Hao Yan*, Xiang Lin

Center for gravitational experiment, MOE Key Laboratory of Fundamental Physical Quantities Measurements, The School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

Siyuan Xie

MOE Key Laboratory of TianQin Mission, TianQin Research Center for Gravitational Physics & School of Physics and Astronomy, Frontiers Science Center for TianQin, Gravitational Wave Research Center of CNSA, Sun Yat-sen University (Zhuhai Campus), Zhuhai 519082, China

E-mail: yanhao2022@hust.edu.cn

Abstract. Interferometric techniques are crucial for space-based gravitational wave detection, requiring a picometer-level stable optical bench, precise phasemeter, interstellar transponder low-light phase locking, and laser sideband communication. These technologies must be rigorously tested on the ground before deployment in space. The AEI group has previously developed a picometer-stable hexapod optical bench to verify the linearity and precision of phase extraction for LISA. In this paper, we introduce a quadrangle quasi-monolithic optical bench aimed at simplifying the system and expanding the range of tested interferometric techniques for TianQin. Experimental results demonstrate that the system achieves picometer-level optical pathlength stability and phase resolution over a large dynamic range. In the laser transponder link test, the light phase-locked residual noise is lower than 10^{-4} rad/Hz^{1/2} above millihertz frequency range, and the laser sideband modulation has no significant coupling to the measurements in the mHz – Hz band. These results provide critical technical validation for the implementation of future gravitational wave detection in space.

Keywords: gravitational wave detection, optical bench, interferometric technologies testing

Submitted to: Class. Quantum Grav.

1. Introduction

The first successful detection of gravitational waves by the ground-based Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) [1] opens a new era in gravitational wave astronomy. Long-baseline space-based gravitational wave detectors, such as LISA [2], TianQin [3], and Taiji [4], are designed to address the limitations of ground-based observatories by offering enhanced sensitivity in the low-frequency mHz – Hz band. Given the extremely weak nature of gravitational wave signals, detectors must achieve extraordinarily high displacement sensitivity. Unlike groundbased detectors like LIGO [1], VIRGO [5], and KAGRA [6], which utilize homodyne interferometry (based on DC light intensity detection), space-based gravitational wave detectors employ heterodyne interferometry, which involves beat-frequency phase measurements [2]. This approach allows for the detection of tiny changes in distance between two free-floating test masses at long baselines, with sensitivity reaching the picometer level. Achieving such precision requires the use of ultra-stable optical benches, high-precision phasemeters, interstellar transponder low-light phase locking, and laser sideband communication.

The optical bench (OB) is one of the most crucial components of the Space Gravitational Wave Detector. It is responsible for establishing the link between the two free-floating test masses (TM) across satellites, forming the TM-OB-OB-TM link [7]. For the TianQin mission, maintaining the light-path stability of the optical benches below $1 \text{ pm/Hz}^{1/2}$ is essential [3]. Achieving this goal requires significant effort. First, low-expansion materials, such as ULE (Ultra-Low Expansion) glass, are utilized for the substrate of the optical bench to minimize thermal coupling noise. Second, optical components, including collimators, mirrors, beam-splitters, and waveplates, are bonded to the optical bench to create a quasi-monolithic structure, ensuring both thermal and mechanical stability [8].

In the space-based gravitational wave detection, the phasemeter is responsible for measuring all heterodyne interference signals with exceptional precision [9–11]. The phasemeter must achieve an accuracy of $1 \,\mu$ rad/Hz^{1/2} down to the millihertz frequency range. Additionally, the phasemeter must handle the Doppler effect resulting from the relative motion between satellites, which causes shifts in the received signal frequencies. Consequently, the phasemeter needs to cover a broad dynamic heterodyne frequency range from 5 - 25 MHz while maintaining high linearity. This ensures accurate measurement of the data amidst the frequency variations introduced by satellite motion.

For long-baseline space gravitational wave detection, inter-satellite transponder laser links are utilized through weak light phase-locking techniques. In this setup, a master satellite transmits a high-power laser at the watt level. The slave satellites then use weak-light phase-locking to synchronize their local lasers with the incoming laser signal, which is received at the sub-nanowatt level. After phase-locking to this weak signal, the slave satellites transmit a high-power laser back to the master satellite [12]. The return laser then interferes with the local laser of the master satellite. Ultimately, the inter-satellite distance change is obtained from two phase signals.

In addition, space-based gravitational wave detection requires precise laser ranging and clock synchronization [13,14], particularly during the data post-processing phase of time-delayed interferometry (TDI) [15]. To meet these demands, the technique involves phase modulating the laser with pseudo-random (PRN) codes and clock sidebands. This modulation technique is crucial as it enables interstellar laser sideband communication, ensuring accurate laser ranging, data transmission, and clock synchronization between the satellites.

Ground-based experimental validation is critical for the development of spaceborne gravitational wave detectors, as the optical bench and phasemeter must be tested together under controlled conditions. However, one major challenge is ensuring that the system's stability and sensitivity are maintained during testing. Several methods are used to validate these systems, such as employing high-precision commercial phasemeters with narrow bandwidths, conducting differential phase measurements of identical interferometric signals through balanced detection or beam splitting, or using an electronic signal generator to test the phasemeter [9–11]. One of the most effective approaches is to construct an ultra-stable optical bench. Instead of relying on freemoving test masses, as would be used in space, a fixed reflector can be implemented to verify the optical bench's stability on the ground.

The LISA project has made significant strides in developing such stable optical benches. In 2003, D. A. Shaddock, B.C. Young and A. Abramovici from NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) developed a rigid quadrangle interferometer bench made entirely of ultra-low expansion (ULE) glass through optical contacting. Preliminary results indicate optical path length fluctuations of approximately $100 \text{ pm/Hz}^{1/2}$ for frequencies between 1 mHz and 1 Hz [16]. This work laid the groundwork for future developments in optical bench stability, particularly for space-based detectors like LISA.

In 2006, T. S. Schwarze, G. F. Barranco, G. Heinzel, etc., from AEI made significant progress by introducing a hexagonal quasi-monolithic optical bench [17]. This bench was designed to perform a three-signal test, enabling the verification of interferometric techniques, particularly the phase extraction linearity and precision. Their experimental results demonstrated that the hexagonal bench was stable down to picometer levels and allowed the use of a free-running master laser. This optical testbed was the first to achieve MHz signal extraction with μ cycle/Hz^{1/2} precision, serving as a key validation tool for LISA's metrology chain. In 2022, the AEI group further achieved experimental verification of the inter-satellite clock synchronization scheme and absolute ranging down to LISA performance levels using the same hexagonal optical bench [18, 19].

Inspired by LISA's optical bench testbed designs and based on the requirements of the TianQin project [20], we developed a quadrangle quasi-monolithic optical bench. Our simplified design maintains the necessary stability while expanding the range of interferometric techniques that can be tested, including picometer-level optical pathlength stability, phasemeter, interstellar transponder low-light phase locking, and sideband communication. This paper details the design, experimental setup, and testing of our optical bench, which serves as a critical validation platform for the future TianQin space gravitational wave detection mission. The paper is organized as follows: Section II outlines the theoretical modeling of the optical design, Section III presents the experimental setup and test results, and Section IV offers a summary and future

directions.

2. Optical design

For long-baseline space gravitational wave detection, inter-satellite transponder laser links are utilized [13, 14], as depicted in figure 1. The master satellite SC_1 transmits a high-power laser, typically at the watt level. The slave satellite SC_2 uses an interferometer and a phasemeter (PM) to measure the receiving phase signal. The phase-locking loop (PLL) is employed to synchronize its local laser (laser₂) with the incoming weak-light signal, which is received at sub-nanowatt power levels. Once phaselocking is achieved, the slave satellite transmits a high-power laser back to the master satellite. The phase signal (phase₁) carries information about interstellar distance variations. Additionally, for laser sideband communication, phase modulation is applied to both the master and slave satellite lasers. This includes pseudo-random code (PRN) modulation for absolute inter-satellite laser ranging and data communication, as well as clock sideband modulation for clock synchronization.



Figure 1. Schematic of interstellar transponder laser interferometric link for space gravitational wave detection.

2.1. bench lightpath stability and phasemeter

An optical two-signal scheme is designed to test the lightpath stability and the phasemeter. figure 2 depicts the conceptual design of the optical setup. The laser sources are frequency-shifted by two acousto-optic modulators (AOMs) and then phase-modulated by two electro-optic phase modulators (EOMs) as shown in figure 1(a). The heterodyne laser beams are injected into the quadrangle optical bench, figure 1(b),by polarization maintaining fiber. Four 50% : 50% beamsplitters (BS₁, BS₂, BS₃, and BS₄) are distributed in a centripetal square on the optical bench. The two interfering signals were precisely designed with equal arm lengths in order to common-mode suppress coupling noise (laser frequency fluctuations, ambient temperature perturbations, etc.).

A balanced detection design is employed. The four interference signals are detected by a multiple channels phasemeter shown in figure 1(c).



Figure 2. Schematic of the optical two-signal setup: panel (a) shows the laser sources. A laser of wavelength 1064 nm is 50% : 50% divided into two beams via a fibre splitter. Each beam passes through an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) and an electrooptic phase modulator (EOM), respectively. All fiber components are polarization maintaining (PM). The final output is two equal power laser beams with frequencies f_1 and f_2 , respectively. Panel (b) shows quadrangle quasimonolithic optical bench. Two heterodyne laser beams f_1 and f_2 are injected by fiber collimators, FC₁ and FC₂. The two heterodyne lasers are beam-split on BS₁ and BS₃, and beam-combined interfering on BS₂ and BS₄. The interference beat signals are detected by four photodetectors (PD₁, PD₂, PD₃, and PD₄) and converted into an electrical signal. Panel (c) illustrates the multichannel phasemeter which is responsible for extracting the phases of the four interfering signals, i.e., φ_1 , φ_2 , φ_3 , and φ_4 .

Assuming that $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_1^*\}$ and $\{\varphi_2 \varphi_2^*\}$ are the four phase signals of the two balance detections measured by the four photodetectors $\{PD_1, PD_2, PD_3, PD_4\}$ shown in figure 1(b):

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi_{f_1} - \varphi_{f_2} + \varphi_{path_1},\tag{1}$$

$$\varphi_1^* = \varphi_{f_1} - \varphi_{f_2} + \varphi_{path_1} + \pi, \tag{2}$$

$$\varphi_2 = \varphi_{f_2} - \varphi_{f_1} + \varphi_{path_2},\tag{3}$$

$$\varphi_2^* = \varphi_{f_2} - \varphi_{f_1} + \varphi_{path_2} + \pi, \tag{4}$$

where $\{\varphi_{f_1}, \varphi_{f_2}\}$ are inertial phases of the two heterodyne laser beams from fiber collimators FC₁ and FC₂, respectively. $\{\varphi_{path_1}, \varphi_{path_2}\}$ are unequal-arm lightpaths of the two heterodyne interference signals and satisfy:

$$\varphi_{path_1} \approx \varphi_{path_2} \approx 0. \tag{5}$$

The additional π is caused by the balanced detection.

The above measured phases can be combined to form a zero output by:

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = \varphi_1^* + \varphi_2^*, \tag{6}$$

or a π output from balance detection by:

$$\varphi_{\pi} = \varphi_1^* - \varphi_1 = \varphi_2^* - \varphi_2, \tag{7}$$

which are the main measurements and in which the initial phases ideally cancel. The φ_0 and φ_{π} can be used for lightpath stablity and phasemeter testing, respectively.

2.2. Laser transponder phase locking and sideband communication

To further simulate the actual in-orbit satellites for the detection of gravitational waves in space, laser transponder phase-locking and sideband communication techniques need to be tested. We adapted the optical system design based on the interstellar schematic of figure 1. The new design is shown in figure 3. Two identical sets of laser sources and field-programmable gate arrays (FPGA) breadboards are employed to simulate two satellites. Laser sources 1 and 2 represent the master and slave lasers, respectively. The slave laser is kept heterodyne phase locked to the master laser by the phase signal φ_2 , and the phase-locked residuals are measured by the phase signal φ_1 .



Figure 3. Schematic of the laser transponder phase locking and sideband communication test. Two identical sets of laser sources and FPGA breadboards are employed to simulate two satellites. The two lasers are first sideband phase modulated by independent EOMs (EOM₁ and EOM₂) and then injected into the interferometer platform by collimators (FC₁ and FC₂). The FPGA breadboards are responsible for laser sideband modulation and phase locking in addition to interferometric phase measurements.

Laser sideband communication is achieved by EOM phase modulation as on-orbit. The carrier laser light field carrying a sideband modulation can be expressed as:

$$E(t) = E_0 \cdot exp \left[i\omega_0 t + i\varphi_0 + i\varphi_{clk}(t) + i\varphi_{prn}(t) \right], \qquad (8)$$

where the ω_0 and φ_0 are the frequency and inertial phase of the carrier laser, respectively. $\varphi_{clk}(t)$ and $\varphi_{prn}(t)$ are the clock and PRN phase-sideband modulation, respectively, and are satisfied:

$$\varphi_{clk}(t) = m_{clk} \cos(\omega_{clk} t), \tag{9}$$

$$\varphi_{prn}(t) = m_{prn} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{prn} p(t - nT_{prn}), \qquad (10)$$

where m_{clk} and ω_{clk} are the depth and frequency of clock phase modulation, respectively. m_{prn} and p(t) are the depth and pulse sequence with period T_{prn} of PRN phase modulation, respectively. c_{prn} is a binary phase-shift keyed PRN code sequence. figure 4 The impact of modulation on the detection of gravitational waves in space can be initially assessed by comparing the phase-locked residuals before and after laser sideband modulation.



Figure 4. Spectral structure of interstellar laser interference signals after laser sideband modulation.

3. Experiment

3.1. Prototype setup

Experiments were conducted using the schematics of optical design depicted in figure 2 and figure 3. To achieve high stability and precision, we have constructed an allglass quasi-monolithic compact quadrangle optical bench using UV-adhesive bonding [21], and tested the bench in a temperature-controlled chamber with fluctuations $\delta T < 1 \text{ mK/Hz}^{1/2}$ at 1 mHz. In order to suppress the coupling noise, the laser frequency and laser power are controlled at levels of $\delta f/f < 10^{-12} \text{ /Hz}^{1/2}$ and $\delta P/P < 10^{-3} \text{ /Hz}^{1/2}$ at 1 mHz, respectively, via PID closed-loop feedback.

The photograph of the experimental prototype setup is shown in figure 5. The quadrangle optical bonding bench, including the baseplate and optical components



Figure 5. Photograph of the experimental prototype setup. Two injectors and four beamsplitters are bonded to the optical bench by UV adhesive. The four interfering signals were measured by four identical photodetectors from Throlabs.

Parameters	Value
laser wavelength	$1064\mathrm{nm}$
beam waist diameter	$\sim 1\mathrm{mm}$
input beam power	$\sim 1\mathrm{mW}$
size of the quadrangle bench (baseplate)	$40\mathrm{mm}\times40\mathrm{mm}\times40\mathrm{mm}$
material of the optical bench and components	fused silica
optical bonding method	UV adhesive gluing
PRN sideband modulation depth	$0.1\mathrm{rad}$
PRN code bit rate	$1.5\mathrm{MHz}$
clock sideband modulation depth	$0.46\mathrm{rad}$
clock sideband frequency	$1\mathrm{GHz}$

 Table 1. The key parameters of the experimental setup.

(fiber collimators and beamsplitters), are made of fused silica with dimensions of $40 \text{ mm} \times 40 \text{ mm} \times 40 \text{ mm}$. The optical bench is mounted on the top of a two-dimensional adjustment platform for testing. A Nd:YAG laser operating at a wavelength of 1064 nm with a power of 1 mW is connected to a fiber splitter. The phasemeter undertest is based on high-precision digital FPGAs implementing digital phase-locked loops (DPLLs). The heterodyne beat-note signals are digitalized by parallel analog-to-digital converter (ADC) channels (100 MHz), and are phase tracked by independent numeric controlled oscillators (NCOs), which are realized by DPLLs [22]. The key parameters of the experimental setup are provided in Table 1.

3.2. Test results

The testing results of bench lightpath stability and phasemeter sensitivity are shown in figure 6. A picometer-level optical pathlength stability of the optical bench itself and phase resolution over a large dynamic range are acieved. The single input phases (top black and green lines) were generated to resemble a space orbit-like signal shape via the AOM frequency modulation (figure 2), with a phase noise of $0.1 \text{ rad/Hz}^{1/2}$ at 1 Hz and a 1/f behavior dominating below 10 Hz. This corresponds to a dynamic range of 4–8 orders of magnitude from the top input signals (φ_1 and φ_2) and the two-signal combinations, φ_0 and φ_{π} .



Figure 6. Test results of bench lightpath stability and phasemeter sensitivity with injection phase noise. A dynamic range of 4–8 orders of magnitude can be computed from the top input signals (φ_1 and φ_2) and the two-signal combinations, φ_0 (blue) and φ_{π} (red). The solid yellow line shows the electronic noise floor of the FPGA phasemeter.

The light pathlength stability of the quadrangle quasi-monolithic optical bench reaches a level of $30 \,\mathrm{pm/Hz^{1/2}}$ at $1 \,\mathrm{mHz}$ and $0.3 \,\mathrm{pm/Hz^{1/2}}$ at $1 \,\mathrm{Hz}$, as shown in the solid blue line (two-signal combinations, φ_0). It is a factor of 3-5 higher than the balance detection combinations φ_{π} (solid red curve) over the full frequency band, which measures the optical noise floor of the FPGA phasemeter. The yellow curve shows the purely electronic noise floor, i.e. the signal generator directly connected to the phasemeter. It can be concluded that the light pathlength stability of the bench is still the main noise source, and photoelectric detection noise is the main limit for the phasemeter in the mHz – Hz band.

The laser transponder phase-locking and sideband modulation were evaluated, as shown in Figure 3. Two laser sources and FPGA breadboards were employed





Figure 7. PRN code and Clock sideband signals. (a) PRN signal before and after binary quantization, (b) power spectrum distribution of the beat note signal.



Figure 8. Test results of laser transponder phase-locking and sideband communication. The solid yellow line and dotted purple line show the phase-locking residuals before and after laser sideband modulation (PRN sideband and clock sideband).

for this test. Laser source 2 was phase-locked to laser source 1 via the heterodyne interference signal, φ_2 , while the phase-locking residuals were measured using φ_1 . The

PRN signal and power spectrum of the beat note, including the laser sideband (PRN, clock sideband), are illustrated in Figure 7. As seen in Figure 7(a), the PRN code signal must be quantized into a binary format to enable high-speed operations. Although a certain level of bit error rate is present, the PRN signal effectively maintains delay lock and achieves accurate absolute ranging, as discussed in detail in [13]. Figure 7(b) displays the power spectrum of the beat note with laser sideband modulation. To clearly distinguish between multiple signals, the carrier beat frequency was set to 10, MHz, with the two clock sideband beat frequencies (representing the beat note of the two intersatellite clock sidebands) set to 5, MHz and 15, MHz, respectively.

The phase-locking test results are presented in Figure 8. The solid yellow line represents the phase-locking residuals without sideband modulation, demonstrating a phase noise of less than 10^{-4} , rad/Hz^{1/2} above the 1, mHz frequency band. The dotted purple line shows the phase-locking residuals with the inclusion of laser phase sideband modulation, specifically the PRN code sideband and clock sideband modulation, as illustrated in Figure 4. A comparison of the two tests suggests that laser sideband modulation does not significantly couple into the measurements within the mHz – Hz band.

4. Discussion and conclusion

In this paper, we developed a quadrangle quasi-monolithic optical bench designed to test various interferometric techniques critical for space-based gravitational wave detection, particularly the TianQin mission. These techniques include picometer-level optical pathlength stability, high-precision phasemeter performance, interstellar transponder low-light phase locking, and laser sideband communication. Two experimental setups were constructed using the same quadrangle bench to test different aspects of these techniques. The first setup was dedicated to evaluating optical pathlength stability and phasemeter precision, utilizing a shared laser source, akin to that found within a single satellite. Heterodyne frequency modulation was achieved via a pair of acousto-optic modulators (AOMs). Experimental results confirmed that the optical bench maintained picometer-level pathlength stability, and the phase resolution was sustained across a large dynamic range. The second setup was used to assess interstellar transponder phase-locking under low-light conditions and laser sideband communication. Two identical laser sources and FPGA breadboards were employed to simulate the transponder laser interferometric link between two satellites. Laser sideband communication was implemented using electro-optic modulators (EOMs), replicating on-orbit conditions. The test results demonstrated successful phase-locking of the two lasers, with no significant impact from laser sideband modulation. These findings provide essential technical validation for the development and deployment of future space-based gravitational wave detection.

Data availability statement

The data cannot be made publicly available upon publication because no suitable repository exists for hosting data in this field of study. The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of Prof. Haixing Miao for useful discussions and the experimental facility support from the PGMF (National Precision Gravity Measurement Facility). This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12105375) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Nos. 2022YFC2203901).

Reference

- [1] Abbott B P et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) 2016 Phys. Rev. Lett. 116(6) 061102 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102
- [2] Amaro-Seoane P et al. 2017 Laser interferometer space antenna (Preprint 1702.00786)
- [3] Luo J et al. 2016 Classical and Quantum Gravity 33 035010 URL https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/ 0264-9381/33/3/035010
- Hu W R and Wu Y L 2017 National Science Review 4 685-686 ISSN 2095-5138 URL https: //doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx116
- [5] Abbott B P et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) 2017 Phys. Rev. Lett. 119(14) 141101 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.141101
- Somiya K and (for the KAGRA Collaboration) 2012 Classical and Quantum Gravity 29 124007 URL https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/29/12/124007
- [7] Brzozowski W, Robertson D, Fitzsimons E, Ward H, Keogh J, Taylor A, Milanova M, Perreur-Lloyd M, Ali Z, Earle A, Clarkson D, Sharman R, Wells M and Parr-Burman P 2022 The LISA optical bench: an overview and engineering challenges *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2022: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave* vol 12180 ed Coyle L E, Matsuura S and Perrin M D International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE) p 1218000 URL https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2627465
- [8] Robertson D I, Fitzsimons E D, Killow C J, Perreur-Lloyd M, Ward H, Bryant J, Cruise A M, Dixon G, Hoyland D, Smith D and Bogenstahl J 2013 Classical and Quantum Gravity 30 085006 URL https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/8/085006
- [9] Gerberding O, Diekmann C, Kullmann J, Tröbs M, Bykov I, Barke S, Brause N C, Esteban Delgado J J, Schwarze T S, Reiche J, Danzmann K, Rasmussen T, Hansen T V, Enggaard A, Pedersen S M, Jennrich O, Suess M, Sodnik Z and Heinzel G 2015 Review of Scientific Instruments 86 074501 ISSN 0034-6748 (Preprint https://pubs.aip.org/aip/rsi/article-pdf/doi/ 10.1063/1.4927071/15950802/074501_1_online.pdf) URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927071
- [10] Mitryk S J, Wand V and Mueller G 2010 Classical and Quantum Gravity 27 084012 URL https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/8/084012
- Shaddock D, Ware B, Halverson P G, Spero R E and Klipstein B 2006 AIP Conference Proceedings 873 654-660 ISSN 0094-243X (Preprint https://pubs.aip.org/aip/acp/article-pdf/873/ 1/654/11790459/654_1_online.pdf) URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2405113
- [12] Zhang J Y, Ming M, Jiang Y Z, Duan H Z and Yeh H C 2018 Review of Scientific Instruments 89 064501 ISSN 0034-6748 (Preprint https://pubs.aip.org/aip/rsi/article-pdf/doi/

10.1063/1.5019433/19761377/064501_1_online.pdf) URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1. 5019433

- [13] Xie S, Zeng H, Pan Y, He D, Jiang S, Li Y, Du Y, Yan H and chi Yeh H 2023 Optics Communications 541 129558 ISSN 0030-4018 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S003040182300305X
- [14] Zeng H, Yan H, Xie S, Jiang S, Li Y, Pan Y, He D, Du Y and chi Yeh H 2023 Opt. Express 31 34648-34666 URL https://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-31-21-34648
- [15] Tinto M and Dhurandhar S V 2020 Living Reviews in Relativity 24 1 ISSN 1433-8351 URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s41114-020-00029-6
- [16] Shaddock D A, Young B C and Abramovici A R 2003 Bench-top interferometric test bed for LISA Gravitational-Wave Detection vol 4856 ed Saulson P and Cruise A M International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE) pp 78 – 82 URL https://doi.org/10.1117/12.458563
- Schwarze T S, Fernández Barranco G, Penkert D, Kaufer M, Gerberding O and Heinzel G 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. 122(8) 081104 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122. 081104
- [18] Yamamoto K, Vorndamme C, Hartwig O, Staab M, Schwarze T S and Heinzel G 2022 Phys. Rev. D 105(4) 042009 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.042009
- [19] Yamamoto K, Bykov I, Reinhardt J N, Bode C, Grafe P, Staab M, Messied N, Clark M, Barranco G F, Schwarze T S, Hartwig O, Delgado J J E and Heinzel G 2024 Experimental end-to-end demonstration of intersatellite absolute ranging for lisa (*Preprint* 2406.03074) URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03074
- [20] Cao B, Jia F L, Yang M L, Liao F J, Wu K H, Huang X Q, Ming M, Zhang J Y, Wen S Z, Duan H Z and Yeh H C 2024 Opt. Lett. 49 3300-3303 URL https://opg.optica.org/ol/abstract. cfm?URI=ol-49-12-3300
- [21] Lin X, Yan H, Ma Y and Zhou Z 2023 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 94 074501 ISSN 0034-6748 (Preprint https://pubs.aip.org/aip/rsi/article-pdf/doi/10.1063/5.0155637/18051694/074501_ 1_5.0155637.pdf) URL https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0155637
- [22] Yan H, Chen L, Xu Z, Lin X and Liang Y 2022 Appl. Opt. 61 9569–9575