Independence and indifferent points imply continuity

Gerrit Bauch*

November 28, 2024

Abstract

The expected utility theorem of Von Neumann and Morgenstern (1947) has been a milestone in economics, describing rational behavior by two axioms on a weak preference on lotteries on a finite set of outcomes: the Independence Axiom and the Continuity Axiom. For a weak preference fulfilling the Independence Axiom, I prove that continuity is equivalent to the existence of a set indifferent lotteries spanning a hyperplane.

Let X be a finite set of cardinality n and let $\mathcal{L} := \Delta(X)$ be the set of lotteries over X, which is a mixture space and can be seen as a simplex and a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ I consider a weak preference relation \succeq on \mathcal{L} , i.e., \succeq is complete and transitive. As usual, \sim and \succ denote the symmetric and asymmetric parts of \succeq , indicating indifference and a strict preference. For a fixed $P \in \mathcal{L}$ the strictly better, indifferent and strictly worse sets are defined as $\mathcal{L}_{\succ P} := \{R \in \mathcal{L} \mid R \succ P\}, \mathcal{L}_{\sim P} := \{R \in \mathcal{L} \mid R \sim P\}, \mathcal{L}_{\prec P} := \{R \in \mathcal{L} \mid P \succ R\}.$

Classical expected utility theory is based on two more axioms - independence and continuity. The Independence Axiom asserts that the preference ranking of two lotteries P and Q remains the same if equally mixed with any third lottery R.

Axiom 1 (Independence Axiom). For all $P, Q, R \in L$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$P \succeq Q \iff \alpha P + (1 - \alpha)R \succeq \alpha Q + (1 - \alpha)R.$$
 (IA)

Continuity ensures that there are "no jumps" in the preference and thus rule out lexicographic orderings.

^{*}Center for Mathematical Economics, Bielefeld University, PO Box 10 01 31, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany. Email: gerrit.bauch@uni-bielefeld.de. The author thanks members of the Center for Mathematical Economics at Bielefeld University for fruitful discussions. Special thanks to Niels Boissonnet, Yves Breitmoser, Arthur Dolgopolov, Jonathan Klinge, Tianyu Ma, Lasse Mononen and Fynn Närmann.

¹An element $P \in L$ is identified with the vector $(P(x_1), \ldots, P(x_{n-1})) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and can be recovered from it via $P(x_n) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(x_i)$.

Axiom 2 (Continuity Axiom). For all $P \in L$, $L_{\succ P}$ and $L_{\prec P}$ are open.

For a weak preference relation on L that fulfills the Independence Axiom, I show that continuity is equivalent to the existence of a set of indifference points that span a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

Axiom 3 (indifferent points). There exist $P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1} \in L_{\sim P_1}$ that span a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

In other words, the set of directional vectors $\{P_k - P_1\}_{k=2}^{n-1}$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . In the special case of n = 3, Axiom 3 simply requires the existence of two indifferent lotteries, i.e., $P_1 \neq P_2$, $P_1 \sim P_2$.

Theorem 1. Let \succeq be a weak preference on $L = \Delta(X)$ that fulfills the Independence Axiom 1. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \succeq is continuous, i.e., Axiom 2 holds.
- (ii) There exist $P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1} \in L_{\sim P_1}$ that span a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , i.e., Axiom 3 holds.

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following variant of the expected utility theorem.

Corollary 1. For a binary preference relation \succeq on $L = \Delta(X)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \succeq is a weak preference and fulfills Axioms 1 and 3.
- (ii) \succeq is a weak preference and fulfills Axioms 1 and 2.
- (iii) There exists a utility function $u: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that \succeq is represented by the functional

$$U(P) := \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \cdot u(x).$$

Remark 1. On L there are weak preferences that fulfill Axiom 3, but not the Continuity Axiom 2. For instance, let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and let \succeq_{lex} denote the lexicographic ordering on L that prioritizes the weight on x_1 . Define the preference $P \succeq Q : \iff P(x_1) = Q(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ or $P \succeq_{\text{lex}} Q$. Then, \succeq is complete, transitive and fulfills Axiom 3, but is not continuous.

Consequently, Axiom 3 does not generally imply continuity on the simplex. Continuity is thus either stronger than Axiom 3 or the two axioms cannot be generally compared.

References

Von Neumann, J. and O. Morgenstern (1947). Theory of games and economic behavior, 2nd rev. Princeton university press.

A Proofs

For completeness sake, I provide a self-contained proof that Axiom 3 implies the Continuity Axiom 2 for a weak preference that fulfills the Independence Axiom 1. To this end, some well-known auxiliary lemmata are provided.

Our first observation identifies indifference classes as convex subsets of L.

Lemma A1. The set $L_{\sim P}$ is convex for every $P \in L$.

Proof of Lemma A1. Let $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{L}_{\sim P}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By the (IA) we have

$$\alpha Q + (1 - \alpha)Q' \sim \alpha P + (1 - \alpha)Q' \sim \alpha P + (1 - \alpha)P = P.$$

Hence, $L_{\sim P}$ is closed under taking convex combinations.

Note that Lemma A1 reveals that the convex hull $\operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{P})$ of any set indifferent lotteries $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L_{\sim P}$ is contained in $L_{\sim P}$.

Let p_1, \ldots, p_m be points in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Recall that the *affine hull* of p_1, \ldots, p_m in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} is

$$\operatorname{aff}(p_1,\ldots,p_m) := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \cdot p_k \middle| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k = 1, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
(1)

$$=\left\{p_1 + \sum_{k=2}^m \lambda_k \cdot (p_k - p_1) \middle| \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}\right\}.$$
 (2)

From expression (1) it is easy to see that the convex hull $\operatorname{conv}(p_1, \ldots, p_m)$ is contained in the affine hull by simply restricting the coefficients λ_k to be nonnegative. Expression (2) represents the affine hull as the translation of the vector space, spanned by the set of directional vectors $\{p_k - p_1\}_{k=2}^m$, by (and thus through) p_1 .

The following observation shows that all lotteries in the affine hull defined by a set of indifferent lotteries is contained in the corresponding indifference class.

Lemma A2. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_m \in L_{\sim P_1}$. Then $\operatorname{aff}(P_1, \ldots, P_m) \cap L \subseteq L_{\sim P_1}$.

Proof of Lemma A2. Let $A := \operatorname{aff}(P_1, \ldots, P_m)$. If P_k is contained in the affine hull of the remaining points, we can discard it from the set of considered points. Assume thus that A is an affine space of dimension m-1, i.e., the vectors $P_k - P_1$ for $k = 2, \ldots, m$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Especially, the representation of any element $P \in A$ in the form of expression (1) is unique. If m = 1, $\operatorname{conv}(P_1) = A \cap \mathbb{L} = \{P_1\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\sim P_1}$. Assume thus $m \ge 2$ in the following.

 $\begin{array}{l} m=1, \operatorname{conv}(P_1)=A\cap \mathcal{L}=\{P_1\}\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\sim P_1}. \text{ Assume thus } m\geq 2 \text{ in the following.} \\ \operatorname{Consider now any } P=\sum_{k=1}^m\lambda_kP_k\in \mathcal{L}, \sum_{k=1}^m\lambda_k=1. \quad \text{If } \lambda_k\geq 0 \text{ for all } k=1,\ldots,m, \text{ we have } P\in\operatorname{conv}(P_1,\ldots,P_M) \text{ and thus } P\in \mathcal{L}_{\sim P_1} \text{ by } \\ \operatorname{Lemma A1.} \text{ Assume thus that } \lambda_k<0 \text{ for at least one } k\in\{1,\ldots,m\} \text{ and } \\ \operatorname{let } k^*\in \arg\min_k\lambda_k \text{ and } \lambda^*:=-\lambda_{k^*}, \text{ which is positive. Set } \overline{P}:=\sum_{k=1}^m\frac{1}{m}P_k\in \\ \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{conv}(P_1,\ldots,P_m)\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\sim P_1}. \text{ Define }Q(\alpha):=\alpha\overline{P}+(1-\alpha)P, \text{ which can be written}\\ \mathrm{as }Q(\alpha)=\sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\alpha}{m}+(1-\alpha)\lambda_k\right)\cdot P_k. \text{ For }\alpha^*:=\frac{m\lambda^*}{1+m\lambda^*}, \text{ the coefficients of }\\ Q:=Q(\alpha^*) \text{ are all non-negative and the one for }k^* \text{ is equal to zero. Thus,}\\ Q\in\operatorname{conv}(P_1,\ldots,P_m)\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\sim P_1} \text{ and }Q\neq\overline{P}. \text{ By }Q\sim\overline{P}\sim P_1 \text{ and applying the}\\ (\mathrm{IA}), \text{ we find }Q=\alpha^*\overline{P}+(1-\alpha^*)P\sim\alpha^*Q+(1-\alpha^*)P \text{ and thus }P\sim Q\sim P_1. \end{array}$

The following observation shows that translations of indifference sets remain indifference sets.

Lemma A3. Let $P, Q \in L$. Then $\{R + (Q - P) | R \in L_{\sim P}\} \cap L \subseteq L_{\sim Q}$.

Proof of Lemma A3. Note that $Q \in \{R + (Q - P) | R \in \mathcal{L}_{\sim P}\} \cap \mathcal{L}$. If the set is a singleton, there is nothing to prove. Let now $Q \neq Q' = P' + (Q - P) \in \mathcal{L}$ for some $P' \in \mathcal{L}_{\sim P}$. Define $Z := \frac{1}{2}P + \frac{1}{2}Q' = \frac{1}{2}P' + \frac{1}{2}Q$. Since $P \sim P'$, we have $Z \sim \frac{1}{2}P + \frac{1}{2}Q$ and thus $Q' \sim Q$ by the (IA).

The line between two points $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{line}(p,q) := \{q + t \cdot (p - q) | t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \qquad (3)$$

and partitions into points to the left of q (t < 0), between p, q ($t \in [0, 1]$) and to the right of p (t > 1). The following observation classifies how a strict preference translates to all points on the line connecting two lotteries.

Lemma A4. Let $P, Q \in L$, $P \succ Q$ and $P_t := Q + t \cdot (P - Q) \in \text{line}(P, Q)$. Then, $P_0 = Q, P_1 = P$ and

$$\begin{cases} Q \succ P_t &, t < 0, \\ P \succ P_t \succ Q &, 0 < t < 1, \\ P_t \succ P &, 1 < t. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Proof of Lemma A4. The cases for t = 0, 1 are clear. Case $t \in (0, 1)$: Since $P \succ Q$ we have by the (IA) that $P \succ P_t = tP + (1-t)Q \succ Q$. Case 1 < t: P is equal to the convex combination $\alpha P_t + (1-\alpha)Q$ for $\alpha := \frac{1}{t}$. Assume by means of contradiction that $P \succeq P_t$. Then, by applying the (IA) twice, we find the contradiction $P \succeq \alpha P_t + (1-\alpha)P \succ \alpha P_t + (1-\alpha)Q = P$. Case t < 0: Now, Q is the convex combination $\alpha P_t + (1-\alpha)P$ for $\alpha := \frac{1}{1-t}$. Assume by means of contradiction that $P_t \succeq Q$. Then, by applying the IA, we find the contradiction $Q = \alpha P_t + (1-\alpha)P \succ \alpha P_t + (1-\alpha)Q \succeq Q$.

I now show that Axiom 3 implies the Continuity Axiom 2.

Theorem A1. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1} \in L_{\sim P_1}$ such that $\{P_k - P_1\}_{k=2}^{n-1}$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Then, \succeq is continuous.

Proof of Theorem A1. Let $H_{P_1} := \operatorname{aff}(P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1})$ which is a hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} by the assumption on the P_k . Hence, there exists a normal vector $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that $H_{P_1} = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} | \langle q - P_1, \vec{n} \rangle = 0\}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

Consider any $P \in \mathcal{L}$. To prove continuity of \succeq , I show that $\mathcal{L}_{\succ P}$ is open. That $\mathcal{L}_{\prec P}$ is open follows analogously.

To this end, I study the hyperplane parallel to H_{P_1} that passes through Pand partitions \mathbb{R}^{n-1} (and thus L through intersection) in three convex parts: $H_P^+ := \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} | \langle q - P, \vec{n} \rangle > 0\}, H_P := \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} | \langle q - P, \vec{n} \rangle = 0\}$ and $H_P^- := \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} | \langle q - P, \vec{n} \rangle < 0\}$. If $L_{\succ P} = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. Assume thus that there is $P^* \in L_{\succ P}$. Since $H_P \subseteq L_{\sim P}$ by Lemma A3, I can assume $P^* \in H_P^+$ (otherwise consider $-\vec{n}$ instead). I claim $L_{\succ P} = H_P^+$, which is an open set and is thus going to conclude the proof.

"⊆": Let $Q \in \mathcal{L}_{\succ P}$ and assume by means of contradiction $Q \in H_P^-$. Then, by continuity of the scalar product, there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $\alpha P^* + (1 - \alpha)Q \in H_P \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\sim P}$, which violates $\alpha P^* + (1 - \alpha)Q \succ P$ by the (IA).

" \supseteq ": Let $Q \in H_P^+$. Consider the hyperplanes H_Q, H_{P^*} parallel to H_P and passing through Q, P^* . If $\langle P^* - P, \vec{n} \rangle \ge \langle Q - P, \vec{n} \rangle$, Q lies between H_P and H_{P^*} . Connecting P and P^* , there exists and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha P + (1 - \alpha)P^* \in H_Q \cap L$, which is thus indifferent to Q by Lemma A3. By Lemma A4, we thus have $P^* \succeq Q \succ P$. Finally, if $\langle Q - P, \vec{n} \rangle > \langle P^* - P, \vec{n} \rangle$, P^* lies between H_P and H_Q . Connecting P and Q, we find an $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ with $\alpha P + (1 - \alpha)Q \in H_{P^*} \cap L \subseteq L_{\sim P^*}$. Applying Lemma A4, we find $Q \succ P^* \succ P$.

We now conclude with the proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

Proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. By Theorem A1, a weak preference that fulfills the Independence Axiom 1 and Axiom 3 is continuous. By the classical result of von Neumann-Morgenstern, conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. It thus suffices to show that (iii) implies the existence of indifferent points that span a hyperplane, i.e., Axiom 3. To this end, let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, define $\overline{u} := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot u(x_k)$ and consider the following system of linear equations for $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} u(x_1) & u(x_2) & \dots & u(x_n) \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{=:M} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{u} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Note that $\overline{P} := (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$ is a particular solution. Since $\operatorname{rank}(M) \leq 2$, the kernel ker(M) of the linear map induced by M is a vector space of at least dimension n-2 and the solution set of the system is given by $\overline{P} + \ker(M)$. Let b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} be a basis of ker(M). Since all entries of \overline{P} are positive, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $P_1 := \overline{P}, P_k := \overline{P} + \varepsilon \cdot b_k, \ k = 2, \dots, n-1$ spans a hyperplane of points that are indifferent with utility level \overline{u} .