in I$.

Subcase 2.1. $\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) - 1$ vanishes identically on Γ_n .

In this case,

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) = 1 \quad (3.17)$$

holds for all $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \Gamma_n$. By Result 1, there exists an additive map $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(p) = a(p) - \frac{1}{n} a(1) + \frac{1}{n} \quad (3.18)$$

for all $p \in I$. The substitution $p = 0$, in (3.18), yields

$$a(1) = 1 - n \varphi(0). \quad (3.19)$$

From (3.18) and (3.19), (3.1) follows again. Thus, we have obtained the solution (3.1) satisfying (ii) in (3.2).

Subcase 2.2. $\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t) - 1$ does not vanish identically on Γ_n .

Then, there exists a probability distribution $(r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*) \in \Gamma_n$ such that

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t^*) - 1 \neq 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Setting $r_1 = r_1^*, \dots, r_n = r_n^*$ in (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)x] \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t^*) - 1 \right] \\ &= [A_1(r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*; x) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)x] \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

which gives, for all $x \in I$,

$$A_1(p_1, \dots, p_n; x) = A(x) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - 1 \right] - m(n-1)\varphi(0)x \quad (3.21)$$

where $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$A(y) = \left[\sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t^*) - 1 \right]^{-1} [A_1(r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*; y) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)y] \quad (3.22)$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. From (3.22), it is easy to verify that $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is additive. Also, from (3.11) (with $p_i = r_i^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$) and (3.22), it is easy to derive

$$A(1) = m\varphi(0). \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.12) and (3.21), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i q) - \varphi(q) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - m(n-1)\varphi(0)q \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \\ &= A(q) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) - A(q) - m(n-1)\varphi(0)q - \varphi(0) \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) + n\varphi(0) \end{aligned}$$

which, upon using (3.23), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n [\varphi(p_i q) + A(p_i q) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)p_i q - \varphi(0)] \\ & \quad - [\varphi(q) + A(q) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)q - \varphi(0)] \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=1}^n [\varphi(p_i) + A(p_i) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)p_i - \varphi(0)] \\ & \quad + [\varphi(q) + A(q) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)q - \varphi(0)] n(m-1)\varphi(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Define a mapping $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$B(x) = A(x) + m(n-1)\varphi(0)x \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is additive. Moreover, from (3.23) and (3.25), it follows that

$$B(1) = mn \varphi(0). \quad (3.26)$$

With the help of (3.25), equation (3.24) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n [\varphi(p_i q) + B(p_i q) - \varphi(0)] - [\varphi(q) + B(q) - \varphi(0)] \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=1}^n [\varphi(p_i) + B(p_i) - \varphi(0)] + n(m-1) \varphi(0) [\varphi(q) + B(q) - \varphi(0)] \\ & \quad = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Define a mapping $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$M(x) = \varphi(x) + B(x) - \varphi(0) \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x \in I$. Notice that though $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ but, in (3.28), we are restricting its use only for all $x \in I$.

From (3.28), it is easy to see that (1.2) follows as $B(0) = 0$. Also, from (3.26), (3.28) and the fact that $\varphi(1) + (n-1) \varphi(0) = 1$, it follows that

$$M(1) = 1 + n(m-1) \varphi(0). \quad (3.29)$$

Moreover, from (3.27) and (3.28), we get (for all $q \in I$)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n M(p_i q) - M(q) \sum_{i=1}^n M(p_i) + n(m-1) \varphi(0) M(q) = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

which can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [M(p_i q) - M(q)M(p_i) + n(m-1) \varphi(0) M(q) p_i] = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

By Result 1, there exists a mapping $E : \mathbb{R} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, additive in the first variable, such that

$$M(pq) - M(p)M(q) + n(m-1) \varphi(0) M(q) p = E(p, q) - \frac{1}{n} E(1, q) \quad (3.31a)$$

for all $p \in I, q \in I$. The substitution $p = 0$ in (3.31a) and the use of (1.2) gives $E(1, q) = 0$ for all $q \in I$. Consequently, (3.31a) reduces to the equation

$$M(pq) - M(p)M(q) + n(m - 1) \varphi(0) M(q) p = E(p, q) \tag{3.32}$$

for all $p \in I, q \in I$.

Now we prove that $n(m - 1) \varphi(0) \neq 0$ is not possible.

If possible, suppose $n(m - 1) \varphi(0) \neq 0$. Then, (3.29) gives $M(1) \neq 1$. Putting $q = 1$ in (3.30), using (3.29) and the fact that $M(1) - 1 \neq 0$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n M(p_i) = M(1)$$

for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$. By Result 1, there exists an additive mapping $A_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$M(p) = A_2(p) - \frac{1}{n} A_2(1) + \frac{1}{n} M(1) \tag{3.33}$$

for all $p \in I$. The substitution $p = 0$, in (3.33), gives $A_2(1) = M(1)$ as $A_2(0) = 0$ and $M(0) = 0$. Hence

$$M(p) = A_2(p)$$

for all $p \in I$. Thus M is additive on I . Now, from (3.20), (3.26), (3.28), (3.29) and the additivity of M on I , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \neq \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t^*) &= M(1) - B(1) + n \varphi(0) \\ &= 1 + n(m - 1) \varphi(0) - nm \varphi(0) + n \varphi(0) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

So, the only possibility is that $n(m - 1) \varphi(0) = 0$. Since $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ are fixed integers, it follows that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and hence $\varphi(1) = 1$. From this and (3.29), (1.3) follows. Since $\varphi(0) = 0$, equation (3.32) reduces to the equation

$$M(pq) - M(p) M(q) = E(p, q) \tag{3.34}$$

for all $p \in I, q \in I$. The left hand side of (3.34) is symmetric in p and q . Hence $E(p, q) = E(q, p)$ for all $p \in I, q \in I$. Consequently, E is also additive on I in the second variable. We may assume that $E(p, \cdot)$ has been extended additively to the whole of \mathbb{R} .

Let $p \in I, q \in I, r \in I$. From (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(pq, r) + M(r) E(p, q) &= M(pqr) - M(p) M(q) M(r) \\ &= E(qr, p) + M(p) E(q, r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Now we prove that $E(p, q) = 0$ for all $p \in I, q \in I$. If possible, suppose there exists a $p^* \in I$ and a $q^* \in I$ such that $E(p^*, q^*) \neq 0$. Then, from (3.35)

$$M(r) = [E(p^*, q^*)]^{-1} \{E(q^*r, p^*) + M(p^*)E(q^*, r) - E(p^*q^*, r)\}$$

from which it follows that M is additive on I . Now, making use of (3.20), (3.26), (3.28), (1.3), the additivity of M and the fact that $\varphi(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$1 \neq \sum_{t=1}^n \varphi(r_t^*) = M(1) - B(1) + n\varphi(0) = 1 - mn\varphi(0) + n\varphi(0) = 1$$

a contradiction. Hence $E(p, q) = 0$ for all $p \in I, q \in I$. Now, (3.34) reduces to the equation

$$M(pq) = M(p) M(q) \quad (3.36)$$

for all $p \in I, q \in I$. From (3.36), (1.4) follows immediately for all $p \in I_0, q \in I_0$. Also, since $\varphi(0) = 0$, (3.28) reduces to (3.3) and (3.26) gives $B(1) = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

4. The functional equation (1.10)

In this section, we prove:

Theorem 2. *Let $n \geq 3, m \geq 3$ be fixed integers and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, m$ be mappings which satisfy the functional equation (1.10) for all*

$(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. Then, any general solution of (1.10) is of the form

$$f(p) = b(p), \quad g_j \text{ any arbitrary real-valued mapping} \quad (4.1)$$

where $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive mapping with $b(1) = 0$ or

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f(p) &= [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]a(p) + f(0) \\ g_j(p) &= a(p) + A^*(p) + g_j(0) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$; with $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being additive maps and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a(1) &= 1 - \frac{nf(0)}{f(1) + (n-1)f(0)}, & f(1) + (n-1)f(0) &\neq 0 \\ A^*(1) &= -\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(0) + \frac{nmf(0)}{f(1) + (n-1)f(0)}, & f(1) + (n-1)f(0) &\neq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

or

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f(p) &= f(1)[M(p) - B(p)], & f(1) &\neq 0 \\ g_j(p) &= M(p) - B(p) + A^*(p) + g_j(0) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.4)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$; with $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being additive maps, $B(1) = 0$, $A^*(1) = -\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(0)$ and $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a multiplicative function in the sense that it satisfies (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) for all $p \in I_0$, $q \in I_0$.

Proof. Put $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = \dots = p_n = 0$ in (1.10). We obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m [f(q_j) + (n-1)f(0)] = [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)] \sum_{j=1}^m g_j(q_j) \quad (4.5)$$

for all $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$.

Case 1.

$$f(1) + (n-1)f(0) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, (4.5) reduces to the equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j) = -m(n-1)f(0) \quad (4.7)$$

valid for all $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. By Result 1, there exists an additive mapping $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(p) = b(p) - \frac{1}{m} b(1) - (n-1) f(0) \quad (4.8)$$

for all $p \in I$. The substitution $p = 0$, in (4.8), gives

$$b(1) = -nm f(0). \quad (4.9)$$

From (4.8) and (4.9), it follows that

$$f(p) = b(p) + f(0) \quad (4.10)$$

for all $p \in I$. From (4.6), (4.9) and (4.10), using the fact that $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ are fixed integers, it follows that

$$f(0) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

From (4.9) and (4.11), it follows that

$$b(1) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Also, (4.10) and (4.11) give

$$f(p) = b(p) \quad (4.13)$$

for all $p \in I$. Also, from (1.10), (4.12), (4.13) and the additivity of $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it follows that g_j can be any arbitrary real-valued mapping. Thus, we have obtained the solution (4.1) in which b satisfies (4.12).

Case 2. $f(1) + (n-1) f(0) \neq 0$.

In this case, (4.5) gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(q_j) = [f(1) + (n-1) f(0)]^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m [f(q_j) + (n-1) f(0)] \quad (4.14)$$

which can be written in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \{g_j(q_j) - [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1}[f(q_j) + (n-1)f(0)]\} = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

This holds for all $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. By Result 2, there exists an additive mapping $A^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and constants c_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) such that

$$g_j(p) - [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1}[f(p) + (n-1)f(0)] = A^*(p) + c_j \quad (4.16)$$

with

$$A^*(1) + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

The substitution $p = 0$, in (4.16), gives

$$c_j = g_j(0) - [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1}nf(0) \quad (4.18)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. From (4.17) and (4.18), we get $A^*(1)$ as mentioned in (4.3).

Also, from (4.16) and (4.18),

$$g_j(p) = [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1}[f(p) - f(0)] + A^*(p) + g_j(0) \quad (4.19)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Equation (4.19) tells us that if f is known, then the corresponding form of $g_j(p)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, can be determined. To determine f , we eliminate $\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(q_j)$ from equations (1.10) and (4.14). We obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(p_i q_j) &= [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j) \\ &\quad + [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1} m(n-1)f(0) \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

valid for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$.

Define a mapping $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(x) = [f(1) + (n-1)f(0)]^{-1}f(x) \quad (4.21)$$

for all $x \in I$. Then (4.20) reduces to the functional (1.11) which also holds for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$. Moreover, φ satisfies the condition

$$\varphi(1) + (n - 1)\varphi(0) = 1. \quad (4.22)$$

Also, from (4.21),

$$f(p) = [f(1) + (n - 1)f(0)]\varphi(p) \quad (4.23)$$

for all $p \in I$ with $f(1) + (n - 1)f(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi(0) = \frac{f(0)}{f(1) + (n - 1)f(0)}. \quad (4.24)$$

From, (4.19), (4.23), (4.24), (3.1) and (ii) in (3.2), the forms of $f(p)$, $g_j(p)$ and $a(1)$, as mentioned in (4.2) and (4.3), follow. Thus, we have obtained the solution (4.2), of (1.10), subject to $a(1)$ and $A^*(1)$ as mentioned in (4.3).

The form of φ , given by (3.3), with $B(1) = 0$, is also acceptable as in this case, $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ and hence $\varphi(1) + (n - 1)\varphi(0) = 1$. Now, from (4.24), $f(0) = 0$. The solution (4.4), of (1.10), follows from (4.23), (4.19), (3.3), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and the fact that $f(0) = 0$, $B(1) = 0$, $A^*(1) = -\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

5. The functional equation (1.8)

In this section, we prove:

Theorem 3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $m \geq 3$ be fixed integers and $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ be mappings which satisfy the functional equation (1.8) for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Then, any general solution of (1.8) is of the form*

$$h(p) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [b(p) - p], \quad k_j \text{ any arbitrary real-valued mapping} \quad (5.1)$$

where $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive mapping with $b(1) = 0$ or

$$\left. \begin{aligned} h(p) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \{ [\lambda(h(1) + (n-1)h(0)) + 1] a(p) + \lambda h(0) - p \} \\ k_j(p) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \{ a(p) + A^*(p) + \lambda k_j(0) - p \} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.2)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$; with $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being additive maps and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a(1) &= 1 - \frac{n\lambda h(0)}{\lambda(h(1) + (n-1)h(0)) + 1}, \\ &\lambda(h(1) + (n-1)h(0)) + 1 \neq 0 \\ A^*(1) &= -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(0) + \frac{nm\lambda h(0)}{\lambda(h(1) + (n-1)h(0)) + 1}, \\ &\lambda(h(1) + (n-1)h(0)) + 1 \neq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.3)$$

or

$$\left. \begin{aligned} h(p) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \{ [\lambda h(1) + 1][M(p) - B(p)] - p \}, \quad [\lambda h(1) + 1] \neq 0 \\ k_j(p) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \{ M(p) - B(p) + A^*(p) + \lambda k_j(0) - p \} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

with $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being additive maps such that

$$B(1) = 0, \quad A^*(1) = -\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m k_j(0) \quad (5.5)$$

and $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a multiplicative function in the sense that it satisfies (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) for all $p \in I_0$, $q \in I_0$.

Proof. Let us write (1.8) in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m [\lambda h(p_i q_j) + p_i q_j] = \sum_{i=1}^n [\lambda h(p_i) + p_i] \sum_{j=1}^m [\lambda k_j(q_j) + q_j]. \quad (5.6)$$

Define the mappings $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ (with $\lambda \neq 0$), as in (1.9), for all $x \in I$. Then, (5.6) reduces to the functional equation (1.10) whose respective solutions are given by (4.1); (4.2) subject to the condition (4.3); and (4.4) subject to $B(1) = 0$, $A^*(1) = -\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(0)$; in which $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are all additive functions and $M : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a

multiplicative function. Now, making use of (1.9) along with (4.1); (4.2) subject to (4.3); and (4.4) subject to $B(1) = 0$ and $A^*(1) = -\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(0)$; the required solutions (5.1); (5.2) subject to (5.3); and (5.4) subject to (5.5); follow respectively. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] **M. Behara and P. Nath.** Additive and non-additive entropies of finite measurable partitions, *Probability and Information Theory II*, Lecture Notes in Math., 296, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973, 102–138.
- [2] **Z. Daróczy and L. Losonczi.** Über die Erweiterung der auf einer Punktmenge additiven Funktionen, *Publ. Math.* (Debrecen), 14 (1967), 239–245.
- [3] **J. Havrda and F. Charvat.** Quantification method of classification process, concept of structural α -entropy, *Kybernetika* (Prague), 3 (1967), 30–35.
- [4] **PL. Kannappan and P.K. Sahoo.** On the general solution of a functional equation connected to sum from information measures on open domain-VI, *Radovi Matematički*, 8 (1992), 231–239.
- [5] **L. Losonczi.** Functional equations of sum form, *Publ. Math.* (Debrecen), 32 (1985), 57–71.
- [6] **L. Losonczi and Gy. Maksa.** On some functional equations of the information theory, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 39 (1982), 73–82.

Prem Nath

Department of Mathematics
 University of Delhi
 Delhi 110 007
 India
 E-mail: pnathmaths@gmail.com

Dhiraj Kumar Singh

Department of Mathematics
 Zakir Husain Delhi College
 (University of Delhi)
 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg
 Delhi 110 002
 India
 E-mail: dhiraj426@rediffmail.com